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## Mission statements

- Present the exponentially small splitting problem for analytic area-preserving maps. [Lecture 1]
- Explain the computational challenges of this problem. [Lecture 1]
- Give some general principles to improve the efficiency of any computation that requires the use of a multiple precision arithmetic. [Lecture ?]
- Learn how to compute the Lazutkin homoclinic invariant in the general case. [Lecture 2]
- Implement explicitely the simplest case: the Hénon map. [Lecture 2]


## Basic definitions (1/2)

- A surface $M$ is symplectic when it has a non-degenerate two-form $\Omega$. The simplest example is $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\Omega=\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y$.
- A map $f: M \rightarrow M$ is area-preserving when $f^{*} \Omega=\Omega$.
- Classical examples of area-preserving maps are the standard maps

$$
f(x, y)=\left(x_{1}=x+y_{1}, y_{1}=y+\epsilon p(x)\right), \quad \epsilon>0
$$

where $p(x)$ is a polynomial, trigonometric polynomial or rational function.

- A point $m_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a saddle point of $f$ when it is fixed: $f\left(m_{\infty}\right)=m_{\infty}$ and hyperbolic: $\operatorname{spec}\left[\mathrm{d} f\left(m_{\infty}\right)\right]=\left\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right\}$ with $|\lambda|>1$.
- We assume that the characteristic multiplier $\lambda$ is bigger than one.
- The stable and unstable invariant curves of the saddle point are

$$
W^{ \pm}=W^{ \pm}\left(m_{\infty}\right)=\left\{m \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \lim _{n \rightarrow \mp \infty} f^{n}(m)=m_{\infty}\right\}
$$

(Note: Minus sign means stable curve, plus sign means unstable curve.)

## Basic definitions (2/2)

- If the map is analytic, its invariant curves are analytic and there exists some analytic natural parameterizations $m_{ \pm}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W^{ \pm}$such that $m_{ \pm}(0)=m_{\infty}$ and

$$
f\left(m_{ \pm}(r)\right)=m_{ \pm}\left(\lambda^{ \pm 1} r\right)
$$

They are uniquely defined up to substitutions of the form $r \mapsto c r$ with $c \neq 0$.

- Given any $r_{1}>0, D_{ \pm}=m_{ \pm}\left(\left[\lambda^{-1} r_{1}, r_{1}\right)\right)$ is a fundamental domain of $W^{ \pm}$. The iterations $\left\{f^{n}\left(D_{ \pm}\right): n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ cover the "positive" branch of $W^{ \pm}$.
- An orbit $O=\left(m_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is homoclinic to $m_{\infty}$ when $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} m_{n}=m_{\infty}$.
- The Lazutkin homoclinic invariant of a homoclinic point $m_{0}$ is the quantity

$$
\omega=\omega\left(m_{0}\right):=r_{-} r_{+} \Omega\left(m_{-}^{\prime}\left(r_{-}\right), m_{+}^{\prime}\left(r_{+}\right)\right)
$$

where $r_{ \pm} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the parameters such that $m_{ \pm}\left(r_{ \pm}\right)=m_{0}$. It does not depend on the point of the homoclinic orbit: $\omega\left(m_{n}\right)=\omega\left(m_{0}\right)$ for all $n$, so that we can write $\omega=\omega(O)$. It is invariant by symplectic changes of variables and is proportional to the splitting angle.

## Reversors

In general, the search of homoclinic points of planar maps is a two-dimensional problem, but in some symmetric cases. For instance, in the reversible case.

- A diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$ is reversible when there exists a diffeomorphism $R: M \rightarrow M$ such that $f \circ R=R \circ f^{-1}$, and then $R$ is called a reversor of the map. Usually, $R$ is an involution: $R^{2}=\mathrm{I}$.
- If $R$ is a reversor, the points in Fix $R=\{m \in M: R(m)=m\}$ are symmetric. Usually, Fix $R$ is a smooth curve and then it is called a symmetry line.
- Let $f$ be a $R$-reversible diffeomorphism with a saddle point $m_{\infty} \in$ Fix $R$. Let $m$ be a natural parameterization of its unstable invariant curve $W^{+}$. Then:
- $R \circ m$ is a natural parameterization of the stable invariant curve $W^{-}$.
- If $m_{0}=m\left(r_{0}\right) \in$ Fix $R$, then $m_{0}$ is a (symmetric) homoclinic point whose Lazutkin homoclinic invariant is

$$
\omega\left(m_{0}\right)=\left(r_{0}\right)^{2} \Omega\left(\mathrm{~d} R\left(m_{0}\right) m^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right), m^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)
$$

- To find $r_{0}$, it suffices to solve the one-dimensional problem $m(r) \in \operatorname{Fix} R$.


## An exponentially small upper bound

- We shall deal with maps whose stable and unstable invariant curves are exponentially close with respect to some small parameter.
- In order to derive simple expressions, the best parameter is the characteristic exponent of the saddle point: $h=\ln \lambda>0$.
- (Fontich \& Simó) Let $f_{h}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, h>0$, be a diffeomorphism such that:
- It is area-preserving and analytic in a big enough complex region;
- It is $\mathcal{O}(h)$-close to the identity map;
- The origin is a saddle point of $f_{h}$;
- Its characteristic exponent at the origin is $h$; and
- It has a homoclinic orbit to the origin for small enough $h$.

Then, there exists $d_{*}>0$ such that:

$$
\text { splitting size } \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi d / h}\right) \quad\left(h \rightarrow 0^{+}\right)
$$

for any $d \in\left(0, d_{*}\right)$. Besides, $d_{*}$ is the analyticity width of the separatrix of certain limit Hamiltonian flow. Sometimes, it can be analytically computed.

## The Standard map

- The first example is the Standard map

$$
S M: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}, \quad S M(x, y)=(x+y+\epsilon \sin x, y+\epsilon \sin x) .
$$

- If $\epsilon>0$, the origin is hyperbolic and $\epsilon=4 \sinh ^{2}(h / 2)$.
- The map $R(x, y)=(2 \pi-x, y+\epsilon \sin x)$ is a reversor, and Fix $R=\{x=\pi\}$.
- (Gelfreich) Let $\omega$ be the Lazutkin homoclinic invariant of the symmetric homoclinic orbit passing through the first intersection of $W^{+}$with Fix $R$. Then

$$
\omega \asymp 4 \pi h^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi^{2} / h} \sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j} h^{2 j} \quad\left(h \rightarrow 0^{+}\right)
$$

This asymptotic expansion was proved using an approach suggested by Lazutkin.

- The first asymptotic coefficient $\omega_{0} \approx 1118.827706$ is the Lazutkin constant.
- Simó conjectured that the series $\sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j} h^{2 j}$ is Gevrey-1 of type $1 / 2 \pi^{2}$.


## The Hénon map

- The second example is the Hénon map

$$
H M: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad H M(x, y)=(x+y+\epsilon x(1-x), y+\epsilon x(1-x)) .
$$

- If $\epsilon>0$, the origin is hyperbolic and $\epsilon=4 \sinh ^{2}(h / 2)$.
- The map $R(x, y)=(x-y,-y)$ is a reversor, and Fix $R=\{y=0\}$.
- Let $\omega$ be the Lazutkin homoclinic invariant of the symmetric homoclinic orbit passing through the first intersection of $W^{+}$with Fix $R$. Then

$$
\omega \asymp 4 \pi h^{-6} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi^{2} / h} \sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j} h^{2 j} \quad\left(h \rightarrow 0^{+}\right) .
$$

I do not know any complete proof of this asymptotic expansion.

- The first coefficient $\omega_{0} \approx 2474425.5935525$ was "approximated" by Chernov and "computed" by Simó. Gelfreich \& Sauzin proved that $\omega_{0} \neq 0$.
- Gelfreich \& Simó conjectured that $\sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j} h^{2 j}$ is Gevrey-1 of type $1 / 2 \pi^{2}$.


## Polynomial standard maps

- The Hénon map is a particular case of the polynomial standard maps

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad f(x, y)=(x+y+\epsilon p(x), y+\epsilon p(x))
$$

for some polynomial $p(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} x^{k}$ such that $p_{1}=1$ and $p_{n}<0$.

- If $\epsilon>0$, the origin is hyperbolic and $\epsilon=4 \sinh ^{2}(h / 2)$.
- The map $R(x, y)=(x-y,-y)$ is a reversor, and Fix $R=\{y=0\}$.
- Let $\omega$ be the Lazutkin invariant of the primary symmetric homoclinic orbit associated to the reversor $R$. Gelfreich \& Simó conjectured that:
- The expansion $\omega \asymp \mathrm{e}^{-c / h} \sum_{k \geq k_{0}} c_{k} h^{k}$ does not hold for most $p(x)$.
- There exist alternative asymptotic expansions with logarithmic terms and / or rational powers of $h$.
- Sometimes, the series involved in these expansions are Gevrey-1.
- If $n \geq 4, \omega$ can oscillate periodically in $h^{-1}$. If $n \geq 6$, the oscillations can be quasi-periodic.


## Perturbed weakly hyperbolic integrable maps (1/2)

- The perturbed McMillan maps are

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad f(x, y)=\left(y,-x+2 \mu_{0} y /\left(1+y^{2}\right)+\epsilon V^{\prime}(y)\right)
$$

where $\epsilon V^{\prime}(y)$ is an odd entire perturbation.

- If $\mu=\mu_{0}+\epsilon V^{\prime \prime}(0)>1$, the origin is hyperbolic and $\cosh h=\mu$.
- The map $R(x, y)=(y, x)$ is a reversor, and $\operatorname{Fix} R=\{y=x\}$.
- (Delshams \& RRR) Let $\omega$ be the Lazutkin homoclinic invariant of the symmetric homoclinic orbit passing through the first intersection of $W^{+}$ with Fix $R$. Let $\widehat{V}(\tau)$ be the Borel transform of $V(y)$. Then, for any $p>6$,

$$
\omega=16 \pi^{3} \epsilon h^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi^{2} / h}\left(\widehat{V}(2 \pi)+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right)\right) \quad\left(\epsilon=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{p}\right), h \rightarrow 0^{+}\right)
$$

- We conjectured that $\omega \asymp 16 \pi^{3} \epsilon h^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi^{2} / h} \sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j}(\epsilon) h^{2 j}$ as $h \rightarrow 0^{+}$( $\epsilon$ fixed).
- We also conjectured that the series $\sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j}(\epsilon) h^{2 j}$ is Gevrey- 1 of type $1 / 2 \pi^{2}$.


## Perturbed weakly hyperbolic integrable maps (2/2)

- Let $f: \mathbb{T} \times(0, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times(0, \pi)$ be the area-preserving map that models the billiard motion inside the perturbed ellipses

$$
C=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x^{2}+\frac{y^{2}}{1-e^{2}}+\epsilon(e y)^{2 n}=1\right\} .
$$

Here, $e \in(0,1)$ is the eccentricity of the unperturbed ellipse, $\epsilon$ is the perturbative parameter, and $2 n$ is the degree of the perturbation.

- The map has a two-periodic hyperbolic orbit such that $e=\tanh (h / 2)$.
- The map is reversible, due to the axial symmetries of the curves.
- RRR conjectured that the Lazutkin invariant of the corresponding symmetric heteroclinic orbit verifies the asymptotic expansion

$$
\omega \asymp 2 \pi^{2} h^{-2} \epsilon \mathrm{e}^{-\pi^{2} / h} \sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j}(\epsilon) h^{2 j} \quad\left(h \rightarrow 0^{+}, \epsilon \text { fixed }\right)
$$

and the series $\sum_{j \geq 0} \omega_{j}(\epsilon) h^{2 j}$ is Gevrey- 1 of type $1 / 2 \pi^{2}$.

## First numerical problem: slow dynamics

- Let $f$ be a $R$-reversible area-preserving map with a saddle point $m_{\infty}$ whose unstable curve intersects the symmetry line Fix $R$.
- Let $m(r)$ be a natural parameterization of the unstable invariant curve $W^{+}$.
- Let $r_{0}>0$ be the first positive parameter such that $m_{0}=m\left(r_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Fix} R$.
- To find numerically $m_{0}$, we solve the one-dimensional equation

$$
f^{N}(m(r)) \in \operatorname{Fix} R, \quad \lambda^{-1} r_{1} \leq r<r_{1}
$$

where:

- The fundamental domain $D=m\left(\left[\lambda^{-1} r_{1}, r_{1}\right)\right)$ must be chosen in such a way that the natural parameterization $m(r)$ can be computed with a given precision $P$ for any $r \in\left(0, r_{1}\right)$. [precision $P$ means error $\leq 10^{-P}$.]
- $N$ is the smallest integer such that $f^{N}(D) \cap \operatorname{Fix} R \neq \varnothing$. Thus,

$$
N \approx \frac{\log \left(r_{0} / r_{1}\right)}{h}
$$

## Second numerical problem: cancellations (1/2)

- Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a map preserving the standard area $\Omega=\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y$.
- Let $m_{ \pm}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W^{ \pm}$be some natural parameterizations of the stable and unstable invariant curves.
- Let $r_{ \pm} \in \mathbb{R}$ be parameters such that $m_{+}\left(r_{+}\right)=m_{0}=m_{-}\left(r_{-}\right)$.
- Let $m_{ \pm}^{\prime}=\left(x_{ \pm}^{\prime}, y_{ \pm}^{\prime}\right)=m^{\prime}\left(r_{ \pm}\right)$.
- If the Lazutkin homoclinic invariant

$$
\omega=\omega\left(m_{0}\right)=r_{-} r_{+} \Omega\left(m_{-}^{\prime}, m_{+}^{\prime}\right)=r_{-} r_{+}\left(x_{-}^{\prime} y_{+}^{\prime}-x_{+}^{\prime} y_{-}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is exponentially small in $h$, then the invariants $\omega_{+}:=r_{-} r_{+} x_{-}^{\prime} y_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{-}:=r_{-} r_{+} x_{+}^{\prime} y_{-}^{\prime}$ are exponentially close in $h$. Thus, the computation of their difference $\omega=\omega_{+}-\omega_{-}$produces a big cancellation of significant digits, even for moderate values of $h$.

## Second numerical problem: cancellations (2/2)

- For sample, if $h=1 / 7$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{+} \approx-0.0057989651489715957915620990323109816836394888269378 \\
& \omega_{-} \approx-0.0057989651489715957915620990323109816836394888305137
\end{aligned}
$$

for the primary homoclinic point of Hénon map on the $x$-axis.

- Therefore, 44 decimal digits are lost when we compute the difference

$$
\omega=\omega_{+}-\omega_{-} \approx 3.5759 \times 10^{-48}
$$

- The above computation is beyond single, double, and quadruple precisions. The use of a multiple precision arithmetic (MPA) is mandatory.
- In general, if we "know" that $\omega \asymp \mathrm{e}^{-c / h}$, then the number of decimal digits lost by the cancellation in the differences is approximately equal to

$$
S=S(h)=\frac{c}{h \log (10)}=\mathcal{O}(1 / h) .
$$

## These problems are a bad combination

- Let $\bar{m}(r)$ be our numerical approximation to the parameterization $m(r)$. Assume that, if $r$ is small enough, we have a bound for the error of the form

$$
|m(r)-\bar{m}(r)| \leq C r^{K}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ and some fixed order $K \geq 1$.

- Problem 2 implies that we must work with precision $P \geq S=\mathcal{O}(1 / h)$.
- Then, we must choose $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left|m\left(r_{1}\right)-\bar{m}\left(r_{1}\right)\right| \leq C\left(r_{1}\right)^{K} \leq 10^{-P} .
$$

That is, $r_{1}=\mathcal{O}\left(10^{-P / K}\right)$, and so: $-\log r_{1}=\mathcal{O}(P / K)=\mathcal{O}(1 / h)$.

- Besides, $r_{0}$ tends to some non-zero value as $h \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
- Finally, Problem 1 implies that, if $K$ is fixed, then the number of iterations is

$$
N \approx \frac{\log \left(r_{0} / r_{1}\right)}{h}=\mathcal{O}(P / K h)=\mathcal{O}\left(1 / h^{2}\right)
$$

## And that's not all, folks!

- If we fix the order $K$ of the error in the computation of $m(r)$, then the number of iterations is $N=\mathcal{O}\left(1 / h^{2}\right)$. One could think that a quadratic increase in the number of operations is not very dramatic, but stay tuned!
- Besides, the precision $P=\mathcal{O}(1 / h)$ also grows. We assume that the cost of one product is quadratic in $P$. Other operations like the evaluation of transcendental functions are worse.
- (There exist asymptotically faster implementations of MPAs (for instance, using the Karatsuba multiplication), but they become useful only for extremely high values of $P$.)
- Finally, the number of iterations to solve a nonlinear equation with precision $P$ by any standard iterative method (Newton's, Brent's, Ridders', etc.) grows logarithmically in $P$.
- Hence, the CPU time to solve the one-dimensional equation $f^{N}(m(r)) \in$ Fix $R$ is at least $\mathcal{O}\left(N \times P^{2} \times \log (P)\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{-4}|\log h|\right)$. Bad.


## Promises, promises, ...

- We shall explain in the next lecture how to deal with these numerical problems.
- For instance, we shall describe some (big, little and silly) tricks that give rise to an algorithm that takes an $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{-10 / 3}\right)$ time to compute the Lazutkin homoclinic invariant for the Hénon map.
- Besides, we shall show a GP-program to compute this Lazutkin homoclinic invariant with generates the following benchmarks:

| $h$ | 1 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| time (ms) | 3 | 21 | 2210 | 3000754 |

(Times for an old desktop: CPU = Intel Pentium 4 ( 3.40 GHz ), RAM $=2 \mathrm{~Gb}$.)

- Pendent check: Almost any GP-program can run faster simply by using a GP-to-C compiler called gp2c.


## The principles of multiple precision computation

- Time is money.
- Empty your mind.
- Search \& compare.
- Don't be too obsessive.
- Don't be too transcendental.
- Sometimes, be rational.


## Time is money

Current best price: $100 \$$ per GFLOP. Explanations are unnecessary. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
a"Nowadays people know the price of everything and the value of nothing", Oscar Wilde (The Picture of Dorian Gray, 1891)

## Empty your mind

- There are some principles that are good for single precision arithmetic, but a disaster in MPA.
- You must think carefully about how the MPA affects your algorithm.
- Example of bad principle: "A product is more expensive that a sum, but not MUCH more." This is clearly false in MPA. We are talking about different orders of complexity.
- Example of good idea: Complex multiplication can be reduced to a sequence of ordinary operations on real numbers, but there are two ways:
- Using 4 real multiplications and 2 real additions:

$$
(a+b \mathbf{i}) \times(c+d \mathrm{i})=(a c-b d)+(a d+b c) \mathrm{i} .
$$

- Using 3 real multiplications and 5 real additions.

We shall choose the second one.

- Exercise: Find the formula for the second way.


## Search \& compare

- Try several different methods and compare them. First and/or lazy choices are usually not the best ones.
- Example: To solve the nonlinear one-dimensional equation to compute the primary homoclinic point of the Hénon map, I compared the following possibilities: Newton's method, Ridders's method, secant method, and the GP-routine solve.
The last one was my first try, but it was the worse one. Newton's method was the best choice.


## Don't be too obsessive

- First Rule: If at some moment, you have to work a couple of (human) days to win a couple of (CPU) seconds, something is wrong.
- Second Rule: Don't forget the First Rule.


## Don't be too transcendental

Transcendental operations must be avoided as much as possible. I have used the following tricks in several splitting problems:

- Working with the Hénon map: If $\lambda=\mathrm{e}^{h}$ and $\epsilon=4 \sinh ^{2}(h / 2)$, then $\epsilon=\lambda-2+\lambda^{-1}$.
- Computing the lobe area of some perturbed McMillan maps:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \left(x_{n}\right)=\log \left(\Pi_{n=1}^{N} x_{n}\right)
$$

- Computing Melnikov functions of some volume-preserving maps: If $r=\mathrm{e}^{t}$, $\lambda=\mathrm{e}^{h}$, and $\mu=\mathrm{e}^{\omega \mathrm{i}}$, then

$$
E(t):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\cos (\omega k)}{\cosh (t+k h)}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mu^{k}+\mu^{-k}}{\lambda^{k} r^{2}+\lambda^{-k}} r
$$

## Sometimes, be rational

If we are working with a MPA, rational numbers have two good properties:

- They are usually cheap. A rational $\times$ real product is peccadillo with respect to a real $\times$ real one when the numerator and denominator are not very high integers.
Example: If we perform an heuristic study on some "continuous" property for the Hénon map

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(x+y+\epsilon x(1-x), y+\epsilon x(1-x))
$$

in the range $\epsilon \in(a, b)$ that requires the computation of many iterates with a very high precision, take $\epsilon \in(a, b) \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

- They are absolutely exact. For instance, they are not affected by changes in the precision and they can not be the weak link in any computation.
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## Software options

There are several choices to carry out a multiple precision computation.

- Hand-made. Write your own implementation starting from scratch. It is a hard and long way, but it is highly educative. It can be useful to read the Knuth's book about this subject. The choice of real men. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
- Commercial packages (Mapple, Mathematica,...). I don't like this option ${ }^{b}$, but as a first approach or for some toy problems.
- PARI/GP (http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/). A free computer algebra system designed for fast computations in number theory. It can be used as a C library (called PARI) or in a interactive shell (called gp) giving access to the PARI functions. The second one is my current choice, because it provides a readable code ${ }^{c}$
- GMP (http://gmplib.org/). A free library for arbitrary precision arithmetic. It is the fastest option (with my apologies to real men).

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a"Write your own programs, be a man", Carles Simó (s'Agaró, June 2nd 2006) }}$
    b"Software is like sex: it's better when it's free", Linus Torvalds
    ""You're brilliant, but you'd like to understand what you did 2 weeks from now", Torvalds

