EASY DECISION-DIFFIE-HELLMAN GROUPS

STEVEN D. GALBRAITH AND VICTOR ROTGER

ABSTRACT. The decision-Diffie-Hellman problem (DDH) is a central computational problem in cryptography. It is already known that the Weil and Tate pairings can be used to solve many DDH problems on elliptic curves. A natural question is whether all DDH problems are easy on supersingular curves. To answer this question it is necessary to have suitable distortion maps. Verheul states that such maps exist, and this paper gives an algorithm to construct them. The paper therefore shows that all DDH problems on the supersingular elliptic curves used in practice are easy. We also discuss the issue of which DDH problems on ordinary curves are easy.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the Weil and Tate pairings make many decision-Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problems on elliptic curves easy. This observation is behind exciting new developments in pairing-based cryptography. This paper studies the question of which DDH problems are easy and which are not necessarily easy. First we recall some definitions.

Decision Diffie-Hellman problem (DDH): Let G be a cyclic group of prime order r written additively. The DDH problem is to distinguish the two distributions in G^4

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \{(P, aP, bP, abP) : P \in G, 0 \le a, b < r\} \quad \text{and} \\
D_2 &= \{(P, aP, bP, cP) : P \in G, 0 \le a, b, c < r\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here D_1 is the set of valid Diffie-Hellman-tuples and $D_2 = G^4$. By 'distinguish' we mean there is an algorithm which takes as input an element of G^4 and outputs "valid" or "invalid", such that if the input is chosen with probability 1/2 from each of D_1 and $D_2 - D_1$ then the output is correct with probability significantly more than 1/2. (for precise definitions see Boneh [3]). The DDH problem for a family of groups is said to be hard if there is no polynomial time algorithm which distinguishes the two distributions. A widely believed assumption in cryptography is that there exist families of groups for which the DDH is problem is hard.

We now give a generalisation of the DDH problem which, following Boneh, Lynn and Shacham [5], we call co-DDH.

Generalised Decision Diffie-Hellman problem (co-DDH): Let G_1 and G_2 be two cyclic groups of prime order r. The co-DDH problem is to distinguish the two distributions in $G_1^2 \times G_2^2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{(P, aP, Q, aQ) : P \in G_1, Q \in G_2, 0 \le a < r\} \quad \text{and} \\
&\{(P, aP, Q, cQ) : P \in G_1, Q \in G_2, 0 \le a, c < r\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The goal of this article is to determine which DDH and co-DDH problems on elliptic curves are made easy by using pairings. A common technique is to use distortion maps to ensure that the pairing is non-trivial. Theorem 5 of Verheul [28] states that a suitable distortion map always exists for subgroups of supersingular curves. This result alone does not imply that all DDH problems can be solved efficiently. In Sections 2 and 3 we show how to handle almost all cases. In Section 5 we give an alternative proof of Theorem 5 of [28] (restricting to the remaining cases) which is more constructive. In Section 6 we give an algorithm to construct distortion maps. The complexity analysis of our algorithm proves that all DDH problems are easy on the supersingular elliptic curves used in practice.

This result may have applications as it means that cryptographic protocols can use random points P, Q on an elliptic curve and there is always a modified pairing so that $e(P, Q) \neq 1$.

In the case of ordinary elliptic curves there are two hard DDH subgroups remaining. Understanding whether these are truly hard is a challenge to any interested person.

2. Elliptic curves

We will be concerned with elliptic curves E over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that r is a large prime dividing $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and such that $\gcd(r, q) = 1$. The embedding degree is the smallest positive integer k such that $r \mid (q^k - 1)$. We restrict attention to elliptic curves such that k is not large (say, at most polynomial in $\log(q)$). Hence, one can compute in $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$. We always assume that k is coprime to r (this is always true since r is a large prime and k is small).

We will repeatedly make use of the following properties of the Weil pairing (see Silverman [25]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be points of prime order r. Then*

    (1) $e_r(P, P) = 1$.
    (2) *If P and Q generate $E[r]$ then $e_r(P, Q) \neq 1$.*
    (3) $R \in \langle P \rangle$ *if and only if $e_r(P, R) = 1$.*

Proof. The first statement is the well-known alternating property of the Weil pairing.

Property 2 follows since if $e_r(P, Q) = 1$ then $e_r(P, aP + bQ) = 1$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ which contradicts non-degeneracy of the Weil pairing.

If $R = aP + bQ$ then $e_r(P, R) = e_r(P, Q)^b$ and this is 1 if and only if $b \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$. This proves property 3. $\square$

Remark 2.1. *Property 3 shows that the subgroup membership problem for any cyclic subgroup $G \subset E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is easily solved using the Weil pairing if the embedding degree is small. Note that property 3 does not necessarily hold for the Tate pairing (for details on the Tate pairing see Frey and Rück [10] or [14]).*

The above properties clearly imply that all genuine co-DDH problems are easy. This result is already well-known, but for emphasis we state it as a proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let r be a prime. Suppose that $E[r] \subset E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ where k is polynomial in $\log(q)$. Let G_1, G_2 be cyclic subgroups*

of order r of $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ such that $G_1 \neq G_2$. Then all co-DDH problems in G_1, G_2 can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof. The fact $G_1 \neq G_2$ implies $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{\mathcal{O}_E\}$. Hence for all $P \in G_1$, $Q \in G_2$, with $P, Q \neq \mathcal{O}_E$ we have $\{P, Q\}$ forming a basis for $E[r]$ and so by property (2), $e_r(P, Q) \neq 1$.

The DDH problem on a tuple (P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2) is therefore solved by testing whether

$$e_r(P_1, Q_2) \stackrel{?}{=} e_r(P_2, Q_1).$$

$\square$

Remark 2.2. *As mentioned above, this result is not always true for the Tate pairing. However, in most practical cases the Tate pairing can be used, and will give a more efficient solution (see [1, 13, 14] for details).*

For the remainder of the paper we will be concerned with solving DDH problems. Clearly, the Weil pairing cannot directly be used to solve these problems.

When $k = 1$ and $r^2 \nmid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then $E(\mathbb{F}_q)[r]$ is a cyclic group of order r. Due to the non-degeneracy of the Tate pairing, the DDH problem in this group can be solved in polynomial time.

The case $k = 1$ and $r^2 \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is more interesting (the curve E is ordinary whenever r is large). This case has been considered by Joux and Nguyen [17]. The Weil and Tate pairings can have very different behaviour in this case (for example, there are cases where the Tate pairing always gives non-trivial self pairings and cases where the Tate pairing never gives non-trivial self pairings). Theorem 7 of [28] shows that many DDH problems can be solved in this case (using the Weil pairing with a suitable distortion map).

In practice, the case $k > 1$ is of greater interest. Hence, for the remainder of the paper we make the following assumption:

The embedding degree is assumed to be $k \geq 2$.

3. TRACE MAPS

The trace map was introduced in this context by Boneh et al in the full versions of [4] and [5]. Since $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}/\mathbb{F}_q$ is a Galois extension we can define, for any point $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$,

$$\text{Tr}(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \pi^i(P)$$

where π is the q-power Frobenius map. Equivalently, if $P = (x, y)$ then

$$\text{Tr}(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (x^{q^i}, y^{q^i}).$$

The trace map is a group homomorphism and if $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then $\text{Tr}(P) = kP$.

Let $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ be points such that P has order r and, usually, Q has order r. We define the function $e(P, Q)$ to be either the Weil pairing $e(P, Q) = e_r(P, Q)$ or the Tate pairing $e(P, Q) = \langle P, Q \rangle^{(q^k-1)/r}$ (see [14]). Since $k > 1$ and since $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ is the extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of minimal degree which contains non-trivial rth roots of unity, it follows that if $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then $e(P, P) = 1$ for both the Weil and Tate pairings.

If $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then the eigenvalues of π on $E(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)[r]$ are 1 and q. Hence there is a basis $\{P, Q\}$ for $E[r]$ such that $\pi(P) = P$ and $\pi(Q) = qQ$. Now, $\{P, Q\}$ forms a basis for the r-torsion and so, by the same arguments as part 2 of Lemma 2.1, $e(P, Q) \neq 1$ for both Weil and Tate pairings.

Boneh has shown (see [2], [14]) that the eigenspace $\langle Q \rangle$ of points with eigenvalue q is equal to the set of all points $R \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r]$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}(R) = 0_E$. Boneh has also shown that $e(Q, Q) = 1$ (see [14]). We call $\langle Q \rangle$ the **trace zero subgroup** and denote it by $\mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let r be a large prime such that $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $r \mid (q^k - 1)$. Define the basis $\{P, Q\}$ as the eigenbasis for Frobenius as above. Let $S = aP + bQ \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ with $ab \neq 0$ and let $G = \langle S \rangle$. Then the DDH problem in G is solved in polynomial time.*

Proof. Consider (S, uS, vS, wS). Since $\mathrm{Tr}(S) = kaP \neq 0_E$ and $b \neq 0$ we have $e(S, \mathrm{Tr}(S)) \neq 1$. Hence, the Decision-Diffie-Hellman tuple (S, uS, vS, wS) gives rise to the co-Decision-Diffie-Hellman tuple

$$(S, uS, \mathrm{Tr}(vS) = v\mathrm{Tr}(S), \mathrm{Tr}(wS) = w\mathrm{Tr}(S))$$

and, as we have seen, all co-DDH problems can be solved using the Weil pairing. $\square$

Hence, only two potentially hard DDH problems remain, namely the subgroup $\langle P \rangle$ which is the set of r-torsion points which are defined over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and the trace zero subgroup $\mathcal{T} \subset E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r]$. Equivalently, these are the two eigenspaces in $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r]$ for the q-power Frobenius map. In the ordinary case these problems seem to be hard. For the remainder of the paper we consider the supersingular case.

4. Review of quaternion algebras

We devote this section to fixing the notation and briefly reviewing the theory of quaternion algebras that we need in the sequel.

A quaternion algebra over a field K is a central simple algebra of rank 4 over K. A quaternion algebra B is division if $B \not\cong \mathrm{M}_2(K)$, or equivalently if $B^* = B \setminus \{0\}$. If $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 2$, every quaternion algebra is of the form

$$B = (\frac{m, n}{K}) := K + Ki + Kj + Kij, \quad i^2 = m, j^2 = n, ij = -ji$$

for some m, $n \in K^*$. The conjugation map on B is $\overline{a + bi + cj + dij} = a - bi - cj - dij$ and the reduced trace and norm on B are $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \overline{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{n}(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \overline{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in B$, respectively.

We next present two different but equivalent versions of the Skolem-Noether Theorem.

Proposition 4.1. *Let B be a quaternion algebra over a field K.*
   (1) *Let $\sigma : B \to B$ be an automorphism of B over K. Then $\sigma(\alpha) = \gamma^{-1}\alpha\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in B^*$.*
   (2) *Let L/K be a quadratic field extension of K. Let $\phi, \psi : L \hookrightarrow B$ be two different immersions of L into B over K. Then there exists $\gamma \in B^*$ such that $\phi(\alpha) = \gamma^{-1}\psi(\alpha)\gamma$ for all $\alpha \in L$.*

Let R be a Dedekind ring and let K be its field of fractions. Let B be a quaternion algebra over K. We say that a place $v \leq \infty$ of K ramifies in B if $B \otimes K_v$ is a division algebra over the completion K_v of K at v. A classical theorem (see [29],

p. 74) states that there is a finite and even number of places of K that ramify in B. Conversely, for any finite set $\{v_1, ..., v_{2r}\}$ of places of K of even cardinality, there exists a unique quaternion algebra up to isomorphism which ramifies exactly at the places v_i.

The reduced discriminant of B is defined to be the product $D_B = \prod \wp$ of all finite prime ideals of R ramifying in B.

An element α in B is integral over R if $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{n}(\alpha) \in R$. Unlike number fields, the set of integral elements in B is not a subring of B (for an example see page 20 of [29]).

An order $\mathcal{R}$ in B over R is a subring of B of rank 4 over R. We say that $\mathcal{R}$ is maximal if it is not properly contained in any other order of B. A left projective ideal I of a maximal order $\mathcal{R}$ is a locally principal sub-$\mathcal{R}$-module of B of rank 4 over R. Two projective left ideals I, J of $\mathcal{R}$ are linearly equivalent if $I = J \cdot \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in B^*$. We let $\mathrm{Pic}_R(\mathcal{R})$ denote the set of linear equivalence classes of left projective ideals of $\mathcal{R}$ over R. The set $\mathrm{Pic}_R(\mathcal{R})$ is finite and its cardinality $h_R(B) = \#\mathrm{Pic}_R(\mathcal{R})$ is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{R}$.

The conjugation class of an order $\mathcal{R}$ over R is the set of orders $[\mathcal{R}] = \{\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{R}\gamma : \gamma \in B^*\}$, which has infinite cardinality. There is however a finite number $t_R(B)$ of conjugation classes of maximal orders in B over R.

Proposition 4.2. *Let K be the field of fractions of a Dedekind ring R and let B be a quaternion algebra over R. Then*

(1) $h_R(B) \geq t_R(B)$.
(2) *If K is a local field, then $h_R(B) = t_R(B) = 1$.*
(3) *If K is a number field and $\mathfrak{M}$ is any ideal of K, there exists an integral ideal $\mathfrak{N}$ of R, $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) = 1$, such that $h_{R[\frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}}]}(B) = t_{R[\frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}}]}(B) = 1$.*

Proof. The first two statements can be found in [29], p. 26 and Ch. II respectively. As for the third, let $\mathcal{R}$ be a maximal order of B and let $\{I_1, ..., I_{h_R(B)}\}$ be a full set representatives of projective left ideals in $\mathrm{Pic}_R(\mathcal{R})$. It follows from [23], p. 5 that I_i can be chosen such that $\mathfrak{N} = \mathrm{n}(I_1) \cdot ... \cdot \mathrm{n}(I_{h_R(B)})$ is coprime to $\mathfrak{M}$. Since I_i are invertible in $\mathcal{R}[\frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}}]$, we have that $h_{R[\frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}}]}(B) = 1$. By (1) we also have $t_{R[\frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}}]}(B) = 1$. $\square$

Let $B = (\frac{m,n}{K}) := K + Ki + Kj + Kij, i^2 = m, j^2 = n, ij = -ji$ and let $\mathcal{R}$ be a maximal order in B over R. Two questions that naturally arise in several contexts and that we encounter in the proof of Theorem 5.2 are the following:

(1) Do there exist elements $\pi, \psi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\pi^2 = m, \psi^2 = n, \pi\psi = -\psi\pi$?
(2) Fix $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\pi^2 = m$ (if there is any). Does there exist $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\psi^2 = n, \pi\psi = -\psi\pi$?

These questions were considered in the appendix to [24]. We state here a partial answer which will suffice for our purposes.

Proposition 4.3. (1) *If $t_R(B) = 1$, then there exist $\pi, \psi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\pi^2 = m, \psi^2 = n, \pi\psi = -\psi\pi$.*

(2) *Fix $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\pi^2 = m$. If $t_R(B) = 1$ and $\mathcal{O} = R[\sqrt{m}] \subset K(\sqrt{m})$ is locally a discrete valuation ring at the places $v \nmid D_B$ of class number $h(\mathcal{O}) = 1$, then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\psi^2 = n, \pi\psi = -\psi\pi$.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from [24], Proposition 5.1. As for (2), let $\mathcal{E}(m)$ denote the set of embeddings $i : R[\sqrt{m}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ over R up to conjugation by elements in the

5

normalizer group $\text{Norm}_{B^*}(\mathcal{R})$. Since $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{E}(m)$ is non empty. Eichler proved that $\mathcal{E}(m)$ is a finite set. More precisely, we have from our hypothesis and [29], Theorem 3.1 in p. 43 and Theorem 5.11 in p. 92, that in fact $\#\mathcal{E}(m) = 1$. It now follows from [24], Proposition 5.7 and its remark below that there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\psi^2 = n, \pi\psi = -\psi\pi$. $\square$

Let B be a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. We say that B is definite if ∞ ramifies in B, that is, if $B \otimes \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{H}$ is the algebra of real Hamilton quaternions. Equivalently, B is definite if and only if D_B is the product of an odd number of primes. Otherwise $B \otimes \mathbb{R} = \text{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and we say that B is indefinite.

If B is indefinite then $h_{\mathbb{Z}}(B) = t_{\mathbb{Z}}(B) = 1$. Otherwise, $h_{\mathbb{Z}}(B)$ and $t_{\mathbb{Z}}(B)$ can explicitly be computed as in [29], p. 152. When $D_B = p$ is prime, the class number $h_{\mathbb{Z}}(B)$ is the number of isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $t_{\mathbb{Z}}(B)$ is the number of isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves up to $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$-conjugation.

Let $\mathbb{Q}_v$ be a local completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ at a place $v \leq \infty$. The Hilbert symbol over $\mathbb{Q}_v$ is a symmetric bilinear pairing

$$(\quad , \quad)_v : \mathbb{Q}_v^*/\mathbb{Q}_v^{*2} \times \mathbb{Q}_v^*/\mathbb{Q}_v^{*2} \to \{\pm 1\}$$

which may be defined as $(m, n)_v = 1$ if the quaternion algebra $(\frac{m,n}{\mathbb{Q}_v}) \simeq \text{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ and $(m, n)_v = -1$ otherwise.

In practice, the Hilbert symbol is computed as follows. For $v = \infty$, $(m, n)_\infty = -1$ if and only if $m < 0$ and $n < 0$. For any odd prime p, $(m, n)_p$ can be computed by using the multiplicative bilinearity of the pairing and the following three properties:

- $(-p, p)_p = 1$
- $(m, n)_p = 1$ if $p \nmid 2mn$
- $(m, p)_p = (\frac{m}{p})$ is the Legendre quadratic symbol for any $p \nmid m$.

Finally, the Hilbert symbol at 2 follows from the equality $\prod_v (m, n)_v = 1$.

5. SUPERSINGULAR CURVES AND DISTORTION MAPS

In the next sections we restrict attention to supersingular curves. As is known (see [25] Theorem V.3.1 and [16]), an elliptic curve E over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is supersingular if and only if $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of reduced discriminant p.

Verheul [27] was the first to propose using non-rational endomorphisms to solve DDH problems. Let $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ be a point of order r. If $\psi \in \text{End}(E)$ is such that $\psi(P) \notin \langle P \rangle$ then $\{P, \psi(P)\}$ is a generating set for $E[r]$ and so $e_r(P, \psi(P)) \neq 1$. It follows that DDH problems in $\langle P \rangle$ can be solved. Verheul called such endomorphisms *distortion maps*.

Originally, distortion maps were exclusively used to map points defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ to points defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$. In other words, the focus had been on the 1-eigenspace for the Frobenius map on $E[r]$. Theorem 5 of Verheul [28] states that a suitable distortion map exists for every point $P \in E[r]$ when E is supersingular. The proof of Theorem 5 of [28] is not constructive, and it seems difficult to obtain an algorithm for finding a distortion map using their approach.

In Theorem 5.2 below we obtain an analogous result to that in [28] using completely different techniques. We can then give in Section 6 an algorithm for constructing a distortion map for any supersingular curve.

Lemma 5.1. *Let E be a supersingular elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let ψ be an endomorphism. Let P be an element of one of the eigenspaces of the q-power Frobenius map π. Then ψ maps P outside $\langle P \rangle$ if and only if*

$$P \notin \ker(\psi\pi - \pi\psi).$$

Proof. The point P is in the eigenspace means $\pi(P) = [m]P$ for some m (indeed, either $m = 1$ or $m = q$). Now, $\psi(P)$ also in the eigenspace means $\pi\psi(P) = [m]\psi(P) = \psi([m]P) = \psi\pi(P)$. In other words, $P \in \ker(\psi\pi - \pi\psi)$. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let E be a supersingular curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^a$. Suppose $k > 1$ and let $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $r \neq p$, $r > 3$, be a prime. Let π be the q-power Frobenius map and let $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ be in a π-eigenspace. Then there exists a distortion map ψ on E which maps P outside $\langle P \rangle$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, to prove the result it is enough to prove that there exists $\psi \in \mathrm{End}(E)$ such that $r \nmid \deg(\pi\psi - \psi\pi)$.

Let $P(T) = T^2 - tT + q$ be the characteristic polynomial of the q-power Frobenius element π acting on E. Since $k > 1$, we know from [14], Theorem I.20, that the roots of $P(T)$ generate a quadratic field of $\mathbb{Q}$.

The endomorphism ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{End}(E)$ is a maximal order in the quaternion algebra $B_p = \mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which ramifies exactly at p and ∞ [16]. The ring $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E)$ is an order in the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 - 4q})$, naturally embedded in $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\pi_0 = 2\pi - t \in \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$, which satisfies $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{n}(\pi_0) = -\pi_0^2 = 4q - t^2$.

There is a morphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
c_\pi: & B_p & \to & B_p \\
& \psi & \mapsto & \pi\psi - \psi\pi
\end{array}
$$

with $\ker(c_\pi) = \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. We remark that there exists an element $\psi_0 \in B_p$ such that $\psi_0^2 = -s$ and $\pi_0\psi_0 = -\psi_0\pi_0$ if and only if

$$B_p \simeq \left(\frac{t^2 - 4q, -s}{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \qquad (\dagger).$$

Indeed, one direction is immediate. The other implication follows from the Skolem-Noether Theorem: If $B_p = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}i + \mathbb{Q}j + \mathbb{Q}ij = (\frac{t^2 - 4q, -s}{\mathbb{Q}})$, there exists $\gamma \in B_p^*$ with $\pi_0 = \gamma^{-1}i\gamma$ and we may take $\psi_0 = \gamma^{-1}j\gamma$.

Note that since the discriminant of B_p is p, condition $(\dagger)$ for a given s can be checked by computing a finite number of local Hilbert symbols. Moreover, since $B_p \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is a division algebra, necessarily $s > 0$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $(\dagger)$ holds. By Proposition 4.2, (3) there exists an integer N_0 coprime to r such that $t_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N_0}]}(B_p) = 1$. Similarly, there exists an integer N_1 coprime to r such that $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N_1}, \sqrt{t^2 - 4q}]$ is locally a discrete valuation ring at all primes $\ell \neq p$ and $h(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N_1}, \sqrt{t^2 - 4q}]) = 1$. Indeed, this is accomplished by considering a system of representatives $J_1, ..., J_{h(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 - 4q}))}$ of classes of ideals in the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 - 4q})$ such that $r \nmid \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 - 4q})/\mathbb{Q}}(J_i)$ and taking $N_1 = 2 \cdot \prod \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 - 4q})/\mathbb{Q}}(J_i)$.

By Proposition 4.3, there exists $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{R}[\frac{1}{N_0 \cdot N_1}]$ such that $\psi_0^2 = -s$ and $\pi_0 \psi_0 = -\psi_0 \pi_0$. Hence $N\psi_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ for some integer N supported at the primes dividing $N_0 \cdot N_1$ and thus coprime to r. The endomorphism $N\psi_0$ will be the distortion map we are looking for (this is all assuming that (†) holds).

Since $\pi\psi_0 - \psi_0 \pi = \pi_0 \psi_0$ and $\pi(\pi_0\psi_0) - (\pi_0\psi_0)\pi = (\frac{\pi_0 - t}{2})(\pi_0\psi_0) - (\pi_0\psi_0)(\frac{\pi_0 - t}{2}) = (t^2 - 4q)\psi_0$, it readily turns out that $\mathrm{Im}(c_\pi) = \mathbb{Q} \cdot \psi_0 + \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi_0\psi_0$ and $c_\pi(\mathcal{R}) \supseteq c_\pi(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\pi_0 + N\mathbb{Z}\psi_0 + N\mathbb{Z}\pi\psi_0) = (t^2 - 4q)N\mathbb{Z}\psi_0 + N\mathbb{Z}\pi_0\psi_0$. Moreover, the degree of the isogenies $(t^2 - 4q)N\psi_0$ and $N\pi_0\psi_0$ on E are computed in terms of the reduced norm in the quaternion algebra B_p as $\deg((t^2 - 4q)N\psi_0) = (t^2 - 4q)^2 N^2 \mathrm{n}(\psi_0) = (t^2 - 4q)^2 N^2 s$ and $\deg(N\pi_0\psi_0) = N^2 \mathrm{n}(\pi_0)\mathrm{n}(\psi_0) = (4q - t^2)N^2 s$. Hence,

$$\deg(\pi(N\psi_0) - (N\psi_0)\pi) = N^2(4q - t^2)s$$

is coprime to r as desired.

It remains to give choices of s for which condition (†) is satisfied. According to a theorem of Waterhouse (see [30]) the possible values of the trace of the Frobenius endomorphism are $t = 0, \pm p^{a/2}, \pm 2p^{a/2}$ and $\pm p^{(a+1)/2}$. Recall that we can exclude the value $t = \pm 2p^{a/2}$ because we are assuming $k > 1$. Hence, the only possible prime factors of $4q - t^2$ are 2, 3 and p, and in order to prove the claim, it suffices to show that $B_p \simeq (\frac{t^2 - 4q, -s}{\mathbb{Q}})$ for either $s = 1$ or for some prime s, $s \neq r$.

The following table lists, for each of the possible values of t, a choice of s such that condition (†) holds:

If $t = 0$, a is odd, $p \not\equiv 1 \bmod 4$	$s = 1$
If $t = 0$, a is odd, $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$	Any prime $s \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$
If $t = 0$, a is even	$s = p$
If $t = \pm p^{(a+1)/2}$	$s = 1$
If $t = \pm p^{a/2}$	$s = p$

This table is checked by computing relevant Hilbert symbols. We give details of the argument for the first two rows of the table. Assume that $t = 0$ and a is odd. We have that $(-4p^a, -s)_\ell = (-p, -s)_\ell$ for all primes ℓ and $(-p, -s)_\ell = 1$ for all finite primes $\ell \nmid 2p \cdot s$. Moreover, we have $(-p, -s)_\infty = -1$ if and only if $s > 0$.

If $p \not\equiv 1 \bmod 4$, $p \neq 2$, then $(-p, -1)_p = (p, -1)_p = (\frac{-1}{p}) = -1$. Since we have that p and ∞ ramify in $(\frac{-p, -1}{\mathbb{Q}})$ and the number of ramifying places must be even, we have that $(-p, -1)_2 = 1$. Hence $(\frac{-p, -1}{\mathbb{Q}})$ is the quaternion algebra of discriminant p and $B_p \simeq (\frac{-p, -1}{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Similarly, if $p = 2$, it holds that $B_2 \simeq (\frac{-2, -1}{\mathbb{Q}})$. If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and s is a prime $s \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ (i.e., $(\frac{-p}{s}) = 1$), then $(-p, -s)_p = (p, -s)_p = (\frac{-s}{p}) = -1$ and $(-p, -s)_s = (-p, s)_s = (\frac{-p}{s}) = 1$. Hence $B_p \simeq (\frac{-p, -s}{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Note that the Theorem of Čebotarev implies there are infinitely many suitable primes s for line two of the table, hence we can always choose one which is not divisible by r.

We leave the checking of the remaining cases of the table above to the reader; remember that line three of the table only applies to $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, that line four of the table only applies to $p = 2, 3$ and that line five of the table only applies when $p = 3$ or $p \equiv 2 \pmod 3$.

This completes the proof. $\qquad\square$

Remark 5.1. *It follows from the above proof that Theorem 5.2 is also valid for* $r = 3$ *unless* $p = 3$ *or* $t = \pm p^{a/2}$. *The statement is valid for* $r = 2$ *precisely when* $p \neq 2$ *and* $t = \pm p^{a/2}$ *or when* $p = 3$ *and* $t = \pm p^{(a+1)/2}$.

6. An algorithm for constructing distortion maps

The aim of this section is to derive from the proof of Theorem 5.2 an algorithm for constructing a distortion map on a supersingular curve over a field of characteristic p.

One might expect the first step of such an algorithm to involve computing a basis for the endomorphism ring using Kohel's algorithm [18] (which runs in exponential time). In fact, we argue that this is not required. Instead we reflect upon how one obtains a supersingular elliptic curve in practice. It is known that for all finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ there is a supersingular elliptic curve E defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (and in general, there will be many non-$\mathbb{F}_q$-isomorphic such curves). We claim that all the curves used in practice arise as reductions of CM curves in characterstic zero of small class number.

To justify our claim, consider the following three candidate methods to find a supersingular curve over a finite field.

(1) Using the complex multiplication (CM) method.
(2) Constructing curves over fields of small characteristic. For example $y^2 + y = f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is always supersingular.
(3) Choosing random curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$ or $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ and counting points until a supersingular curve is found.

The third method method is not useful as the probability of success is negligible. The number of isomorphism classes of supersingular curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is equal to $h_{-4p} + h_{-p}$ (where h_D is the class number of the order of discriminant D, and $h_{-p} = 0$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, for details see Gross [16]). By the Brauer-Siegel theorem (more details below) this number is roughly $p^{1/2}$ and so the probability of a randomly chosen elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$ being supersingular is negligible. Similarly, the number of isomorphism classes of supersingular curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ is $p/12$ (see Theorem V.4.1 (c) of [25]) and so the probability of a random curve over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ being supersingular is negligible.

The second method restricts attention to a very small number of isomorphism classes. These curves can easily be obtained as twists of reductions of harmless curves in characteristic zero. In the example given the curves all have $j = 0$ and can be easily treated as the reduction of a curve with $j = 0$ over a characteristic zero field (indeed, by twisting they can all be considered as reductions of a curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$). Hence the second method is just a special case of the CM method.

The CM method works in the following setting. Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field F with complex multiplication by an order $\mathcal{O}$ in an imaginary quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Let p be a rational prime which does not split in $\mathcal{O}$ and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of F above p. Then by the Deuring reduction theorem, $\tilde{E} = E \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a supersingular elliptic curve over the residue field k of F at $\mathfrak{p}$. The main step of the CM method is to construct the ring class polynomial of the order $\mathcal{O}$ (which has degree $h_{\mathcal{O}}$, the class number of the order) and to find a root of it in characteristic p. This process has exponential complexity in the class number $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ can can only be applied in practice when $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ is relatively small.

It would be very interesting to have an alternative construction for supersingular curves. This open problem is also raised in Section 4.1 of Verheul [28].

Proposition 6.1. *Let E/F be an elliptic curve defined over a number field F with complex multiplication by an order $\mathcal{O}$ of discriminant D in an imaginary quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. Assume that $K \not\subset F$. Let p be a prime for which E has good and supersingular reduction. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of F above p. Let $\tilde{E}$ over $k = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ be the reduction mod $\mathfrak{p}$ of E. Let π be the p^m-Frobenius map on $\tilde{E}$. Suppose $r | \#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ is a prime such that $r > 3$ and $r \nmid pD$.*

Let $d > 0$ be such that $\sqrt{-d} \in \mathcal{O}$. Let $\Psi \in \mathrm{End}(E)$ satisfy $\Psi^2 = -d$. Let $\psi \in \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\tilde{E})$ be the reduction mod $\mathfrak{p}$ of Ψ. Then ψ is a suitable distortion map for points $P \in \tilde{E}[r]$ which lie in a π-eigenspace.

Proof. Note that since $K \not\subset F$, $H = F \cdot K$ is a quadratic extension over F. We know by the theory of complex multiplication that the minimal field of definition of the endomorphisms of E is H and it follows that, if we let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(H/F)$ be a non trivial element, then $\Psi^\sigma = -\Psi$. Let $\tilde{k}$ be the residue field of a prime ideal in H above $\mathfrak{p}$. The natural Galois action of $\mathrm{Gal}(H/F)$ on $\mathrm{End}_H(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ descends to an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k)$ on $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{k}}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq B_p$. If we let $\tilde{\sigma}$ denote a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k)$, we have that $\psi^{\tilde{\sigma}} = -\psi$ due to the compatibility of the Galois action.

The Galois automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ acts on the quaternion algebra B_p as an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : B_p \to B_p$. By the Skolem-Noether Theorem, $\alpha^{\tilde{\sigma}} = \gamma \alpha \gamma^{-1}$ for some $\gamma \in B_p^*$, which is uniquely determined as an element of $B_p^*/\mathbb{Q}^*$. Since $\pi^{\tilde{\sigma}} = \gamma \pi \gamma^{-1} = \pi$ because $\pi \in \mathrm{End}_k(E)$, we deduce that $\gamma \pi = \pi \gamma$ and hence $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$. Since $\psi^{\tilde{\sigma}} = \gamma \psi \gamma^{-1} = -\psi$, it follows that $\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma \psi) = \gamma \psi + \overline{\gamma \psi} = -\psi \gamma + \overline{\psi}\overline{\gamma} = \gamma \psi - \psi \overline{\gamma} = -\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma)\psi \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma) = 0$ and $\gamma = \pi$ in $B_p^*/\mathbb{Q}^*$. Thus $\pi \psi = -\psi \pi$ and so $\psi \pi - \pi \psi = 2\psi \pi$ is an isogeny of degree $4(4p - t^2)d$.

Now let $P \in \tilde{E}[r]$ be in a π-eigenspace. We apply arguments used in the proof of Theorem 5.2. Since $r > 3$ and $r \nmid pd$ we have that $P \notin \ker(\psi \pi - \pi \psi)$. Therefore $\psi(P)$ is independent from P. $\square$

We can now present our algorithm. The input is a supersingular elliptic curve $\tilde{E}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ where $q = p^m$. We also assume that an order $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{E})$ of class number $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ is specified. Note that by the Brauer-Siegel theorem (see Theorem XVI.5 of Lang [19], for non-maximal orders also see Theorem 8.7 of [20]) we have that the discriminant $D_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ is $O(h_{\mathcal{O}}^{2+\epsilon})$. The notation $D_{\mathcal{O}} = O(h_{\mathcal{O}}^{2+\epsilon})$ means that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a constant c_ϵ, which depends on ϵ, such that $D_{\mathcal{O}} \leq c_\epsilon h_{\mathcal{O}}^{2+\epsilon}$ for all $\mathcal{O}$.

ALGORITHM 1: Construction of a distortion map on $\tilde{E}$:

(1) Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order in $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{E})$ of class number $h_{\mathcal{O}}$. Compute the discriminant D of $\mathcal{O}$. Hence compute an integer $d > 0$ of size $O(D)$ such that $\sqrt{-d} \in \mathcal{O}$ (for example, we can take $d = -D$). Denote $\sqrt{-d}$ by ψ, so that ψ is a d-isogeny.

(2) Factor d as $\prod_{i=1}^n l_i$ (where l_i are not necessarily distinct primes). Then ψ is a composition $\psi_1 \cdots \psi_n$ of prime degree isogenies (and each ψ_i will be defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$).

(3) Use Galbraith's algorithm [11] to construct a tree of prime degree isogenies between j-invariants of supersingular elliptic curves in characteristic p. The

tree starts with vertex $j(\tilde{E})$ and the process terminates when this vertex is revisited by a non-trivial isogeny. Since we know there is a non-trivial isogeny ψ of degree d we can select the primes l_i as found in step (2).

(4) Construct the isogeny ψ on $\tilde{E}$ explicitly as the composition of isogenies ψ_i. Each isogeny ψ_i can be computed from the j-invariants of the corresponding elliptic curves using methods of Elkies [9] and Vélu [26]. Usually it is also necessary to construct an additional isomorphism between the image of the final isogeny ψ_n and the elliptic curve $\tilde{E}$. All these calculations will be performed over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

By Proposition 6.1, the endomorphism ψ will be a suitable distortion map. Hence the algorithm is clearly correct.

We now roughly analyse the complexity of the algorithm. We assume a unit cost for operations in the field of definition $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\tilde{E}$. We express the complexity in terms of the class number $h = h_{\mathcal{O}}$. For further details of the complexity analysis of algorithms like this see Elkies [9] and Galbraith [11].

(1) Step one is essentially trivial. Since D is $O(h^{2+\epsilon})$ the complexity of this step is $O(h^{2+\epsilon})$.

(2) Factorisation can be easily done in time $O(\sqrt{d})$ which is $O(h^{1+\epsilon})$. The number n is $O(\log(h))$ while the primes themselves are $O(d) = O(h^{2+\epsilon})$.

(3) There are $n = O(\log(h))$ iterations of the process. Each step requires computing the l-th modular polynomial $\Phi_l(x,y)$ (which has degree $l+1$ in each variable and takes $O(l^3)$ operations to compute) and finding the roots of $\Phi_l(j,y)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ (which takes $O(l \log(q))$ operations). The total cost of this stage is therefore, $O(\log(h)(h^{6+\epsilon} + h^{2+\epsilon} \log(q)))$. The space requirement for the tree is $O(\log(h))$.

(4) Finding the path in the tree takes time $O(\log(h))$. For each l-isogeny in the composition, Elkies' algorithm requires $O(l^3)$ operations and Vélu requires $O(l)$ operations. Computing the isomorphism is trivial. Hence the total cost of explicitly computing the isogeny ψ is $O(\log(h)h^{6+\epsilon})$ operations.

To conclude, it is clear that step 3 is the dominant step. The total complexity of the algorithm is $O(\log(h)(h^{6+\epsilon} + h^{2+\epsilon} \log(q)))$. Since we can only construct curves for which h is small, this is therefore an efficient algorithm in any practical setting.

7. Standard examples

In the previous sections we showed the existence of non-rational endomorphisms ψ with a certain property (namely, that $\psi(\pi(Q)) \neq \pi(\psi(Q))$ for points of order r which are in a Frobenius eigenspace). In practice there are a small number of examples of supersingular curves which are widely used, and popular distortion maps are already known in these cases. In this section we recall these familiar examples and show that they satisfy the above property.

Table 1 gives the list of curves studied. These curves have been considered by several authors (for example, Verheul [27] and Galbraith [12]). Note that in all cases we have $j(E) = 0$ or 1728. This table does not list all possible variations of distortion maps. For instance, Barreto has suggested using

$$\psi(x,y) = (x + \zeta_3^2, y + \zeta_3 x + t)$$

where $t^2 + t = \zeta_3$ in the case of characteristic 2 and $k = 4$.

k	Elliptic curve data
2	$E : y^2 = x^3 + a$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ where $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $p > 2$
	$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$
	Distortion map $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x, y)$ where $\zeta_3^3 = 1$.
2	$y^2 = x^3 + ax$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
	$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$.
	Distortion map $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy)$ where $i^2 = -1$.
3	$E : y^2 = x^3 + a$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ where
	$p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, $a \notin \mathbb{F}_p$ is a square which is not a cube.
	$\#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) = p^2 - p + 1$.
	Distortion map $(x, y) \mapsto (\gamma^2 x^p, by^p/b^p)$
	where $a = b^2$ ($b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$) and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^6}$ satisfies $\gamma^3 = b/b^p$.
4	$y^2 + y = x^3 + x + b$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$
	Distortion map $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x + s^2, y + \zeta_3 sx + s)$
	where $s \in \mathbb{F}_{2^4}$ satisfies $s^2 + \zeta_3 s + 1 = 0$.
6	$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ over $\mathbb{F}_3$.
	Distortion map $(x, y) \longmapsto (\alpha - x, iy)$ where $i \in \mathbb{F}_{3^2}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}$
	satisfy $i^2 = -1$ and $\alpha^3 + a\alpha - b = 0$.

TABLE 1. Popular distortion maps.

Theorem 7.1. *Let E be a supersingular curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ from Table 1 where q is a power of $p > 3$. Let π be the q-power Frobenius. Suppose $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $r > 3$. Then the distortion map ψ listed in the table satisfies $r \nmid \deg(\pi\psi - \psi\pi)$.*

Proof. Consider first the case when E is the curve $y^2 = x^3 + ax$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $k = 2$ and with the distortion map $\psi : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy)$. Since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we have $\pi(i) = i^p = -i$.

Let $Q = (x, y) \in E[r]$. Then $\psi\pi(Q) = (-\pi(x), i\pi(y))$ and $\pi\psi(Q) = \pi(-x, iy) = (-\pi(x), -i\pi(y))$. Since $r > 2$ we have $y \neq 0$ and so $\psi\pi(Q) \neq \pi\psi(Q)$ and the result follows.

Similarly, consider the case $E : y^2 = x^3 + a$ with $k = 2$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ ($p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$) and with the distortion map $\psi(x, y) = (\zeta_3 x, y)$. Since $r > 3$ we have $x \neq 0$. If $Q \in E[r]$ then $\pi\psi(Q) = \pi(\zeta_3 x, y) = (\zeta_3^2 \pi(x), \pi(y))$ while $\psi\pi(Q) = (\zeta_3 \pi(x), \pi(y))$. The result follows.

Finally, consider the case $k = 3$ with $E : y^2 = x^3 + a$. Since $\gamma^2 \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ we have $\pi(\gamma^2) \neq \gamma^2$. The x-coordinate of $\psi\pi(Q)$ is $\gamma^2\pi(x)$ while the x-coordinate of $\pi\psi(Q)$ is $\pi(\gamma^2 x) = \pi(\gamma^2)\pi(x)$. Since $r > 3$ we have $x \neq 0$, and so the x-coordinates are not equal. The result follows. $\square$

Theorem 7.2. *Let E be a supersingular curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ from Table 1 where q is a power of 2. Let π be the q-power Frobenius map. Suppose $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is such that $r > 1$. Then the distortion map ψ listed in the table satisfies $r \nmid \deg(\pi\psi - \psi\pi)$.*

Proof. The relevant curve is $E : y^2 + y = x^3 + x + b$ with distortion map $\psi(x, y) = (\zeta_3 x + s^2, y + \zeta_3 sx + s)$ where $\zeta_3^3 = 1$ and $s^2 + \zeta_3 s + 1 = 0$.

If $\pi\psi(Q) = \psi\pi(Q)$ then $\pi^2\psi(Q) = \psi\pi^2(Q)$ so it is enough to prove that the latter equality does not hold. Suppose $q = 2^m$ where m is odd (otherwise $k < 4$). Clearly, π^2 fixes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and so $\pi^2(\zeta_3) = \zeta_3$. Now π^2 does not fix $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ so, by inspection of the minimal polynomial, $\pi^2(s) = s + \zeta_3$.

12

Let $Q = (x, y) \in E[r]$. Then the x-coordinate of $\pi^2 \psi(Q)$ is $\pi^2(\zeta_3 x + s^2) = \zeta_3 \pi(x^2) + s^2 + \zeta_3^2$ while the x-coordinate of $\psi \pi^2(Q)$ is $\zeta_3 \pi^2(x) + s^2$. The result follows. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 7.3. *Let E be a supersingular curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ from Table 1 where q is a power of 3. Let π be the q-power Frobenius map. Suppose $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $r > 1$. Then the distortion map ψ listed in the table satisfies $r \nmid \deg(\pi\psi - \psi\pi)$.*

Proof. We are interested in the case $k = 6$ and so $q = 3^m$ where m is coprime to 6. Hence $\pi(q) = i^q = -i$ since $3^m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and $\pi(\alpha) = \alpha^q \neq \alpha$ since $\alpha \notin \mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $Q \in E[r]$. Then $\pi\psi(Q) = (\pi(\alpha) - \pi(x), -i\pi(y))$ is not equal to $\psi\pi(Q) = (\alpha - \pi(x), i\pi(y))$. $\qquad\square$

8. Distortion maps which are not isomorphisms

By Theorem III.10.1 of [25] there are non-trivial automorphisms only when $j(E) = 0$ or 1728 (in particular, when the endomorphism ring is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$, both of which are rings with non-trivial units). Hence, we cannot expect distortion maps to be automorphisms in all cases.

Even in the cases $j = 0, 1728$ we see that the value $s = 1$ cannot always be taken in the proof of Theorem 5.2. This indicates why the $k = 3$ example in characteristic p (with $t = p^{a/2}$) does not admit an automorphism.

Hence we are led to investigate distortion maps which are not automorphisms, in other words, they will be isogenies of degree greater than one. The aim of this section is to give some examples of these distortion maps.

8.1. **Example: $D = -7$.** We consider the CM curve with j-invariant -3375 and endomorphism ring $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-7})/2]$. The units of this ring are simply ± 1. We consider the curve equation (obtained from Cremona's tables [8])

$$E : y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 1.$$

By Deuring's reduction theorem (see Lang [20] Theorem 12 on page 182) this curve has supersingular reduction modulo p whenever $p = 7$ or $(\frac{-7}{p}) = -1$ (i.e., $p \equiv 2, 5, 6 \pmod 7$). When E is supersingular modulo p then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$ and the embedding degree is $k = 2$.

We seek a non-rational isogeny from E to itself. Since $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-7})/2]$ contains elements of norm 2, we will be able to find a 2-isogeny.

Since the kernel of a 2-isogeny is an element of order 2, we start by finding the 2-torsion on E in characteristic zero. Recall that a point $P = (x, y)$ has order 2 if $P = -P$ and in this case $-P = (x, -y - x)$ hence we require that $x = -2y$. One easily checks that

$$E[2] = \{\mathcal{O}_E, (2, -1), (-2\alpha, \alpha), (-2\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha})\}$$

where $\alpha = (5 + \sqrt{-7})/16$.

The isogeny coming from $(2, -1)$ is rational, and we want a non-rational isogeny. Hence we apply Vélu's formulae [26] to construct an isogeny with kernel generated by the point $(-2\alpha, \alpha)$. Summarising the results, let

$$A_4 = (-29 - 105\sqrt{-7})/32 \qquad \text{and} \qquad A_6 = (-849 + 595\sqrt{-7})/128$$

13

and define

$$X = x + (-7 + 21\sqrt{-7})/(32x + 20 + 4\sqrt{-7})$$
$$Y = y - (-7 + 21\sqrt{-7})(2x + 2y + (5 + \sqrt{-7})/8)/(8x + 5 + \sqrt{-7})^2,$$

Then the map $\psi_1(x, y) = (X, Y)$ is a 2-isogeny from E to

$$E' : Y^2 + XY = X^3 - X^2 + A_4 X + A_6.$$

As usual with Vélu's formulae, we have arrived at a curve isomorphic to the one we wanted. It remains to compute an isomorphism from E' to E.

Let

$$u = (-1 - \sqrt{-7})/4$$
$$r = (11 - \sqrt{-7})/32$$
$$t = (-11 + \sqrt{-7})/64$$
$$s = (-5 - \sqrt{-7})/8.$$

Then the mapping $\psi_2(X, Y) = (u^2 X + r, u^3 Y + u^2 s X + t)$ is an isomorphism from E' to E.

Defining $\psi(x, y) = \psi_2(\psi_1(x, y))$ we obtain our distortion map from E to E. In practice, it is easier to store the isogenies separately and to compute the distortion map by computing the composition.

We now consider a point Q on the reduction of E over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ (m odd) where p is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. Let π be the p^m-power Frobenius. If $Q \notin \ker(\psi)$ then we show that $\pi\psi(Q) \neq \psi\pi(Q)$. The x-coordinate of the composition of the isogeny and the isomorphism is

$$\frac{-3 + \sqrt{-7}}{8} x + \frac{(-63 - 35\sqrt{-7})/16}{8x + 5 + \sqrt{-7}} + \frac{11 - \sqrt{-7}}{32}.$$

Since π maps $\sqrt{-7} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ to $-\sqrt{-7}$ it is clear that we cannot have $\pi\psi(Q) = \psi\pi(Q)$ for any point Q except the points in the kernel of ψ.

Notice that if we had used Algorithm 1 then we would have constructed an isogeny of degree 7. Instead, we have managed to construct a 2-isogeny, which can be computed more efficiently in practice than a 7-isogeny. This indicates that Algorithm 1 does not necessarily provide an optimal solution in practice. Finally, we note that nicer equations in this case are known, see Section 7.2.3 of [6], [15] or [22].

8.2. **Example: $D = -8$.** This example illustrates Algorithm 1 with the case $d = 2$. Note that, in contrast with the previous example, this time the isogeny is rational while the isomorphism is not.

The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ has discriminant $D = -8$. The elliptic curve

$$y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 3x + 1$$

has j-invariant equal to 8000 and its endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$. This ring does not have non-trivial units, but there are elements of norm 2 and so we would expect a distortion 2-isogeny.

In this case the non-rational 2-torsion is not defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$. Hence we are obliged to use the rational 2-isogeny whose kernel is generated by the 2-torsion point $(1, 0)$. The equations for this isogeny are

$$(x, y) \longmapsto ((3x^2 - 2x + 5)/(3(x - 1)), y(x^2 - 2x - 1)/(x - 1)^2)$$

and the image under this isogeny is the elliptic curve

$$E' : y^2 = x^3 - 40x/3 - 448/27.$$

The curve E' has $j(E') = 8000$ but it is not isomorphic to E over $\mathbb{Q}$. Instead, there is an isomorphism over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ given by

$$(x, y) \longmapsto (-x/2 - 1/3, \sqrt{-2}y/4)$$

The composition of the 2-isogeny and the isomorphism gives a distortion map ψ. This can be used for E over $\mathbb{F}_p$ whenever p is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ (i.e., $p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod 8$). In this case, if π is the p-power Frobenius map, then π changes the sign of $\sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Hence if the y-coordinate of the image of a point Q under the 2-isogeny is non-zero then we have $\pi\psi(Q) \neq \psi\pi(Q)$.

Once again, we note that nicer equations in this case are known, see Section 14B of [7], [15] or [22].

9. Remaining hard problems

In the ordinary case, Verheul [27] has shown that there are no distortion maps. In this case it seems that DDH is hard in both eigenspaces for the Frobenius map.

To solve the DDH problem in the small field one might try to invert the trace map. In fact it is trivial to find pre-images under the trace map (for example, given $R \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ a pre-image would be $k^{-1}R$) but it seem to be difficult to find pre-images in a coherent way without using some kind of non-rational group homomorphism.

It remains an open problem to either show that DDH is easy on ordinary elliptic curves in all cases, or to give evidence that the problem is hard in the two cases remaining.

10. Acknowledgements

References

[1] P.S.L.M. Barreto, H. Y. Kim, B. Lynn and M. Scott, Efficient implementation of pairing-based cryptosystems, Crypto 2002, Springer LNCS 2442 (2002) 354–368.

[2] P. S. L. M. Barreto, B. Lynn, M. Scott, On the Selection of Pairing-Friendly Groups, SAC 2003, Springer LNCS (2003).

[3] D. Boneh, The decision Diffie-Hellman problem, in J. Buhler (ed.) ANTS III, Springer LNCS 1423 (1998) 48–63.

[4] D. Boneh and Franklin, Identity-based encryption from the Weil pairing, (full version) SIAM J. Comp., **32** (2003) 586–615.

[5] D. Boneh, B. Lynn and H. Shacham, Short signatures from the Weil pairing, in C. Boyd (ed.) ASIACRYPT 2001, Springer LNCS 2248 (2001) 514–532.

[6] H. Cohen, A course in computational algebraic number theory, Springer GTM 138 (1993)

[7] D. A. Cox, Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$, Wiley (1989)

[8] J. Cremona, Algorithms for modular elliptic curves, Cambridge (1992).

[9] N. Elkies, Elliptic and modular curves over finite fields and related computational issues, in D. A. Buell and J. T. Teitelbaum (eds.) Computational perspectives on number theory, AMS (1997) 21–76.

[10] G. Frey and H.-G. Rück, A remark concerning m-divisibility and the discrete logarithm problem in the divisor class group of curves, Math. Comp., **52** (1994) 865–874.

[11] S. D. Galbraith, Constructing isogenies between elliptic curves over finite fields, London Math. Soc., Journal of Computational Mathematics, Vol. 2 (1999) 118–138.

[12] S. D. Galbraith, Supersingular curves in cryptography, in C. Boyd (ed.) ASIACRYPT 2001, Springer LNCS 2248 (2001) 495–513.

[13] S. D. Galbraith, K. Harrison and D. Soldera, Implementing the Tate pairing, in C. Fieker and D. Kohel (eds.), ANTS-V, Springer LNCS 2369 (2002) 324–337.

[14] S. Galbraith, Pairings, Chapter IX of I. Blake, G. Seroussi and N. P. Smart, ECC II, to appear.

[15] R. P. Gallant, R. J. Lambert and S. A. Vanstone, Faster point multiplication on elliptic curves with efficient endomorphisms, in J. Kilian (ed.), CRYPTO 2001, Springer LNCS 2193 (2001) 190–200.

[16] B. H. Gross, Heights and special values of L-series, CMS proceedings, **7**, AMS (1986), 115–187.

[17] A. Joux and K. Nguyen, Separating Decision Diffie-Hellman from Computational Diffie-Hellman in cryptographic groups, J. Crypt., Vol. 16, No. 4 (2003) 239–247 .

[18] D. Kohel, *Endomorphism rings of elliptic curves over finite fields*, Berkeley PhD thesis (1996).

[19] S. Lang, Algebraic number theory, Springer GTM 110 (1986).

[20] S. Lang, *Elliptic functions* Springer GTM 112 (1987).

[21] A. Menezes, *Elliptic curve public key cryptosystems*, Kluwer (1993).

[22] A. G. Rostovtsev and E. B. Makhovenko, Elliptic curve point multiplication, in V. Gorodetsky et al (eds.), MMM-ACNS 2003, Springer LNCS 2776 (2003) 328-336.

[23] V. Rotger, Quaternions, polarizations and class numbers, *J. reine angew. Math.* , **561** (2003), 177-197.

[24] V. Rotger, The field of moduli of quaternionic multiplication on abelian varieties, submitted to publication.

[25] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer GTM 106 (1986).

[26] J. Vélu, Isogénies entre courbes elliptiques, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série A, 273 (1971) 238–241.

[27] E.R. Verheul, Evidence that XTR is more secure than supersingular elliptic curve cryptosystems, in B. Pfitzmann (ed.) EUROCRYPT 2001, Springer LNCS 2045 (2001) 195–210.

[28] E.R. Verheul, Evidence that XTR is more secure than supersingular elliptic curve cryptosystems, Full version to appear in J. Crypt.

[29] M. F. Vignéras, Arithmetic of quaternion algebras, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 800 (1980).

[30] E. Waterhouse, Abelian varieties over finite fields, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 4th series, 2 (1969) 521–560.

Mathematics Department, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK., Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada IV (EUPVG), Av. Victor Balaguer s/n, 08800 Vilanova i la Geltrú, Spain.

E-mail address: `Steven.Galbraith@rhul.ac.uk, vrotger@mat.upc.es`