

EISENSTEIN CONGRUENCES AMONG EULER SYSTEMS

ÓSCAR RIVERO AND VICTOR ROTGER

ABSTRACT. We investigate Eisenstein congruences between the so-called Euler systems of Garrett–Rankin–Selberg type. This includes the cohomology classes of Beilinson–Kato, Beilinson–Flach and diagonal cycles. The proofs crucially rely on different known versions of the Bloch–Kato conjecture, and are based on the study of the Perrin–Riou formalism and the comparison between the different p -adic L -functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background: Euler systems and reciprocity laws	4
3. Congruences between Beilinson–Flach and Beilinson–Kato classes	12
4. Congruences between diagonal cycles and Beilinson–Flach elements	15
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of Euler systems is a powerful machinery that provides deep insight on the arithmetic of Galois representations, Selmer groups and Iwasawa main conjectures. There are relatively few instances of such Euler systems in the literature, and their construction is far from being a systematic issue. This work focuses on the interaction between different kinds of Euler systems, and can be seen as a natural continuation of our previous article [RiRo], where we had studied congruence relations between the system of circular units and Beilinson–Kato elements.

This note is concerned with the theory of Eisenstein congruences and the development of a p -adic Artin formalism at the level of Euler systems. The easiest instance of an Euler system is that of circular units, obtained as a weighted combination of cyclotomic units after applying the Kummer map. Its image under the Perrin–Riou regulator (a. k. a. Coleman map) allows us to recover the Kubota–Leopoldt p -adic L -function.

The three Euler systems we want to discuss here are slightly harder to describe. They are sometimes referred to as *Euler systems of Garrett–Rankin–Selberg type*, and the most well-known example comes from Kato’s work [Ka], which allowed the proof of the Bloch–Kato conjecture for elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} in rank 0 and of one of the divisibilities of the Iwasawa main conjecture. The other two instances come from generalizations of that previous construction, when the two modular units involved in Kato’s construction are replaced by cuspidal forms. The case of Beilinson–Flach classes has been explored in different works of Bertolini, Darmon and Rotger [BDR2], and Kings, Lei, Loeffler and Zerbes [LLZ], [KLZ2], [KLZ]. The case of diagonal cycles, where a proper Euler system is not available (but a family of cohomology classes varying over Hida or Coleman families) has been worked out by Darmon and Rotger [DR2], [DR4] and by Bertolini, Seveso and Venerucci [BSV1], [BSV2].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F33 (primary); 11F67, 11F80 (secondary).

1.1. The set-up. Let $N, k > 1$ be positive integers, $\chi_f : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ a Dirichlet character and $f \in S_k(N, \chi_f)$ a normalized cuspidal eigenform of level N , weight k and nebentype χ_f . Fix a prime $p \nmid 6N\varphi(N)$. Similarly, we fix eigenforms $g \in S_\ell(N, \chi_g)$ and $h \in S_m(N, \chi_h)$, with $\ell, m \geq 2$ and χ_g, χ_h Dirichlet characters. Let F be the finite extension of \mathbb{Q} generated by the field of coefficients of f, g, h and the values of all Dirichlet characters of conductor N ; let \mathcal{O} be its ring of integers and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a prime ideal above p . Before continuing, we need to introduce the following objects:

- $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the étale \mathbb{Z}_p -sheaf on the open modular curve $Y_1(N)$ given by the Tate module of the universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}/Y_1(N)$, as introduced in [KLZ, Def. 3.1.1] or [KLZ2, §2.3].
- $\mathrm{TSym}^k \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the sheaf of symmetric tensors of degree $k-2$ over $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ defined in [KLZ, Def. 3.1.2] or [KLZ2, §2.2]; after inverting $(k-2)!$ it is isomorphic to the $(k-2)$ -th symmetric power of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.
- $T_{f,Y}$ is the integral p -adic Galois representations given as the f -isotypical quotient of $H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(\bar{Y}, \mathrm{TSym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the closed modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N)$, as defined in [RiRo, eq. (11)] in the case of trivial coefficients; see also (2).
- $T_{f,X}$ is defined in the same way as $T_{f,Y}$ (see [RiRo, eq. (11)]), but using compactly supported cohomology instead. We set, as usual, $V_{f,Y} = T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $V_{f,X} = T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, and note that it holds $V_{f,Y} = V_{f,X}$.

We also attach analogous lattices to g and h . All along the article, we impose that $k+\ell+m$ is even and that $\chi_f \chi_g \chi_h = 1$. We assume that the triple (k, ℓ, m) is balanced, that is, $\ell+m \geq k$, $m+k \geq \ell$ and $k+\ell \geq m$. This means that we are in the so-called *geometric* region, where a construction of cohomology classes in an appropriate H^1 is meaningful and the corresponding L -value vanishes. For simplicity, we also assume $\chi_h \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. For our further use, let $f^* = f \otimes \chi_f^{-1}$ be the twist of f by the inverse of its nebentype, and similarly for g^* and h^* .

Along this short note we deal with three different objects, whose characterizations and main properties are recalled in Section §2. According to the discussions of [BSV2, Rk. 3.3], the global classes we introduce may have some bounded denominators, but along the introduction we assume that they are integral at p , which is always the case provided that p is large enough (in particular, we need that $p > \max\{k, \ell, m\}$ to invert the factorials appearing in the formulas of loc. cit.); we come back to this issue later on in the text.

Set $c = (k + \ell + m - 2)/2$ and consider:

- (a) The Beilinson–Kato class $\kappa_f \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(1)(\ell + m - c - 1))$, whose characterization is recalled in Section 2.3 following [Ka] and [BD].
- (b) The Beilinson–Flach class $\kappa_{f,g} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m - c))$; we recall its main properties in Section 2.4 following [BDR1], [BDR2] and [KLZ].
- (c) The diagonal cycle class $\kappa_{f,g,h} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes T_{h,Y}(1 - c))$, introduced in Section 2.5 following [DR1], [DR2] and [BSV1].

Moreover, and as a piece of notation, we denote $\bar{\kappa}_f, \bar{\kappa}_{f,g}, \bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h}$ the reduction of the above integral classes modulo a suitable power of the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} (usually denoted by \mathfrak{p}^t).

1.2. Main results. We use the notations about Eisenstein series introduced in [RiRo]; in particular, they are indexed by the weight and by a pair of Dirichlet characters whose products of nebentypes is N . When g is congruent modulo \mathfrak{p}^t with the Eisenstein series $E_\ell(\chi_g, 1)$, as we discussed in loc. cit., there is a projection map

$$T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_g).$$

Hence, the class $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g}$ projects to an element

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_g)(m - c)).$$

When this projection vanishes modulo \mathfrak{p}^t , and proceeding as in [RiRo, §4], we may lift $\kappa_{f,g}$ to a class in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,X})$ and consider instead the projection

$$T_{g,X} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\ell - 1).$$

Thus, we obtain a class

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,2} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell + m - c - 1) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t).$$

To state our first theorem, let $L_p(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g, s)$ stand for the Mazur–Swinnerton-Dyer p -adic L -function attached to the pair $(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g)$, with the conventions of [RiRo, §3]. For the first part of the result, we need to fix an auxiliary Dirichlet character χ , non-trivial at p and of nebentype relatively prime to p . The theorem depends on a *weak Gorenstein assumption* (properly introduced as Assumption 2.1) and on the conditions introduced as Assumption 3.1 and Assumption 3.2. The latter makes reference to the conditions of big image and non-exceptional zeros which give a Bloch–Kato type result, which allows us to conclude that the corresponding spaces on which the classes live are one-dimensional. As discussed in the corresponding section, these results are known to hold in many different instances, so the assumptions are quite mild in nature.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that Assumption 2.1 holds for g , that the Galois representation $T_{f,Y}$ is absolutely irreducible, and that Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2 also hold.*

If $L_p(f^, \chi \bar{\chi}_g, m - c + 1), L_p(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g, m - c + 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$, then $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1} = 0$ and if we further have $L_p(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g, m - c + 1), L_p(f^*, c - \ell - m + 2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$, the following congruence holds in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell + m - c - 1) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$:*

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,2} = \bar{\kappa}_f.$$

The proof is inspired by the ideas introduced in our previous work, using the Perrin-Riou formalism to perform a comparison at the level of p -adic L -functions. However, here, we have to proceed in a slightly different way: the first natural projection is 0 because of the local condition satisfied by the Beilinson–Flach class, and it is at second order where we can do a proper comparison.

We now mimic that approach in the framework of diagonal cycles. When h is congruent modulo \mathfrak{p}^t with $E_m(\chi_h, 1)$ we may define a class

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_h)(1 - c)).$$

When it vanishes, and proceeding as in the previous case, we have the refinement

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,2} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m - c) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t).$$

Let $L_p(f^*, g^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c)$ (resp. $L_p(g^*, f^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c)$) stand for the value at $s = c$ of the Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function attached to $(f^*, g^*, \bar{\chi}_h)$ with f dominant (resp. g dominant), as recalled in Section 2.4.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that Assumption 2.1 holds for h , that the Galois representations $T_{f,Y}$ and $T_{g,Y}$ are absolutely irreducible, and that Assumptions 4.1 and 4.2 hold.*

If $L_p(f^, g^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$ or $L_p(g^*, f^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$, then $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1} = 0$ and if we further have $L_p(f^*, g^*, c + 1 - m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$ or $L_p(g^*, f^*, c + 1 - m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$, the following congruence holds in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m - c) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$:*

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,2} = \bar{\kappa}_{f,g}.$$

We believe that most of the results we discuss are amenable to generalizations to Hida or Coleman families, but in that case one must be more circumspect about integrality issues. Deformations to weight one of the above congruence formulae may be particularly interesting, given their potential connection to the equivariant Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. Furthermore, it would be interesting to explore whether our results could have applications

to non-vanishing results, as in [Va, §3], or to the study of classical problems like Goldfeld’s conjecture, as in [KrLi], where they establish congruences between elliptic units and Heegner points using a comparison between the p -adic L -functions of Katz and Bertolini–Darmon–Prasanna.

We finally point out that some of the results we state contain several assumptions which are likely to be relaxed, but we have not pursued this in an attempt to keep this work short and to avoid technical complications in that regard.

1.3. Relation to other works. This note grew up as an attempt to better understand the interactions among Euler systems coming from Eisenstein congruences with those discussed by Loeffler and the first author [LR], where Coleman families passing through the critical p -stabilization of an Eisenstein series are studied. In that setting, we obtain analogous relations (in characteristic zero) between Beilinson–Flach and Beilinson–Kato classes, and between Beilinson–Kato and Beilinson–Flach. Compare [LR, Thms. C1.13, C2.11] in loc. cit. with the main result of this paper. The reason behind this parallelism is that in both scenarios we have two natural filtrations (one corresponding to the usual étale cohomology of the modular curve and the other to étale cohomology with compact support) whose interplay is used in an analogous way. To be more precise, in this article we consider the lattices attached to the open and closed modular curve, that we denote by $T_{f,Y}$ and $T_{f,X}$, respectively. In this case, there is an inclusion $T_{f,Y} \supset T_{f,X} \supset I \cdot T_{f,Y} \supset I \cdot T_{f,X} \supset \dots$, where I is the Eisenstein ideal. This is a parallel situation to what we had described in the discussion after [LR, Cor. 6.1.3], where the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} considered in loc. cit. plays the role of the Eisenstein ideal. We hope that the interactions between these two settings can be pushed forward in subsequent works.

Another recent work dealing with the connections between different kinds of Euler systems is the article of Bertolini–Darmon–Venerucci [BDV], where they prove a conjecture of Perrin-Riou relating Heegner points and Beilinson–Kato elements via the use of Beilinson–Flach elements. We believe that this kind of techniques can have multiple applications to arithmetic problems and to the construction of new Euler systems, and we expect to come back to these issues in forthcoming work.

1.4. Acknowledgments and funding. We thank Kazim Büyükboduk and David Loeffler for stimulating comments and discussions around the topics studied in this note. We are also grateful to the anonymous referee, whose comments notably contributed to improve the exposition of this note.

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 682152). O.R. was further supported by the Royal Society Newton International Fellowship NIF\R1\202208. V. R. is supported by Icrea through an Icrea Academia Grant. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930 while the first author was in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2023 semester.

2. BACKGROUND: EULER SYSTEMS AND RECIPROCIY LAWS

2.1. Modular curves and lattices. We begin by recalling some notations about modular curves and lattices. For that purpose, fix algebraic closures $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Q}_p respectively, and embeddings of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and \mathbb{C} . The former singles out a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O} lying above p and we let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the completion of \mathcal{O} at \mathfrak{p} . We also fix throughout an uniformizer ϖ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}_p \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

Given a variety Y/\mathbb{Q} and a field extension F/\mathbb{Q} , let $Y_F = Y \times F$ denote the base change of Y to F and set $\bar{Y} = Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$. Fix an integer $N \geq 3$ and let $Y_1(N) \subset X_1(N)$ denote the canonical

models over \mathbb{Q} of the (affine and projective, respectively) modular curves classifying pairs (A, i) where A is a (generalized) elliptic curve and $i : \mu_N \rightarrow A$ is an embedding of group schemes.

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and θ a Dirichlet character. For a p -ordinary newform $f \in S_k(N, \theta)$ satisfying the congruence $f \equiv E_k(\theta, 1) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$, let $f(q) = \sum a_n(f)q^n$ denote its q -expansion at the cusp ∞ . Enlarge F so that it also contains the eigenvalues $\{a_n(f)\}_{n \geq 1}$, and still write \mathcal{O} for its ring of integers and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for its completion at the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} over p . For our further use, we denote by $\alpha_f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ (resp. $\beta_f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$) the unit root (resp. non-unit root) of the p -th Hecke polynomial of f . Let $\psi_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ denote the unramified character characterized by $\psi_f(\text{Fr}_p) = \alpha_f$.

Since f is ordinary at \mathfrak{p} , it is well-known (cf. e.g. [FK, 1.7]) that there are exact sequences of finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -modules

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow T_{f,X}^{\text{sub}} \rightarrow T_{f,X} \rightarrow T_{f,X}^{\text{quo}} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow T_{f,Y}^{\text{sub}} \rightarrow T_{f,Y} \rightarrow T_{f,Y}^{\text{quo}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

such that $T_{f,X}^{\text{quo}}$ and $T_{f,Y}^{\text{quo}}$ are unramified as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -modules; and where the map $T_{f,X} \rightarrow T_{f,Y}$ induces an isomorphism $T_{f,X}^{\text{sub}} = T_{f,Y}^{\text{sub}}$ of free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules of rank 1 on which $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts through the cyclotomic character.

Let $I_f^* = (T_{\ell}^* - a_{\ell}(f)) \subset \mathbb{T}^*$ denote the ideal associated to the system of eigenvalues of f with respect to the dual Hecke operators. As in the introduction, consider the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module

$$(2) \quad T_{f,Y} = H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}, \text{TSym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/I_f^*,$$

and define similarly $T_{f,X}$, using compact support cohomology instead.

Note that the two lattices $T_{f,X}$ and $T_{f,Y}$ may give rise to different $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ -modules in spite of the fact that the associated rational Galois representations

$$V_f := T_{f,X} \otimes F_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq T_{f,Y} \otimes F_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

are isomorphic.

Finally, let Σ_X and Σ_Y denote the torsion submodules of $T_{f,X}$ and $T_{f,Y}$ respectively, and let

$$(3) \quad T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} := T_{f,Y}^{\text{quo}}/\Sigma_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\psi_f)$$

denote the free quotient.

Since $f \equiv E_k(\theta, 1) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$, we have

$$(4) \quad T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t \simeq \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\theta),$$

which amounts to the congruence $\psi_f \equiv \theta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$ as unramified characters of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

In the cases where we deal with several modular forms of common level N , we slightly abuse notations and still write F for the finite extension of \mathbb{Q} generated by the field of coefficients of all of them and the values of all Dirichlet characters of conductor N . Similarly, \mathcal{O} stands for the ring of integers and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ for a prime ideal above p .

As in our previous work, we need the following assumption to establish our main results.

Assumption 2.1. The $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\theta)$ does not show up as a quotient of Σ_Y/Σ_X .

In this case, we will say that $T_{f,Y}$ (or simply f , for short) satisfies the *weak-Gorenstein condition*. The reason is that this assumption automatically follows if the localization of the Hecke algebra acting on $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ at the Eisenstein ideal is Gorenstein.

Several of our results are suitably formulated in the language of families. Let \mathcal{W} denote the weight space defined as the formal spectrum of the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}]]$. The set of classical points in \mathcal{W} is given by characters $\nu_{s,\xi}$ of the form $\xi \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{s-1}$ where ξ is a Dirichlet

character of p -power conductor, ε_{cyc} is the cyclotomic character and s is an integer; this forms a dense subset in \mathcal{W} for the Zariski topology. Let \mathcal{W}° further denote the set of those points with $\xi = 1$; we shall often write s in place of $\nu_s = \nu_{s,1}$. Let $\mathcal{W}^\pm \subset \mathcal{W}$ denote the topological closure of the set of points $\xi \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{s-1}$ with $(-1)^{s-1} \xi(-1) = \pm 1$. We have $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^+ \sqcup \mathcal{W}^-$ and we write $\Lambda = \Lambda^+ \oplus \Lambda^-$ for the corresponding decomposition of the Iwasawa algebra.

2.2. Congruences between canonical periods. Recall that the de Rham Dieudonné module $D_{\text{dR}}(V_f)$ associated to the eigenform f is a $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -filtered vector space of dimension 2. Poincaré duality yields a perfect pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : D_{\text{dR}}(V_f(-1)) \times D_{\text{dR}}(V_{f^*}) \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

and there is an exact sequence of Dieudonné modules

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V_f^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V_f) \rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V_f^{\text{quo}}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $D_{\text{dR}}(V_f^{\text{sub}})$ and $D_{\text{dR}}(V_f^{\text{quo}})$ have both dimension 1.

Falting's theorem associates to f a regular differential form $\omega_f \in \text{Fil}(D_{\text{dR}}(V_f))$, which gives rise to an element in $D_{\text{dR}}(V_f^{\text{quo}})$ via the right-most map in (5) and in turn induces a linear form

$$(6) \quad \omega_f : D_{\text{dR}}(V_{f^*}^{\text{sub}}(-1)) \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \eta \mapsto \langle \omega_f, \eta \rangle$$

that we continue to denote with same symbol by a slight abuse of notation.

There is also a differential η_f , which is characterized by the property that it spans the line $D_{\text{dR}}(V_f^{\text{sub}}(-1))$ and is normalized so that

$$(7) \quad \langle \eta_f, \omega_{f^*} \rangle = 1.$$

Again, it induces a linear form

$$(8) \quad \eta_f : D_{\text{dR}}(V_{f^*}^{\text{quo}}) \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \omega \mapsto \langle \eta_f, \omega \rangle$$

Now, let T be an unramified $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}})]$ -module and set $V = T \otimes F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{ur}}$ denote the completion of the ring of integers of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and define the integral Dieudonné module

$$D(T) := (T \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{ur}})^{\text{Fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}=1}.$$

As shown in [Oh1, Thm. 2.1.11], we have $D_{\text{dR}}(V) = D(T) \otimes F_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

As explained e.g. in [FK, Prop. 1.7.6], there is a functorial isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules (forgetting the Galois structure) given by

$$(9) \quad T \xrightarrow{\sim} D(T).$$

This map is not canonical as it depends on a choice of root of unity; for $T = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\chi)$ we take it to be given by the rule $1 \mapsto \mathfrak{g}(\chi)$.

Recall the free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -quotient

$$T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\psi_f);$$

note in particular that $T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}}$ is unramified.

Recall the uniformizer ϖ fixed at the outset in §2.1. Let $C_{f^*} = (\varpi^r) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the congruence ideal attached to f^* as defined e.g. in [Oh2]. In Hida's terminology, ϖ^r is sometimes called a congruence divisor. According to [KLZ2, §10.1.2], the image of $\eta_{f^*|D(T_{f,Y}^{\text{quo}})}$ is precisely the inverse $C_{f^*}^{-1}$ of the congruence ideal, and hence there is an isomorphism

$$\eta_{f^*} : D(T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}}) \longrightarrow C_{f^*}^{-1}, \quad \omega \mapsto \langle \eta_{f^*}, \omega \rangle.$$

Setting $\tilde{\eta}_{f^*} := \varpi^r \cdot \eta_{f^*}$, the above map gives rise to an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules

$$(10) \quad \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} : D(T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \omega \mapsto \langle \tilde{\eta}_{f^*}, \omega \rangle.$$

Choose an isomorphism of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -modules.

$$(11) \quad \bar{\iota}_1 : \bar{T}_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\theta).$$

Fixing such a map amounts to choosing the class (mod \mathfrak{p}^t) of an isomorphism of local modules $\iota_1 : T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\psi_f)$. In light of the functoriality provided by (9) this determines and is determined by the class (mod \mathfrak{p}^t) of an isomorphism $D(\iota_1) : D(T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}}) \simeq D(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\psi_f))$.

We choose ι_1 as the single isomorphism making the following diagram commutative:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D(T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}}) & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} \rangle} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \downarrow D(\iota_1) & \nearrow \cdot 1/\mathfrak{g}(\theta) & \\ D(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\psi_f)) & & \end{array}$$

Indeed, since both $\langle \cdot, \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} \rangle$ and $\cdot 1/\mathfrak{g}(\theta)$ are isomorphisms, it follows that such a map $D(\iota_1)$ exists and is unique, and this in turn pins down ι_1 and $\bar{\iota}_1$ in light of (9).

There are similar results for the differential ω_f . Using again [KLZ2, §10.1.2], there is an isomorphism

$$\omega_{f^*} : D(T_{f,Y}^{\text{sub}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \eta \mapsto \langle \omega_{f^*}, \eta \rangle.$$

Hence, we may choose an isomorphism of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -modules

$$(13) \quad \bar{\iota}_2 : \bar{T}_{f,Y}^{\text{sub}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(1).$$

Fixing such a map amounts to choosing the class (mod \mathfrak{p}^t) of an isomorphism of local modules $\iota_2 : T_{f,Y}^{\text{sub}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}(k-1)$. This determines and is determined by the class (mod \mathfrak{p}^t) of an isomorphism $D(\iota_2) : D(T_{f,Y}^{\text{sub}}) \simeq D(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

We choose ι_2 as the single isomorphism making the following diagram commutative:

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D(T_{f,Y}^{\text{sub}}) & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \omega_{f^*} \rangle} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \downarrow D(\iota_2) & \nearrow \cdot 1 & \\ D(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) & & \end{array}$$

Indeed, since both $\langle \cdot, \omega_{f^*} \rangle$ and $\cdot 1$ are isomorphisms, it follows that such a map $D(\iota_2)$ exists and is unique, and this in turn pins down ι_2 and $\bar{\iota}_2$.

2.3. The Beilinson–Kato Euler system. In this section we recall the reciprocity law for Beilinson–Kato elements of arbitrary weight. For details on the constructions, see e.g. [Ka] or [BD]. As in the introduction, let $f \in S_k(N, \chi_f)$, let ψ stand for a Dirichlet character, and consider two auxiliary positive integers (ℓ, m) , with $\ell, m \geq 2$ and the triple (k, ℓ, m) balanced. The Beilinson–Kato class is an element

$$(15) \quad \kappa_f \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\psi)(1))$$

obtained as the cup product of two Eisenstein classes δ_g and δ_h of weights $\ell - 2$ and $m - 2$, respectively. The class is then obtained applying the Hochschild–Serre map and projecting to the f -isotypical component. In particular,

$$\delta_g \in H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}, \text{TSym}^{\ell-2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(\psi)(1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_h \in H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}, \text{TSym}^{m-2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(1)).$$

As a piece of notation, we write $c = (k + \ell + m - 2)/2$ and $q = (\ell + m - k)/2 > 0$. Note that the classes also depend on an auxiliary Dirichlet character χ , which is assumed to be non-trivial modulo p and of nebentype relatively prime to p . If the choices of ℓ , m and χ are clear from the setting, we drop them from the notation.

Further, we emphasize that in Kato's construction, in order to get integral classes, one has to choose a pair of integers c, d and the class actually depends on this choice; here, we content ourselves with having p -integral classes. Under the running assumptions, the class of equation (15) is integral at p provided that $p \nmid N$ and that χ is non-trivial modulo p , as it follows from the discussions of e.g. [Kings, §5.4] and [KLZ, §4,7,9].

It may be instructive to keep in mind the parallelism with the weight two scenario considered in [RiRo, §2]. In that case, where $k = \ell = m = 2$, the Beilinson–Kato class is obtained as the cup product of the modular units

$$u_{\chi_1, \chi_2} \cup u_{1, \xi} \in H_{\text{et}}^2(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_p(\chi)(2)),$$

where $u_{\chi_1, \chi_2} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p(\chi_1)(1))$, $u_{1, \xi} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$. Applying now the Hochschild–Serre map and projecting to the f -isotypical component, we get the desired class.

As a piece of notation, let

$$\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$$

denote the Λ -adic cyclotomic character which sends a Galois element σ to the group-like element $[\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma)]$. It interpolates the powers of the \mathbb{Z}_p -cyclotomic character, in the sense that for any arithmetic point of the form $\nu_{s, \xi} \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$(16) \quad \nu_{s, \xi} \circ \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} = \xi \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{s-1}.$$

Finally, let t stand for Fontaine's p -adic analogue of $2\pi i$.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $\alpha_f \psi(p) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there exists a homomorphism of Λ -modules*

$$\mathcal{L}_f^- : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f, Y, \circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes \Lambda(\psi)(\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^q \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}})) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

satisfying the following interpolation property: for $s \in \mathcal{W}^{\circ}$, the specialization of \mathcal{L}_f^- at s is the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{f, s}^- : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f, Y, \circ}^{\text{quo}}(\psi)(s + q - 1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{f, s}^- = \frac{1 - \bar{\psi}(p)\alpha_f^{-1}p^{-s}}{1 - \psi(p)\alpha_f p^{s-1}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^s}{(s-1)!} \times \langle \log_{\text{BK}}, t^s \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} \rangle & \text{if } s \geq 2 - q \\ (-s)! \times \langle \exp_{\text{BK}}^*, t^s \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} \rangle & \text{if } s < 2 - q, \end{cases}$$

where \log_{BK} is the Bloch-Kato logarithm and \exp_{BK}^ , the dual exponential map.*

Proof. This follows from Coleman and Perrin-Riou's theory of Λ -adic logarithm maps as extended by Loeffler and Zerbes in [LZ]. In particular, [KLZ2, Thm. 8.2.3] yields an injective map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f, Y, \circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes \Lambda(\psi)(\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^q \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}})) \longrightarrow D(T_{f, Y, \circ}^{\text{quo}}) \otimes \Lambda,$$

since $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f, \circ}^{\text{quo}}(\psi)) = 0$ because of the assumption that $\alpha_f \psi(p) \not\equiv 1$ modulo \mathfrak{p} . This map is characterized by the interpolation property formulated in [LZ, Appendix B]. Next we apply the pairing of (10) and the result follows. \square

In particular, for $q = 0$, we recover the homomorphism introduced in [RiRo, §3.4]. The assumption $\alpha_f \psi(p) \not\equiv 1$ modulo \mathfrak{p} is necessary to have image in Λ , but it may be still possible that for a fixed value of s the image is integral even though the condition is not satisfied (see [KLZ2, §8.2] for a precise description of the kernel and cokernel of that map).

The following result, essentially due to Kato (and reformulated in our language by Bertolini and Darmon), shows that there exists a Λ -adic cohomology class whose bottom layer is precisely κ_f and whose image under a suitable regulator map recovers the p -adic L -function attached to f^* . To fix notations, let $L_p(f^*, \psi, s)$ stand for the Mazur–Swinnerton-Dyer p -adic L -function attached to a modular form f^* and a Dirichlet character ψ , with the conventions used in Section 3 of loc. cit.. Let $L_p(f^*, E_k(\chi_1, \chi_2), s)$ be the Hida–Rankin p -adic L -function

attached to the two modular forms f^* and $E_k(\chi_1, \chi_2)$. The result admits different variations according to our precise choice of twists, but all of them follow from this one in an easy way.

Proposition 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, there exists a Λ -adic cohomology class*

$$\kappa_{f,\infty} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes \Lambda^-(\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^q \underline{\varepsilon}_{\text{cyc}}))$$

such that:

- (a) *There is an explicit reciprocity law*

$$\mathcal{L}_f^-(\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,\infty})^-) = L_p(f^*, E_\ell(1, \chi), q + s),$$

and there is a factorization

$$L_p(f^*, E_\ell(1, \chi), q + s) = \frac{-L_p(f^*, \chi, \frac{k+\ell-m}{2})}{\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\chi})} \times L_p(f^*, q + s).$$

Here, res_p stands for the map corresponding to localization at p and $\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,\infty})^-$ is the image under the map induced in cohomology from the projection map $T_{f,Y} \rightarrow T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}}$.

- (b) *The bottom layer $\kappa_f(q)$ lies in $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_f(q))$ and satisfies*

$$\kappa_f(q) = \mathcal{E}_f \cdot \kappa_f,$$

where \mathcal{E}_f is the Euler factor

$$\mathcal{E}_f = (1 - \bar{\chi}(p)\beta_f p^{m-c-1})(1 - \bar{\chi}_f \chi(p)\beta_f p^{\ell-c-1})(1 - \alpha_f p^{-1})(1 - \beta_f p^{-1}).$$

Proof. This is due to Kato [Ka]; see also the work of Bertolini and Darmon [BD, Thm. 4.4 and 5.1]. \square

Note that [BD] does not keep track of integrality issues, but the running assumptions are enough to have integral classes at p ; in particular, the factors depending on c and d are invertible modulo p because of the non-triviality of the auxiliary character χ and may be absorbed inside the cohomology classes (see e.g. [KLZ2, §9.4] for a discussion on the shape of the factors, where the role of χ is played by the product of χ and ν in their notations).

2.4. The Beilinson–Flach Euler system. We now introduce the Beilinson–Flach classes and a reciprocity law for them. See [KLZ] and [KLZ2] for details on the construction. Let $f \in S_k(N, \chi_f)$ and $g \in S_\ell(N, \chi_g)$ be two cuspidal eigenforms with $\chi_f \chi_g \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, and let s be an integer such that $1 \leq s < \min(k, \ell)$. The Beilinson–Flach element constructed in [KLZ] is a class

$$(17) \quad \kappa_{f,g} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(1-s)),$$

obtained via the pushforward of a class

$$\delta_h \in H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}, \text{TSym}^{m-2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}))(1)$$

along the diagonal $Y \hookrightarrow Y^2$; then one applies the Hochschild–Serre map and projects to the (f, g) -isotypic component. Here, we can see the cyclotomic twist s as a shortcut for $c - m + 1$. Observe once more that these classes have no denominators at p ; see [KLZ, Rk. 7.1.2] and [KLZ2, §3.2].

In the case where $k = \ell = 2$ and $s = 1$, the construction can be summarized as follows: take the Eisenstein element $u_{1, \chi_f \chi_g} \in H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$ and consider the Gysin map (push-forward of appropriate sheaves) along the diagonal $Y \hookrightarrow Y \times Y$ to get a class in $H_{\text{et}}^3(Y^2, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$. Applying the Hochschild–Serre map and projecting to the (f, g) -isotypic component we get the desired class. See e.g. [KLZ, §4]. (It must be pointed out that we are allowed to use the Hochschild–Serre identification since the H^3 of a surface over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes.)

Remark 2.4. The classes we are working with are the so-called *Eisenstein classes* in the terminology of [KLZ2, §8]. Observe that the Euler factors that appear at Proposition 8.1.3 of loc. cit. are precisely the same as those of the previous section, except for the c -factor that arises in the reciprocity law for Beilinson–Flach elements when we vary f and g in families and which plays no role when $\chi_f \chi_g \neq 1$. If we had opted to work with integral classes, and not just p -integral classes, we should have kept track of this choice of c and do that in a compatible way in the case of Beilinson–Kato elements.

Proposition 2.5. *Assume that $\alpha_f \alpha_g^{-1} \chi_g(p) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there exists a homomorphism of Λ -modules*

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,g}^{-+} : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes T_{g,Y}^{\text{sub}} \otimes \Lambda(\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} \underline{\varepsilon}_{\text{cyc}})) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

satisfying the following interpolation property: for $r \in \mathcal{W}^\circ$, the specialization of $\mathcal{L}_{f,g}^{-+}$ at s is the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,g,s}^{-+} : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes T_{g,Y}^{\text{sub}}(s)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,g,s}^{-+} = \frac{1 - \alpha_f^{-1} \beta_g^{-1} p^{-s}}{1 - \alpha_f \beta_g p^{s-1}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{s!} \times \langle \log_{\text{BK}}, t^{s+1} \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} \otimes \omega_{g^*} \rangle & \text{if } s \geq 0 \\ (-s-1)! \times \langle \exp_{\text{BK}}^*, t^{s+1} \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} \otimes \omega_{g^*} \rangle & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As in Proposition 2.2, this follows from the general theory of Perrin-Riou maps (see [KLZ2, Thm. 8.2.8] for this formulation). \square

For the following result, let $L_p(f^*, g^*, s)$ stand for the Hida–Rankin p -adic L -function attached to the convolution of two modular forms. Note that this function is not symmetric on the two modular forms. Let $\chi = \bar{\chi}_f \bar{\chi}_g$. The following result, established by Kings, Loeffler and Zerbes, shows that there exists a Λ -adic class whose bottom layer is $\kappa_{f,g}$ (up to a suitable Euler factor) and which recovers the Hida–Rankin p -adic L -functions.

Proposition 2.6. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.5, there exists a Λ -adic cohomology class*

$$\kappa_{f,g,\infty} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \Lambda(\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} \underline{\varepsilon}_{\text{cyc}}))$$

such that:

- (a) *There is an explicit reciprocity law*

$$\mathcal{L}_f^-(\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,g,\infty})^{-+}) = L_p(f^*, g^*, 1+s),$$

where res_p stands for the map corresponding to localization at p and $\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,g,\infty})^{-+}$ is the image under the map induced in cohomology from the projection map $T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \rightarrow T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes T_{g,Y}^{\text{sub}}$.

- (b) *For $1 \leq s < \min\{k, \ell\}$, the bottom layer $\kappa_{f,g}(s) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(s))$ satisfies*

$$\kappa_{f,g}(s) = \mathcal{E}_{f,g} \cdot \kappa_{f,g},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{f,g}$ is the Euler factor

$$\mathcal{E}_{f,g} = (1 - \beta_f \alpha_g p^{-s})(1 - \beta_f \beta_g \chi(p) p^{s+1-k-\ell})(1 - \beta_g \alpha_f p^{-s})(1 - \beta_g \beta_f p^{-s}).$$

Proof. This follows from considering the result of [KLZ2, Thm. 10.2.2] when the two modular forms are fixed. \square

Note that the condition $\chi_f \chi_g \neq 1$ assures that the c -factor appearing in the reciprocity law is integral and can be absorbed inside the cohomology class. Further, for our applications we do not need to consider the whole cyclotomic variation, and it is enough to focus our attention to *geometric* twists, that is, values of s for which certain inequalities are satisfied (and in particular, the results of [KLZ] suffice). As anticipated before, this means that $1 \leq s < \min(k, \ell)$.

2.5. Diagonal cycles. We finally introduce the cohomology classes obtained via diagonal cycles and their reciprocity laws, following [DR4], [BSV1] and [BSV2, §3]. We also assume that the classes we are going to deal with have no denominators at p , and following the discussion of [BSV2, Rk. 3.3] this is always the case provided that p is greater than the weights. Consider a triple of cuspidal eigenforms $f \in S_k(N, \chi_f)$, $g \in S_\ell(N, \chi_g)$ and $h \in S_m(N, \chi_h)$, with $k + \ell + m$ even and $\chi_f \chi_g \chi_h = 1$; we also assume that the Galois representation attached to f is absolutely irreducible. Let $c = (k + \ell + m - 2)/2$. The diagonal cycle class is an element

$$(18) \quad \kappa_{f,g,h} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes T_{h,Y}(1-c)).$$

When the weights are all two, the class is constructed by pushing forward the class $1 \in H_{\text{et}}^0(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ along the diagonal inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow Y^3$; this gives an element in $H^4(Y^3, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$, which gives the desired class after applying the Hochschild–Serre map following by projection to the (f, g, h) -isotypic component. Following [DR1], one can also view the diagonal class directly over the closed modular curve, which in our case is a consequence of the congruence relations we will develop (at least modulo p).

Contrary to the previous settings, the absence of a cyclotomic variable makes the situation slightly different. Fortunately, we only need the reciprocity law pointwise, at a point of weight (k, ℓ, m) . If a greater level of generality was needed, we could move all three modular forms (f, g, h) along a Hida (or Coleman) family, but restricting to the central twist. Although the Perrin-Riou map makes sense for the whole family of twists, since the classes are only available for the central ones, we restrict to those ones from now on.

Definition 2.7. Assume that $\alpha_f \chi_f^{-1}(p) \alpha_g^{-1} \alpha_h^{-1} \not\equiv 1$ modulo \mathfrak{p} . Then we define $\mathcal{L}_{f,g,h}^{-++}$ as the morphism of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,g,h}^{-++} : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes T_{g,Y}^{\text{sub}} \otimes T_{h,Y}^{\text{sub}}(1-c)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,g,h}^{-++} = \frac{1 - \alpha_f^{-1} \beta_g^{-1} \beta_h^{-1} p^{-1}}{1 - \alpha_f \beta_g \beta_h} \times \langle \log_{\text{BK}}, \tilde{\eta}_{f^*} \otimes \omega_{g^*} \otimes \omega_{h^*} \rangle$$

For the following result, let $L_p(f^*, g^*, h^*, c)$ stand for the special value at weights (k, ℓ, m) of the triple product p -adic L -function associated to the Hida families passing through (f, g, h) , as introduced in [DR1, §4]; in particular, this corresponds to the central value of the triple product at the point $s = \frac{k+\ell+m}{2} - 1 = c$. As recalled in loc.cit., we can also interpret these values in terms of a suitable pairing, from which it is clear the parallelism with the Hida–Rankin one used in previous sections.

When dealing with diagonal cycles, we implicitly fix a choice of test vectors, for instance as in [Hs] (note that we can do it since the dominant p -adic L -function will never be the Eisenstein one). To keep the parallelism with the previous settings, let

$$\kappa_{f,g,h,\text{fam}} = \mathcal{E}_{f,g,h} \cdot \kappa_{f,g,h},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{f,g,h}$ is the Euler factor

$$\mathcal{E}_{f,g,h} = (1 - \beta_f \alpha_g \beta_h p^{-c})(1 - \beta_f \beta_g \alpha_h p^{-c})(1 - \alpha_f \beta_g \beta_h p^{-c})(1 - \beta_f \beta_g \beta_h p^{-c}).$$

We can understand this class as the result of the specialization of a class varying in Hida families.

Proposition 2.8. *Under the conditions of Definition 2.7, there is an equality*

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,g,h}^{-++}(\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,g,h,\text{fam}})^{-++}) = L_p(f^*, g^*, h^*, c),$$

where res_p stands for the map corresponding to localization at p and $\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,g,h,\text{fam}})^{-++}$ is the image under the map induced in cohomology from the projection map $T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes T_{h,Y}(1-c) \rightarrow T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes T_{g,Y}^{\text{sub}} \otimes T_{h,Y}^{\text{sub}}(1-c)$.

Proof. This follows from the reciprocity law for diagonal cycles as in e.g. [DR1, Thm. 1.3]; see also [BSV2, §3]. \square

3. CONGRUENCES BETWEEN BEILINSON–FLACH AND BEILINSON–KATO CLASSES

Let $f \in S_k(N, \chi_f)$ and $g \in S_\ell(N, \chi_g)$ be two cuspidal eigenforms with $\chi_g, \chi_f \chi_g \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. We also fix an integer s with $1 \leq s < \min\{k, \ell\}$, and introduce the auxiliary variable $m = k + \ell - 2s$. Recall that F is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} containing the field of coefficients of f and g , and \mathcal{O} is its ring of integers. Fix algebraic closures $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Q}_p and an embedding $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. This singles out a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O} lying above p and we let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ denote the completion of \mathcal{O} at \mathfrak{p} . The Beilinson–Flach class we consider here is the element

$$\kappa_{f,g} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(1-s))$$

introduced in Section 2.4, where we omit the dependence on s .

In this section, we carry out a comparison with the image modulo \mathfrak{p}^t of the Beilinson–Kato element

$$\kappa_f \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\ell-s))$$

of Section 2.3.

Throughout all the section, we keep the following simplifying assumptions, which are implicitly used in the different results we develop. In case of needing some extra condition for a particular claim, we will state it explicitly.

- The *weak-Gorenstein condition* for g , as presented in Assumption 2.1.
- The residual Galois representation attached to f is absolutely irreducible.

Consider now the Eisenstein congruence setting, where

$$g \equiv E_\ell(\chi_g, 1) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t},$$

or alternatively $g^* \equiv E_\ell(1, \bar{\chi}_g)$, which implies that \mathfrak{p}^t divides the Bernoulli number $B_2(\bar{\chi}_g)$.

Since $g \equiv E_\ell(\chi_g, 1)$, we may consider the projection $T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_g)$, that gives rise to a class

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_g)(1-s)).$$

When $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1}$ vanishes, and following the arguments of [RiRo, Prop. 4.1], we may consider a putative refinement of it. In particular, from

$$0 \rightarrow T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,X} \rightarrow T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \rightarrow T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_g),$$

we may take the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,X}(1-s)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(1-s)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_g)(1-s))$$

in which the last map sends $\kappa_{f,g}$ to $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1}$. When the latter is zero, we may lift the class to the closed modular curve and project to the corresponding quotient. We end up with the class

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,2} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\ell-s)),$$

which satisfies the reciprocity law of Prop. 2.6.

The objective of this section is studying these cohomology classes and relate them with the arithmetic of Beilinson–Kato classes. Our study rests on the Perrin–Riou theory, which require suitable bounds on the corresponding Selmer groups coming from Iwasawa theory.

We state the assumptions that are needed to establish our results. We denote by $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, -)$ for the subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, -)$ obtained imposing the Greenberg local conditions, resulting from imposing the unramified condition at the primes $v \neq p$ and the Bloch–Kato condition at p (see e.g. [KLZ, §11]). Further, we $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, - \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is the reduction modulo \mathfrak{p}^t of the classes in $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, -)$.

We introduce now two different sets of assumptions, that are needed for the two different results that will be established.

Assumption 3.1. (a) The space $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1-s))$ is free of rank one over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
 (b) It holds that

$$H^0(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t) = 0, \quad H^2(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1-s)) = 0$$

and $\alpha_f \chi_g(p) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Assumption 3.2. (a) The space $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s))$ is free of rank one.
 (b) It holds that $H^2(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s)) = 0$ and $\alpha_f \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

In the case of Assumption 3.2 (and similarly for Assumption 3.1), Kato [Ka] proved that under big image assumptions for the representation $T_{f,Y}$, condition (a) is true when the corresponding Kato Euler system for $T_{f,Y}(\ell-s)$ is non-zero. This can be seen as a mild condition in the cases where both classes involved in the picture are non-trivial, and which fails precisely when the order of vanishing is strictly larger than what one would expect due to sign reasons. Assumption (b) may be regarded as a condition about non-exceptional zeros which also assures that the denominator of the Perrin-Riou map does not vanish modulo \mathfrak{p} . Further, we want to exclude the case where f is also congruent to an Eisenstein series.

Remark 3.3. A first step to understand the vanishing of the H^0 and H^2 groups in Assumption 3.1 is in terms of the local cohomology groups; note that $H^0(\mathbb{Q}, -)$ stands for the invariant elements under the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, while $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, -)$ refers to the invariant elements under $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. In particular, if $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, -) = 0$, we have that $H^0(\mathbb{Q}, -)$.

Then the triviality of both the local H^0 or the local H^2 may be formulated in terms on a condition over the Hecke eigenvalues. If $p > k + \ell - 2$, these are the following ones:

- The group $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t) \neq 0$ if and only if $s = 1$ and $\alpha_f \chi_g(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- The group $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1-s)) \neq 0$ if and only if $s = 1$ and $\chi_g(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- The group $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s)) \neq 0$ if and only if $s = \ell - 1$ and $\alpha_f(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

If $p > k + \ell - 2$ and under Assumption 2.1, $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t) = 0$. (Observe however that the global H^0 may be zero even though the corresponding local group is non-trivial.)

Finally, note that if $p \leq k + \ell - 2$ we must be more cautious: $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}(r))$ is non-zero when $r \equiv 0$ modulo $p - 1$ (the cyclotomic character pulls back to the Teichmüller lift). See [Man, §3.1] for a more elaborated discussion on that issue.

Proposition 3.4. *Under the conditions of Assumptions 3.1 (resp. 3.2), the Selmer group with Greenberg condition $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ (resp. $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$) is free of rank one over $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t$.*

Proof. We do the proof for the case of $T_{f,Y}(\ell-s)$, and the other case is completely analogous. Beginning with

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{f,Y}(\ell-s) \xrightarrow{\times \varpi^t} T_{f,Y}(\ell-s) \longrightarrow T_{f,Y}(\ell-s)/\mathfrak{p}^t T_{f,Y}(\ell-s) \longrightarrow 0,$$

our assumptions guarantee that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s)) \xrightarrow{\times \varpi^t} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t) \rightarrow 0,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s))}{\varpi^t H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s))} \simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t).$$

The conclusion now follows from Assumption 3.1, which allow us to say that the Greenberg Selmer group $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1-s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is free of rank one over $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *The Bloch–Kato logarithm of Proposition 2.2 is injective.*

Proof. Note that $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y}(\ell - s)) = 0$ by an immediate inspection of the Hodge–Tate weights. Then the result follows from the construction of the Bloch–Kato logarithm discussed in [KLZ2, Section 8.2]. \square

The first result of this note establishes the vanishing of $\text{loc}_p(\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1})$ under the previous assumptions. In the statement, χ is the Dirichlet character involved in the construction of the Kato class κ_f , as described in 2.3. Note that there may be interesting cases where the hypotheses fail, leading to a tantalizing connection with the theory of circular units that we expect to explore in forthcoming work.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose the conditions of Assumption 3.1 are true. If the p -adic L -values $L_p(f^*, \chi\bar{\chi}_g, s), L_p(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g, s)$ are both non-zero modulo p , then the class $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1}$ vanishes.*

Proof. Using the running assumptions, the space $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\chi_g)(1 - s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is free of rank one and contains a canonical element, the Beilinson–Kato class κ_f resulting from the specialization of a twist of the element $\kappa_{f,\infty}$ in Proposition 2.3.

The projection of this class to $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y}^-(\chi_g)(1 - s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is non-zero, since its image under the Perrin–Riou regulator is precisely $L_p(f^*, \chi\bar{\chi}_g, s) \cdot L_p(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g, s)$, which does not vanish due to the assumptions. However, the projection to that quotient of $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1}$ is zero because of the local properties satisfied by the Beilinson–Flach class (see [KLZ, Lemma 8.1.5, Prop. 8.1.7]). \square

Remark 3.7. The condition $L_p(f^*, \chi\bar{\chi}_g, s) \neq 0$ is very mild, since we can choose any χ among all the characters which are non-trivial at p and of nebentype relatively prime to p . Then the core of the condition is $L_p(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g, s) \neq 0$ modulo p ; that is, the Kato class must be non-trivial modulo p to be able to apply this argument.

When $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1}$ vanishes modulo \mathfrak{p} , we may consider the class $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,2}$, and compare it with the previous Kato class.

The comparison we want to understand is summarized in the following diagram in the case of weight two (and therefore trivial coefficients), where the upper row gives the Beilinson–Flach class and the bottom one, the Beilinson–Kato element.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{et}}^3(Y^2, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{et}}^2(\bar{Y}^2, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}) \\ & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(1)). \end{array}$$

When the cohomology class $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1}$ vanishes we may lift the class $\kappa_{f,g}$ to an element in the cohomology with compact support and use the opposite projection. In the following result, κ_f is the Kato class for which the auxiliary character is precisely $\bar{\chi}_g$.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,1} = 0$ and that the conditions of Assumption 3.2 hold. If $L_p(f^*, \bar{\chi}_g, s), L_p(f^*, s - \ell + 1) \neq 0$ modulo p , the following congruence holds in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\ell - s))$:*

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,2} = \bar{\kappa}_f.$$

Proof. From the reciprocity laws stated as Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.6, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_f^-(\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,\infty})^-) \equiv \mathcal{L}_{f,g}^+(\text{res}_p(\kappa_{f,g,\infty})^{++}) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$$

because both p -adic L -functions are congruent under the running condition on g . Using Lemma 3.5, and since the p -adic L -functions (and therefore the classes) are non-zero, we can upgrade this to the desired equality using the period relations discussed in [RiRo, Section 3.5]. The result follows from the assumptions of the statement since the Greenberg subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y}(\ell - s) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is torsion-free of rank 1. \square

Remark 3.9. A similar approach works for extending our results to the Iwasawa cohomology classes, that is, incorporating the cyclotomic variation. In that case, some of the assumptions about the H_{Gr}^1 being free of rank one are expected to be relaxed, since in many case one can prove that the corresponding H^1 in families always has the desired rank.

4. CONGRUENCES BETWEEN DIAGONAL CYCLES AND BEILINSON–FLACH ELEMENTS

We continue the development of the theory of Eisenstein congruences between Euler systems with the case of diagonal cycles and Beilinson–Flach elements. Consider now a triple of three cuspidal eigenforms (f, g, h) and nebentype (χ_f, χ_g, χ_h) , with common level N and with $p \nmid N$. We assume that they satisfy the self-duality condition $\chi_f \chi_g \chi_h = 1$, and for simplicity we assume that $\chi_h \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The diagonal cycle class we consider is a global element

$$\kappa_{f,g,h} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes T_{h,Y}(1-c)).$$

In this section, we carry out a comparison with the Beilinson–Flach element

$$\kappa_{f,g} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m-c)).$$

Throughout all the section, we keep the following simplifying assumptions:

- The *weak-Gorenstein condition* for h , as presented in Assumption 2.1.
- The residual Galois representations attached to f and g are absolutely irreducible, and the class $\kappa_{f,g,h}$ is integral at p .

We consider the Eisenstein congruence setting $h \equiv E_m(\chi_h, 1) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$. Then there is a class

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(\chi_h)(1-c)).$$

When $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1}$ vanishes, and as discussed before, we may consider a putative refinement of it, namely

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,2} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(m-c)),$$

which satisfies the reciprocity law of Prop. 2.8.

We begin with the following assumptions.

Assumption 4.1. (a) The space $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(\chi_h)(1-c))$ is free of rank one over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

(b) It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(\chi_h)(1-c) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t) &= 0, & H^2(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(\chi_h)(1-c)) &= 0 \\ \text{and } \alpha_f \alpha_g^{-1} \chi_h(p), \alpha_f^{-1} \alpha_g \chi_h(p) &\not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assumption 4.2. (a) The space $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m-c))$ is free of rank one.

(b) It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(m-c)) &= 0, & H^2(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m-c)) &= 0 \\ \text{and } \alpha_f \alpha_g^{-1} &\not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Kings–Loeffler–Zerbes [KLZ] proved that under big image assumptions for the representation $T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}$, condition (a) is true when the corresponding Beilinson–Kato class does not vanish, so this is a relatively mild condition. Assumption (b) may be regarded as a condition for non-exceptional zeros. As before, the previous conditions may be rephrased as a condition over the Frobenius eigenvalues modulo p whenever p is large enough (in particular, $p > k + \ell + m$ is enough):

- The group $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(m-c)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha_f(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\alpha_g(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$.
- The group $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(\chi_h)(1-c)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi_h(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

- The group $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(m-c)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi_h(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^t}$.
- The group $H^2(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m-c)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi_f(p) \equiv 1$ or $\chi_g(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The proof of the following result is done in the same way as Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 4.3. *Under the Assumptions 4.2, the space $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is free of rank one over $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t$.*

The following result is proved in the same way as in the previous section using the general theory of Perrin-Riou maps.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(m-c)) = 0$. Then the Bloch–Kato logarithm is injective.*

We now state the first congruence concerning diagonal cycles.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose the conditions of Assumption 4.1 hold. Then if $L_p(f^*, g^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c) \neq 0$ or $L_p(g^*, f^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c) \neq 0$ modulo p , the class $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1}$ vanishes.*

Proof. The space $H_{\text{Gr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}(\chi_h)(1-c) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is free of rank one over $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t$ under the running assumptions. It is spanned by the Beilinson–Flach class when this is non-zero; this is the class resulting from the specialization of the element $\kappa_{f,g,\infty}$ in Theorem 2.6.

If $L_p(f^*, g^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c) \neq 0$, the projection of this class to $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}^-(\chi_h)(1-c) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t)$ is non-zero, since its image under the Perrin-Riou regulator is precisely $L_p(f^*, g^*, \bar{\chi}_h, c)$, which does not vanish due to the assumptions. However, the projection to that quotient of $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1}$ is zero because of the local properties satisfied by the diagonal cycle class (see [DR4, Prop. 5.8]). \square

When $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1}$ vanishes, we may lift the class to the cohomology of the open modular curve and consider a diagram like the following (in weight 2), where the upper row gives the diagonal cycle and the bottom one, the Beilinson–Flach element. (We have shortened the notation and written $T_{f,g,h} := T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes T_{h,Y}$ and $T_{f,g} := T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y}$.)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{\text{et}}^0(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{et}}^4(Y^3, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{et}}^3(\bar{Y}^3, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,g,h}(-1)) \\ & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & H_{\text{et}}^3(Y^2, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{et}}^2(\bar{Y}^2, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,g}). \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,1} = 0$ and that the conditions of Assumption 4.2 hold. If $L_p(f^*, g^*, c+1-m) \neq 0$ or $L_p(g, f, c+1-m) \neq 0$, the following congruence holds in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,g} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(m-c))$:*

$$\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,2} = \bar{\kappa}_{f,g}.$$

Proof. We study the congruence via the theory of Perrin-Riou maps. Consider the projection

$$\pi_{f,g}^{-+} : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,g}(m-c) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{f,Y,\circ}^{\text{quo}} \otimes T_{g,Y}^{\text{sub}}(m-c) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t).$$

From the previous proposition and the reciprocity laws of [KLZ] and [DR4], we have that the images of $\bar{\kappa}_{f,g,h,2}$ and $\kappa_{f,g}$ under the appropriate Bloch–Kato logarithms agree, since the corresponding p -adic L -functions take the same value modulo \mathfrak{p}^t from the congruence condition; this can be easily seen, for instance, using the expressions in terms of Petersson products, as in [DR1, Cor. 4.13]. When some of the two p -adic L -functions is non-zero, we can upgrade this to the equality of the statement using the period relations discussed in [RiRo, Section 3.5], and the statement follows.

Using now Lemma 4.4, we may upgrade that result to an equality in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{f,Y} \otimes T_{g,Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(m-c))$. \square

Remark 4.7. It would be interesting to understand these congruences in terms of the explicit geometric description of the cycles, following the seminal work of Bloch [Bl] and the approach of [MVW]. This approach, as well as others connecting different Euler systems, is based on a comparison at the level of p -adic L -functions, and it would be nice to have a more geometric understanding of this phenomenon.

Remark 4.8. A case which is specially intriguing occurs when more than one of the modular forms are congruent with Eisenstein series. However, the assumptions needed to conclude that the corresponding Greenberg cohomology spaces are free of rank one do not hold (the big image condition does not hold since the modulo \mathfrak{p} representations are not irreducible). We expect to end up with a single class in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^t(2))$, which could potentially be understood using similar techniques to those developed by Sharifi and Fukaya–Kato.

REFERENCES

- [BD] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon. *Kato’s Euler system and rational points on elliptic curves I: a p -adic Beilinson formula*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **199** (2014), 163–178.
- [BDR1] M. Bertolini, H. Darmon, V. Rotger *Beilinson–Flach elements and Euler systems II: syntomic regulators and p -adic Rankin L -series*, *J. Algebraic Geometry* **24** (2015), 355–378.
- [BDR2] M. Bertolini, H. Darmon, and V. Rotger *Beilinson–Flach elements and Euler systems II: p -adic families and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture*, *J. Algebraic Geometry* **24** (2015), 569–604.
- [BDV] M. Bertolini, H. Darmon, and R. Venerucci. *Heegner points and Beilinson–Kato elements: a conjecture of Perrin-Riou*, *Advances in Math.* **398** (2022), 1–50.
- [BSV1] M. Bertolini, M. Seveso, and R. Venerucci. *Diagonal classes and the Bloch–Kato conjecture*, *Münster J. of Math.* **13** (2020), 317–352.
- [BSV2] M. Bertolini, M. Seveso, and R. Venerucci. *Reciprocity laws for balanced diagonal classes*, *Astérisque* **434** (2022), 175–201.
- [Bl] S. Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and higher K -theory*, *Advances Math.* **61** (1986), 267–304.
- [DR1] H. Darmon and V. Rotger. *Diagonal cycles and Euler systems I: a p -adic Gross-Zagier formula*, *Annales Scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure* **47**, no. 4, 47 p.
- [DR2] H. Darmon and V. Rotger. *Diagonal cycles and Euler systems II: the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for Hasse-Weil-Artin L -series*, *Journal Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (2017), 601–672.
- [DR4] H. Darmon and V. Rotger. *p -adic families of diagonal cycles*, *Astérisque* **434** (2022), 29–76.
- [Fl] M. Flach. *A finiteness theorem for the symmetric square of an elliptic curve*, *Invent. Math.* **57** (1980), no. 1, 83–95.
- [FK] T. Fukaya and K. Kato. *On conjectures of Sharifi*, preprint.
- [Fu] W. Fulton. *Equivariant Cohomology in Algebraic Geometry. Eilenberg lectures*, Columbia University, Spring 2007.
- [Hs] M.L. Hsieh. *Hida families and p -adic triple product L -functions*, *Amer. J. Math.* **143** (2021), no. 2, 411–532.
- [Ka] K. Kato. *p -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, *Astérisque* **295** (2004), no. 9, 117–290.
- [Kings] G. Kings. *On p -adic interpolation of motivic Eisenstein classes*, on *Elliptic Curves, Modular Forms and Iwasawa Theory - Conference in honour of the 70th birthday of John Coates*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **188** (2017), 335–371.
- [KLZ] G. Kings, D. Loeffler, and S.L. Zerbes. *Rankin–Eisenstein classes for modular forms*, *Amer. J. Math.* **142** (2020), no. 1, 79–138.
- [KLZ2] G. Kings, D. Loeffler, and S.L. Zerbes. *Rankin-Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws*, *Cambridge J. Math* **5** (2017), no. 1, 1–122.
- [KrLi] D. Kriz, C. Li, *Goldfeld’s conjecture and congruences between Heegner points*, *Forum Math. Sigma* **7** (2019), e15, 80pp.
- [LLZ] A. Lei, D. Loeffler, and S.L. Zerbes, *Euler systems for Rankin Selberg convolutions*, *Annals Math.* **180** (2014), no. 2, 653–771.
- [LR] D. Loeffler and O. Rivero, *Eisenstein degeneration of Euler systems*, preprint.
- [LZ] D. Loeffler and S.L. Zerbes. *Iwasawa theory and p -adic L -functions over \mathbb{Z}_p^2 -extensions*, *Int. J. Number Theory* **10** (2014), no. 8, 2045–2096.
- [Man] M. Manji, *Euler systems and Selmer bounds for $GU(2,1)$* , preprint.
- [MVW] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel, *Notes on motivic cohomology*.

- [Nek] J. Nekovář. *p-adic Abel-Jacobi maps and p-adic heights*. Lecture notes of the author's lecture at the 1998 Conference "The Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic Cycles".
- [Oh1] M. Ohta. *Ordinary p-adic étale cohomology groups attached to towers of elliptic modular curves II*, *Math. Annalen* **318** (2000), no. 3, 557–583.
- [Oh2] M. Ohta. *Congruence modules related to Eisenstein series*, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **36** (2003), no. 4, 225–269.
- [RiRo] O. Rivero and V. Rotger. *Motivic congruences and Sharifi's conjecture*, to appear in *Amer. J. Math.*
- [Va] V. Vatsal. *Canonical periods and congruence formulas*, *Duke Math. J.*, **98**, no. 2 (1999), 397–419.

O. R.: SIMONS LAUFER MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES INSTITUTE, 17 GAUSS WAY, BERKELEY, CA 94720, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Email address: riverosalgado@gmail.com

V. R.: IMTECH, UPC AND CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMÀTIQUES, C. JORDI GIRONA 1-3, 08034 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: victor.rotger@upc.edu