

BCAM

POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

# Decoding Alternant Codes

S. Xambó

UPC

14/9/2018

- Notations and conventions: Finite fields, Relative control matrices.
- Alternant codes. Special families: RS, GRS, BCH, Goppa.
- The PGZ decoders (PGZa and PGZb). Notice on the BMS decoder.
- Philosophy: Balance between mathematical theory and effective computations.
- Main reference: R. Farré, N. Sayols, S. Xambó-Descamps: “On the PGZ decoding of alternant codes”. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2018 (in press).
- For the computational environment, see <https://mat-web.upc.edu/people/sebastia.xambo/PyECC.html>.

It will be the computational support of the second edition of *Block error-correcting codes* (SX, Springer, 2003) planned for Spring 2019.

$K$ : A finite field and  $q = |K|$  (so  $K \simeq F_q$ )

$F$ : a finite extension of  $K$  and  $m = [F/K]$  (so  $F \simeq F_{q^m}$ ).

$F = K[X]/(f)$ ,  $f = X^m + f_1X^{m-1} + \cdots + f_{m-1}X + f_m$  irreducible/ $K$ .

As a  $K$ -vector space,  $F = \langle 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{m-1} \rangle_K$ , where  $\alpha = [X]_f$  (polynomial expressions of degree  $< m$  in  $\alpha$ ). Multiplication is carried out as the multiplication of polynomial expressions, but with the reduction rule  $\alpha^m = -(f_1\alpha^{m-1} + \cdots + f_{m-1}\alpha + f_m)$ .

If  $a = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \cdots + a_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1} \in F$ , we will write  $[a]_K = [a_{m-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0] \in K^m$  (or simply  $[a]$ ). The map  $F \rightarrow K^m$ ,  $a \mapsto [a]$ , is a  $K$ -linear isomorphism.

**Gauss formula.** The number of monic irreducible polynomials  $f \in K[X]$  of degree  $m$  is

$$N_q(m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) q^{m/d} = \frac{q^m}{m} + \cdots$$

| m  | f                            | $N_2(m)$ |
|----|------------------------------|----------|
| 2  | $X^2 + X + 1$                | 1        |
| 3  | $X^3 + X + 1$                | 2        |
| 4  | $X^4 + X + 1$                | 3        |
| 5  | $X^5 + X^2 + 1$              | 6        |
| 6  | $X^6 + X + 1$                | 9        |
| 7  | $X^7 + X + 1$                | 18       |
| 8  | $X^8 + X^4 + X^3 + X + 1$    | 30       |
| 9  | $X^9 + X + 1$                | 56       |
| 10 | $X^{10} + X^3 + 1$           | 99       |
| 11 | $X^{11} + X^2 + 1$           | 186      |
| 12 | $X^{12} + X^3 + 1$           | 335      |
| 13 | $X^{13} + X^4 + X^3 + X + 1$ | 630      |
| 14 | $X^{14} + X^5 + 1$           | 1161     |
| 15 | $X^{15} + X + 1$             | 2182     |
| 16 | $X^{16} + X^5 + X^3 + X + 1$ | 4080     |

$H \in F(r, n)$ : an  $r \times n$  matrix with entries in  $F$  (*control matrix*).

For  $y \in F^n$ ,  $s_H(y) = yH^T \in F^r$  (*syndrome* of  $y$ )

$$C = C_K(H) = \{x \in K^n \mid s_H(x) = 0\} = C_F(H) \cap K^n$$

(*linear code* /  $K$  associated to  $H$ )

'Blow'  $H$  relative to  $K$  by replacing each of its entries  $h_{ij}$  by the column vector  $[h_{ij}]^T$ . If we let  $[H] = [H]_K \in K(rm, n)$  be the resulting matrix, then we have

$$C_K(H) = C_K([H]), \quad k = \dim(C_K(H)) = n - \text{rank}([H]).$$

In the special case  $F = K$  (equivalent to  $m = 1$ ),  $[H] = H$  and  $k = n - \text{rank}(H)$ .

Solving the homogeneous linear system  $x[H]^T = 0$  provides a *generating matrix*  $G$  of  $C$ . Its rows form a linear basis of  $C$ .

```
K = Zn(2); [KX,X] = polynomial_ring(K)
f = X**5 + X**2 + 1
[F,x] = extension(K,f,'x')
```

```
H = matrix(geometric_series(x**3,11))
bH = blow(H) =>
[[0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1]
 [0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0]
 [0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0]
 [0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1]
 [1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0]] :: Matrix[K]
```

```
rank(bH) => 5
```

So  $k = 6$ .

```

[[1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0]
 [0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0]
 [0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0]
 [0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0]
 [0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0]
 [0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1]] :: Matrix[K]
      * *      *

```

**Remark.** Since the sum of the columns marked with \* is zero, the minimum weight of  $C$  is at most 3, and actually it is 3 because any two columns are distinct.



$u \in K^k$ : *information vector*.

$x = uG \in C$ : *code vector* ('sent vector')

$e \in K^n$ : *error vector*. The number of non-zero entries of  $e$  is denoted  $|e|$  (*weight* of  $e$ ).

$y = x + e$ : 'received vector'.

$s = s_H(y) = s_H(e) \in F^r$ : *syndrome vector*

The **decoding problem** is to find an algorithm (*decoder*) that takes  $s$  as input and delivers  $x$  (hence also  $u$ ). If this can be accomplished for all  $e$  such that  $|e| \leq t$ , we say that the decoder *corrects up to  $t$  errors*.

Recall: For general linear codes, the decoding problem is NP-complete (Berlekamp-McEliece-van Tilborg, 1978).

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  be elements of  $F$  such that  $h_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Consider the matrix

$$H = V_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in F(r, n), \quad (1)$$

that is,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & \dots & h_n \\ h_1 \alpha_1 & \dots & h_n \alpha_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_1 \alpha_1^{r-1} & \dots & h_n \alpha_n^{r-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

We say that  $H$  is the *alternant control matrix* of order  $r$  associated with the vectors

$$\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

To make explicit that the entries of  $\mathbf{h}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  (and hence of  $H$ ) lie in  $F$ , we will say that  $H$  is defined over  $F$ .

The codes  $A_K(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, r) = C_K(H)$  defined by the control matrix  $H$  are called *alternant codes*.

**Proposition** (Alternant bounds)

If  $C = A_K(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, r)$ , then

$$n - r \geq \dim C \geq n - rm$$

and

$$d \geq r + 1$$

(*minimum distance alternant bound*).

Given a list or vector  $\alpha$  of distinct non-zero elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ , the Reed–Solomon code

$$C = \text{RS}(\alpha, k) \subseteq K^n$$

is the subspace of  $K^n$  generated by the rows of the Vandermonde matrix  $V_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . It turns out that

$$\text{RS}(\alpha, k) = A_K(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, n - k),$$

where  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  is given by

$$h_i = 1 / \prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i). \quad (3)$$

Note that in this case  $F = K$ , hence  $m = 1$ , and that the alternant bounds are sharp. Indeed, we have  $r = n - k$ , hence  $k = n - r$ , while  $n - k + 1 \geq d$  (by the Singleton bound) and  $d \geq r + 1 = n - k + 1$  by the minimum distance alternant bound. In other words,  $C$  is MDS (maximum distance separable).

The vector  $\mathbf{h}$  in the definition of the code  $\text{RS}([\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n], k)$  as an alternant code is obtained from  $\alpha$  by the formula (3). If we allow that  $\mathbf{h}$  can be chosen possibly unrelated to  $\alpha$ , but still with components in  $K$ , the resulting codes  $A_K(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, n - k)$  are called *Generalized Reed–Solomon* (GRS) codes, and we will write  $\text{GRS}(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, k)$  to denote them. An argument as above shows that such codes have type  $[n, k, n - k + 1]$ .

Notice that the code  $A_K(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, r)$  is the intersection of the GRS code  $A_F(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, r)$  with  $K^n$ .

These codes are denoted  $\text{BCH}(\alpha, d, l)$ , where  $\alpha \in F$  and  $d > 0$ ,  $l \geq 0$  are integers (called the *designed minimum distance* and the *offset*, respectively).

When  $l = 1$ , we simply write  $\text{BCH}(\alpha, d)$  and say that it is a *strict* BCH code. The good news here is that

$$\text{BCH}(\alpha, d, l) = A_K(\mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, d - 1), \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{h} = (1, \alpha^l, \alpha^{2l}, \dots, \alpha^{(n-1)l})$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{(n-1)})$ ,  $n = \text{period}(\alpha)$ .

Let  $g \in F[T]$  be a polynomial of degree  $r > 0$  and let  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$  be distinct non-zero elements such that  $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$  for all  $i$ .

The *classical Goppa code* over  $K$  associated with  $g$  and  $\alpha$ , which will be denoted  $\Gamma(g, \alpha)$ , can be defined as  $A_K(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, r)$ , where  $\mathbf{h}$  is the vector  $(1/g(\alpha_1), \dots, 1/g(\alpha_n))$ . Thus the minimum distance of  $\Gamma(g, \alpha)$  is  $\geq r + 1$  and its dimension  $k$  satisfies  $n - rm \leq k \leq n - r$ .

The minimum distance bound can be improved to  $d \geq 2r + 1$  in the case that  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$  and the roots of  $g$  are distinct.

Let  $C = A_K(\mathbf{h}, \alpha, r)$  be an alternant code. Let  $t = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ , that is, the highest integer  $t$  such that  $2t \leq r$ . For reasons that will become apparent below,  $t$  is called the *error-correction capacity* of  $C$ .

Let  $x \in C$  (*sent vector*) and  $e \in F^n$  (*error vector*, or *error pattern*). Let  $y = x + e$  (*received vector*). The goal of a decoder is to obtain  $x$  from  $y$  and  $H$  when  $l : |e| \leq t$ . Henceforth we will assume that  $l > 0$ .



If  $e_m \neq 0$ , we say that  $m$  is an *error position*.

Let  $\{m_1, \dots, m_l\}$  be the error positions and  $\{e_{m_1}, \dots, e_{m_l}\}$  the corresponding *error values*.

The *error locators*  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l$  are defined by  $\eta_k = \alpha_{m_k}$ . Since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are distinct, the knowledge of the  $\eta_k$  is equivalent to that of the error positions.

The monic polynomial  $L(z)$  whose roots are the error locators is called the *error-locator polynomial*. Notice that

$$L(z) = \prod_{i=1}^l (z - \eta_i) = z^l + a_1 z^{l-1} + a_2 z^{l-2} + \cdots + a_l, \quad (5)$$

where  $a_j = (-1)^j \sigma_j$ ,  $\sigma_j = \sigma_j(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l)$  the  $j$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in the  $\eta_i$  ( $0 \leq j \leq l$ ).

We will write  $\mathbf{a}_l = (a_l, \dots, a_1)$ .

Recall that the *syndrome* of  $y$  is the vector  $s = yH^T$ , say  $s = (s_0, \dots, s_{r-1})$ .

Since  $xH^T = 0$ , we have  $s = eH^T$ .

Using the definitions, we easily find that

$$s_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i h_i \alpha_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^l h_{m_k} e_{m_k} \alpha_{m_k}^j = \sum_{k=1}^l h_{m_k} e_{m_k} \eta_k^j \quad (6)$$

Now we will use the following notations:

$$A_l = \begin{pmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{l-1} \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_l \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{l-1} & s_l & \dots & s_{2l-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{b}_l = (s_l, \dots, s_{2l-1}). \quad (8)$$

Next proposition establishes the key relation for computing the error-locator polynomial.

Recall that  $\mathbf{a}_l = (a_l, \dots, a_1)$  (see Equation (5)).

### Proposition

$$\mathbf{a}_l A_l + \mathbf{b}_l = 0. \quad (9)$$

## Proof

Substituting  $z$  by  $\eta_i$  in the identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^l (z - \eta_i) = z^l + a_1 z^{l-1} + \dots + a_l$$

we obtain the relations

$$\eta_i^l + a_1 \eta_i^{l-1} + \dots + a_l = 0,$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . Multiplying by  $h_{m_i} e_{m_i} \eta_i^j$  and adding with respect to  $i$ , we obtain (using (6)) the relations

$$s_{j+l} + a_1 s_{j+l-1} + \dots + a_l s_j = 0,$$

where  $j = 0, \dots, l-1$ , and these relations are equivalent to the stated matrix relation. □

## Remark

In the Equation (9), the matrix  $A_l$  turns out to be non-singular and hence it determines  $\mathbf{a}_l$  (hence also  $L(z)$ ) uniquely, namely

$$\mathbf{a}_l = -\mathbf{b}_l A_l^{-1}.$$

In next section we are going to establish this fact as a corollary of Eq. (11), whose main outcome is *a fast solution* of Equation (9).

Consider the matrix

$$S = \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} s_0 & s_1 & \cdots & s_{l-1} & s_l & \cdots & s_t \\ s_1 & s_2 & \cdots & s_l & s_{l+1} & \cdots & s_{t+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_{l-1} & s_l & \cdots & s_{2l-2} & s_{2l-1} & \cdots & s_{t+l-1} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_{t-1} & s_t & \cdots & s_{t+l-2} & s_{t+l-1} & \cdots & s_{2t-1} \end{array} \right). \quad (10)$$

Note that  $2t - 1 \leq r - 1$ , so that all components are well defined.

Note also that the  $l \times l$  submatrix at the upper left corner is the matrix  $A_l$  defined by Equation (7) and that the column

$(s_l, s_{l+1}, \dots, s_{2l-1})^T$  to its right is the vector  $\mathbf{b}_l$  defined by Eq. (8).

In next Theorem we use the following notation:  $V_s = V_s(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l)$ .

Thus the  $i$ -th row of  $V_s$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq s - 1$ , is the vector  $(\eta_1^i, \dots, \eta_l^i)$ .

We also write  $D = \text{diag}(h_{m_1} e_{m_1}, \dots, h_{m_l} e_{m_l})$ .

## Theorem

$$S = V_t D V_{t+1}^T. \quad (11)$$

## Proof

Let  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq t$ . Then the  $j$ -th column of  $D V_{t+1}^T$  is the column vector  $(h_{m_1} e_{m_1} \eta_1^j, \dots, h_{m_l} e_{m_l} \eta_l^j)^T$ . It follows that the element in row  $i$  column  $j$  of  $V_t D V_{t+1}^T$  is

$$h_{m_1} e_{m_1} \eta_1^{i+j} + \dots + h_{m_l} e_{m_l} \eta_l^{i+j} = s_{i+j} \text{ (by Equation (6)).}$$





## Corollary

The rank of  $S$  is  $l$  and the matrix  $A_l$  is non-singular.

## Proof

Since  $D$  has rank  $l$ , the rank of  $S$  is at most  $l$ . On the other hand, the theorem shows that  $A_l = V_l D V_l^T$  and therefore

$$\det(A_l) = \det(V_l)^2 \det(D) \neq 0.$$

Note that  $\det(V_l)$  is the Vandermonde determinant of  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l$ , which is non-zero because the error locators are distinct. □

## Corollary

The Gauss-Jordan algorithm applied to the matrix  $S$  returns a matrix that has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_l & * \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{l-1} & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_1 & * \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

where  $*$  denotes unneeded values (*if any*) and the vertical dots below the horizontal line denote that all its elements (*if any*) are zero. This matrix gives at the same time  $l$ , the number of errors, and the coefficients of the error-locator polynomial. □

In the descriptions that follow, *Error* means “a suitable decoding-error message” and the function  $GJ(S)$  returns the values  $-a_I, \dots, -a_1$  of the matrix (12) as a column vector (this is a conveniently modified form of the Gauss-Jordan procedure).

1. Get the syndrome vector,  $s = (s_0, \dots, s_{r-1}) = yH^T$ . If  $s = 0$ , return  $y$ .
2. Form the matrix  $S$  as in the Equation (10).
3. Set  $\mathbf{a} = -GJ(S)$  (Equation (12)). After this we have  $a_1, \dots, a_l$ , hence also the error-locator polynomial  $L$ .
4. Find the elements  $\alpha_j$  that are roots of the polynomial  $L$ . If the number of these roots is  $< l$ , return *Error*. Otherwise let  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l$  be the error-locators corresponding to the roots and set  $M = \{m_1, \dots, m_l\}$ , where  $\eta_i = \alpha_{m_i}$ .
5. Solve for  $e_{m_1}, \dots, e_{m_l}$  the following system of linear equations:
 
$$h_{m_1} e_{m_1} \eta_1^j + h_{m_2} e_{m_2} \eta_2^j + \dots + h_{m_l} e_{m_l} \eta_l^j = s_j \quad (0 \leq j \leq l-1).$$
 If any of the values of  $e_m$  is not in  $K$ , return *Error*. Otherwise return  $y - e$ .

## Theorem

The algorithm PGZa corrects up to  $t$  errors. □

## Remark

The equations in Step 5 are equivalent to the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{m_1} & h_{m_2} & \dots & h_{m_l} \\ h_{m_1}\eta_1 & h_{m_2}\eta_2 & \dots & h_{m_l}\eta_l \\ h_{m_1}\eta_1^2 & h_{m_2}\eta_2^2 & \dots & h_{m_l}\eta_l^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{m_1}\eta_1^{l-1} & h_{m_2}\eta_2^{l-1} & \dots & h_{m_l}\eta_l^{l-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{m_1} \\ e_{m_2} \\ e_{m_3} \\ \vdots \\ e_{m_l} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

There is an alternative to step 5 of the PGZa algorithm. Let

- $\sigma(z) = s_0 + s_1z + \cdots + s_{r-1}z^{r-1}$  (*syndromy polynomial*).
- $\tilde{L}(z) = 1 + a_1z + \cdots + a_lz^l$  (its roots are  $1/\eta_1, \dots, 1/\eta_l$ ).
- $E(z) = \tilde{L}(z)\sigma(z) \bmod z^r$  (*error-evaluator*)

## Theorem

For any  $m \in \{m_1, \dots, m_l\}$

$$e_m = -\frac{\alpha_m E(1/\alpha_m)}{h_m \tilde{L}'(1/\alpha_m)}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\tilde{L}'(z)$  denotes the derivative of  $\tilde{L}(z)$ .

In this decoding algorithm, the *error-locating polynomial* is defined by

$$E(z) = - \sum_{i=1}^l h_{m_i} e_{m_i} \eta_i^r \prod_{j \neq i} (z - \eta_j).$$

It satisfies the Forney's formula

$$e_{m_k} = - \frac{E(\eta_k)}{h_{m_k} \eta_k^r L'(\eta_k)}.$$

So we could handle error-location and error-evaluation as soon as we knew how to find  $L(z)$  and  $E(z)$  from the syndrome.

*Notice that  $\deg(E(z)) < l$ .*

## Theorem

Let

$$S(z) = s_0 z^{r-1} + \cdots + s_{r-1}$$

Then

$$E(z) \equiv L(z)S(z) \pmod{z^r}.$$

Equivalently, there exists a polynomial  $M(z)$  such that

$$E(z) = L(z)S(z) + M(z)z^r.$$



Compute the sequence  $r_0 = z^r$ ,  $r_1 = S(z)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $r_j$  of the Euclidean-algorithm remainders until  $\deg(r_j) < t$ . Let  $q_2, \dots, q_j$  be the corresponding quotients (thus  $r_i = r_{i-2} - q_i r_{i-1}$ ).

Define  $v_0 = 0$ ,  $v_1 = 1$ , and  $v_i = v_{i-2} - q_i v_{i-1}$ .

Output  $\{E(z), L(z)\} = \{v_j, r_j\}$ .

This solves the key equation with  $M(z) = u_j$ , where  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $u_i = u_{i-2} - q_i u_{i-1}$  ( $i = 2, \dots, j$ ).