

On the module of effective relations of a standard algebra

BY FRANCESC PLANAS-VILANOVA

Dept. Matemàtica Aplicada I. ETSEIB-UPC. Diagonal 647, E-08028 Barcelona.

1 Introduction

Let A be a commutative ring. We denote by a standard A -algebra a commutative graded A -algebra $U = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} U_n$ with $U_0 = A$ and such that U is generated as an A -algebra by the elements of U_1 . Take \underline{x} a (possibly infinite) set of generators of the A -module U_1 . Let $V = A[\underline{t}]$ be the polynomial ring with as many variables \underline{t} (of degree one) as \underline{x} has elements and let $f : V \rightarrow U$ be the graded free presentation of U induced by the \underline{x} . For $n \geq 2$, we will call *module of effective n -relations* the A -module $E(U)_n = \ker f_n / V_1 \cdot \ker f_{n-1}$. The minimum positive integer $r \geq 1$ such that the effective n -relations are zero for all $n \geq r + 1$ is known to be an invariant of U . It is called the relation type of U and is denoted by $\text{rt}(U)$. For an ideal I of A , we define $E(I)_n = E(\mathcal{R}(I))_n$ and $\text{rt}(I) = \text{rt}(\mathcal{R}(I))$, where $\mathcal{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n \subset A[t]$ is the Rees algebra of I .

In this paper, we give two descriptions of the A -module of effective n -relations. In terms of André-Quillen homology we have that $E(U)_n = H_1(A, U, A)_n$ (see 2.3). It turns out that this module does not depend on the chosen \underline{x} . In terms of Koszul homology we prove that $E(U)_n = H_1(\underline{x}; U)_n$ (see 2.4). Using these characterizations, we show later some properties on the module of effective n -relations and the relation type of a graded algebra. Meanwhile, our line of disquisition approaches us to several earlier works on the subject (see [2], [5], [6], [7], [9], [10], [13] and [14]).

Section 2 is devoted to state the above mentioned (co)homological characterizations of the A -module of effective n -relations and compare them with some already known results. In section 3, we give some applications. The interest is specially centered on the module of n -relations of powers of an ideal and the module of n -relations of Veronese subrings. In particular, one concludes that $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) \leq \text{rt}(U_+^p)$ but, in general, $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) \neq \text{rt}(U_+^p)$, where $U_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} U_n$ is the irrelevant ideal of U and $U^{(p)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} U_{np}$ is the p -th Veronese subring of U (see 3.12). Finally, in section 4 we characterize, in terms of a system of generators, which ideals have module of effective n -relations zero. In particular, a new characterization of sequences of linear type is obtained.

e-mail: planas@ma1.upc.es

2 Homological description of effective relations

Let $U = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} U_n$ be a standard A -algebra. Put $U_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} U_n$ its irrelevant ideal. If $E = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} E_n$ is a graded U -module and $r \geq 1$, we denote by $F_r(E)$ the submodule of E generated by the elements of degree at most r . Put (possibly infinite)

$$s(E) = \min\{r \geq 1 \mid E_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq r + 1\}.$$

Since $(E/U_+E)_n = E_n/U_1E_{n-1}$, then the following three conditions are equivalent: $F_r(E) = E$, $s(E/U_+E) \leq r$, and, $E_n = U_1E_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq r + 1$.

Given $h : W \rightarrow U$, a surjective graded morphism of standard A -algebras, we are interested in the graded A -module $E(h) = \ker h/W_+ \cdot \ker h$. The following is an elementary, but useful lemma:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $f : V \rightarrow U$ and $g : W \rightarrow V$ be two surjective graded morphisms of standard A -algebras. Then, there exists a graded exact sequence of A -modules:*

$$E(g) \rightarrow E(f \circ g) \xrightarrow{g} E(f) \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

In particular, $s(E(f)) \leq s(E(f \circ g)) \leq \max(s(E(f)), s(E(g)))$. Moreover, if V and W are two symmetric algebras, then $E(g)_n = 0$ and $E(f \circ g)_n = E(f)_n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Exact sequence (1) follows from the snake lemma applied to the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & W_1 \otimes \ker g_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & W_1 \otimes \ker(f \circ g)_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes g_{n-1}} & W_1 \otimes \ker f_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker g_n & \longrightarrow & \ker(f \circ g)_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \ker f_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Moreover, if $W = \mathbf{S}(W_1)$ and $V = \mathbf{S}(V_1)$, then $\ker g = F_1(\ker g)$. ■

Definition 2.2 Let U be a standard A -algebra and let $\alpha : \mathbf{S}(U_1) \rightarrow U$ be the graded morphism of standard A -algebras induced by the identity on U_1 . Given $n \geq 2$, the *module of effective n -relations* of U is defined to be $E(U)_n = \ker \alpha_n/U_1 \cdot \ker \alpha_{n-1}$. Put $E(U) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} E(U)_n = \ker \alpha/\mathbf{S}_+(U_1) \cdot \ker \alpha$. Then, the *relation type* of U is defined to be $\text{rt}(U) = s(E(U))$. Remark that if $h : W \rightarrow U$ is any *symmetric presentation* of U , that is, W is a symmetric algebra and h is a surjective graded morphism of standard A -algebras, then h can be factored into $h = f \circ g$, where $g : \mathbf{S}(W_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(U_1)$ is the induced morphism by $h_1 : W_1 \rightarrow U_1$ and $f = \alpha$. Thus, applying Lemma 2.1, $E(U)_n = E(h)_n$ for all $n \geq 2$ and $s(E(U)) = s(E(h))$. If I is an ideal of A , the *module of effective n -relations* of I is $E(I)_n = E(\mathcal{R}(I))_n$ and the *relation type* of I is $\text{rt}(I) = \text{rt}(\mathcal{R}(I))$, where $\mathcal{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n$ is the Rees algebra of I . An ideal with module of effective 2-relations zero is called *syzygetic*. An ideal of relation type 1 is called of *linear type* (see, e.g., [8]).

Remark 2.3 In fact, sequence (1) is part of a long exact sequence of André-Quillen homology. Indeed, the Jacobi-Zariski sequence associated to the morphisms $g : W \rightarrow V$ and $f : V \rightarrow U$, with respect to the U -module $A = U/U_+$, gives rise to

$$\dots \rightarrow H_1(W, V, A) \rightarrow H_1(W, U, A) \rightarrow H_1(V, U, A) \rightarrow H_0(W, V, A) \rightarrow \dots$$

Using $H_1(A, A/I, M) = I/I^2 \otimes M$ and $H_0(A, A/I, M) = 0$ for any ideal I of A and any A/I -module M , we get (1) (see [1]).

On the other hand, the Jacobi-Zariski sequence associated to the morphisms $A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(U_1)$ and $\alpha : \mathbf{S}(U_1) \rightarrow U$, with respect to the U -module A , is

$$\dots \rightarrow H_1(A, \mathbf{S}(U_1), A) \rightarrow H_1(A, U, A) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbf{S}(U_1), U, A) \rightarrow H_0(A, \mathbf{S}(U_1), A) \rightarrow \dots$$

Using $H_1(A, \mathbf{S}(U_1), A) = 0$ and $H_0(A, \mathbf{S}(U_1), A) = H_0(A, U, A)$, we get the graded isomorphism of A -modules $H_1(A, U, A) = H_1(\mathbf{S}(U_1), U, A) = \ker \alpha / \mathbf{S}_+(U_1) \cdot \ker \alpha$. Thus, $H_1(A, U, A)_n = E(U)_n$ is the module of effective n -relations of U . In particular, $\text{rt}(U) = \text{s}(H_1(A, U, A))$.

There is also a description of the module of effective n -relations in terms of Koszul (co)homology. Let $f : V \rightarrow U$ be a surjective graded morphism of standard A -algebras. For each $p \geq 1$, consider the map $V_p \otimes U \rightarrow U$ sending $x \otimes y$ to $f_p(x)y$ and let $\mathcal{K}(f, p)$ be the Koszul complex associated to this U -linear form (see 1.6.1 of [3]). Since it is an homogeneous form of degree zero, $\mathcal{K}(f, p)$ is a complex of graded U -modules having differentials homogeneous morphisms of degree zero. Concretely, $\mathcal{K}(f, p) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{K}(f, p)_n$ where $\mathcal{K}(f, p)_n$ is the following subcomplex ($U_n = 0$ for $n < 0$):

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Lambda}_2^A(V_p) \otimes_A U_{n-2p} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} V_p \otimes_A U_{n-p} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} U_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\partial_q((x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_q) \otimes y) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{i-1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x}_i \wedge \dots \wedge x_q \otimes f_p(x_i)y$, for all $x_i \in V_p$ and $y \in U_{n-qp}$. In particular, for every $q \geq 0$, $H_q(\mathcal{K}(f, p))$ is a graded A -module with $H_q(\mathcal{K}(f, p))_n = H_q(\mathcal{K}(f, p)_n)$.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $f : V \rightarrow U$ and $g : W \rightarrow V$ be two surjective graded morphisms of standard A -algebras. Let $\alpha : \mathbf{S}(U_1) \rightarrow U$ be the canonical morphism and suppose W is a symmetric algebra. Given $(n \geq 2, p = 1)$ or $(n \geq 2p + 1, p \geq 2)$, there are isomorphisms of A -modules*

$$H_1(\mathcal{K}(f, p)_n) = \frac{\ker(f \circ g)_n}{W_p \cdot \ker(f \circ g)_{n-p}} = \frac{\ker \alpha_n}{\mathbf{S}_p(U_1) \cdot \ker \alpha_{n-p}}.$$

In particular, the module of effective n -relations of U is $E(U)_n = H_1(\mathcal{K}(f, 1)_n)$ and the relation type of U is $\text{rt}(U) = \text{s}(H_1(\mathcal{K}(f, 1)))$.

Proof. Put $h = f \circ g$. Since $n - p \geq p$, then $W_{n-p} \cdot \ker g_p \subset W_p \cdot \ker g_{n-p} \subset W_p \cdot \ker h_{n-p}$. Applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram of exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\ker g_p \otimes W_{n-p} \oplus W_p \otimes \ker h_{n-p} & \longrightarrow & W_p \otimes W_{n-p} & \xrightarrow{g_p \otimes h_{n-p}} & V_p \otimes U_{n-p} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker h_n & \longrightarrow & W_n & \xrightarrow{h_n} & U_n \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

we get the exact sequence of A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow (g_p \otimes h_{n-p})(\mathcal{Z}_1(1_W, p)_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1(f, p)_n \rightarrow \ker h_n / W_p \cdot \ker h_{n-p} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_1(1_W, p)_n$, $\mathcal{Z}_1(f, p)_n$ stand for the n -th component of the 1-cycles module of $\mathcal{K}(1_W, p)$, $\mathcal{K}(f, p)$. If $\mathcal{Z}_1(1_W, p)_n = \mathcal{B}_1(1_W, p)_n$ (the n -th component of the 1-boundaries module of $\mathcal{K}(1_W, p)$), then $(g_p \otimes h_{n-p})(\mathcal{Z}_1(1_W, p)_n) = \mathcal{B}_1(f, p)_n$. Thus, the first isomorphism is demonstrated provided we prove $H_1(\mathcal{K}(1_W, p))_n = 0$ for a symmetric algebra W (see next lemma). In particular, if we take $V = U$ and $f = 1_U$, then $h = f \circ g = g$ and one of the possible choices of h is the canonical morphism α . Hence, applying twice the first equality to α and to any $h : W \rightarrow U$ arising from a symmetric algebra W , we have

$$H_1(\mathcal{K}(1_U, p)_n) = \frac{\ker \alpha_n}{\mathbf{S}_p(U_1) \cdot \ker \alpha_{n-p}} = \frac{\ker h_n}{W_p \cdot \ker h_{n-p}} \cdot \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2.5 *Let M be an A -module and $W = \mathbf{S}(M)$ the symmetric algebra of M . Then, for $(n \geq 1, p = 1)$ or $(n \geq 2p + 1, p \geq 2)$, $H_1(\mathcal{K}(1_W, p))_n = 0$.*

Proof. Put $\mathbf{T}(M)$ the tensorial algebra of M and $q = n - p$. Applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram of exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \mathbf{T}_p(M) \otimes \mathbf{T}_q(M) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{T}_n(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow v & & \downarrow \varepsilon & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker \omega & \longrightarrow & W_p \otimes W_q & \xrightarrow{\omega} & W_n \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

we get the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker v \rightarrow \ker \varepsilon \xrightarrow{v} \ker \omega \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{Z}_1(1_W, p)_n = \ker \omega = v(\ker \varepsilon)$ is the A -module generated by the elements

$$(x_1 \cdots x_{p-1} x_p) \otimes (y_1 y_2 \cdots y_q) - (x_1 \cdots x_{p-1} y_1) \otimes (x_p y_2 \cdots y_q),$$

where $x_i, y_j \in M$ and $x_1 \cdots x_p$ stands for the product in $W = \mathbf{S}(M)$. Clearly, if $(n \geq 1, p = 1)$, then $\mathcal{Z}_1(1_W, p)_n = \mathcal{B}_1(1_W, p)_n$. Suppose $(n \geq 2p + 1, p \geq 2)$, i.e., $q > p$. Then, $H_1(\mathcal{K}(1_W, p))_n = 0$ follows from the equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x_1 \cdots x_p) \otimes (y_1 \cdots y_q) - (x_1 \cdots x_{p-1} y_1) \otimes (x_p y_2 \cdots y_q) = \\
& (x_1 \cdots x_p) \otimes (y_1 \cdots y_q) - (y_2 \cdots y_{p+1}) \otimes (x_1 \cdots x_p y_1 y_{p+2} \cdots y_q) + \\
& (y_2 \cdots y_{p+1}) \otimes (x_1 \cdots x_{p-1} y_1 x_p y_{p+2} \cdots y_q) - (x_1 \cdots x_{p-1} y_1) \otimes (x_p y_2 \cdots y_q). \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.6 Let $f : \mathbf{S}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(M)$ be the induced morphism on the symmetric algebras by an epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow M$ of A -modules. Then, the last three nonzero terms of $\mathcal{K}(f, p)_{p+q}$, $q \geq p \geq 1$, define the sequence:

$$\Lambda_2^A(\mathbf{S}_p(F)) \otimes_A \mathbf{S}_{q-p}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{S}_p(F) \otimes_A \mathbf{S}_q(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{S}_{p+q}(M) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

with $\partial_2((x_1 \cdots x_p) \wedge (y_1 \cdots y_p) \otimes z) = (y_1 \cdots y_p) \otimes f(x_1 \cdots x_p)z - (x_1 \cdots x_p) \otimes f(y_1 \cdots y_p)z$ and $\partial_1((x_1 \cdots x_p) \otimes t) = f(x_1 \cdots x_p)t$, $x_i, y_j \in F$, $z \in \mathbf{S}_{q-p}(M)$ and $t \in \mathbf{S}_q(M)$.

On the other hand, Micali and Roby defined (in [10]) the sequence of A -modules

$$\mathbf{T}_{p+q}^A(F) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbf{S}_p(F) \otimes_A \mathbf{S}_q(M) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbf{S}_{p+q}(M) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

with $\lambda(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{p+q}) = (x_1 \cdots x_p) \otimes f(x_{p+1} \cdots x_{p+q}) - (x_1 \cdots x_{p-1} x_{p+1}) \otimes f(x_p x_{p+2} \cdots x_{p+q})$ and $\mu = \partial_1$. By a similar argument to that one of the end of Lemma 2.5, one can prove that $\text{Im} \partial_2$ is always contained in $\text{Im} \lambda$ and that if $q > p$, then both modules are equal. Thus, the exactness of (2) (settled by Theorem 2.4 either for $q \geq p = 1$ or either for $q > p \geq 2$) assures the exactness of (3). Nevertheless, if $q = p \geq 2$, then (2) might not be exact (see proof of Lemma 3.8) while (3) is always exact (see [10]).

Corollary 2.7 *Let U be a standard A -algebra and let \underline{x} be a (possibly infinite) set of forms of degree one generating U_+ . If $H_1(\underline{x}; U)$ denotes the first Koszul homology group associated to \underline{x} , then $E(U)_n = H_1(\underline{x}; U)_n$ for all $n \geq 2$. In particular, $\text{rt}(U) = \text{s}(H_1(\underline{x}; U))$.*

Proof. Take in Theorem 2.4, $f : \mathbf{S}(F) \rightarrow U$ induced by a free presentation $F \rightarrow U_1$ associated to \underline{x} . Then, $\mathcal{K}(f, 1) = \mathcal{K}(\underline{x}; U)$ is the usual Koszul complex associated to the elements \underline{x} . ■

Remark 2.8 Using duality between Koszul homology and cohomology (see 1.6.10 of [3]) we recover Schenzel's result $\text{rt}(U) = \text{s}(H^{d-1}(\underline{x}; U)) + d$, when $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ has d elements (see [13]).

Remark 2.9 Let I be an ideal of A and $\mathcal{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n$ its Rees algebra. Take $f = 1_{\mathcal{R}}$, the identity on $\mathcal{R}(I)$, in Theorem 2.4. Then,

$$\mathcal{Z}_1(f, p)_n = \ker(I^p \otimes I^{n-p} \rightarrow I^n) = \text{Tor}_1^A(A/I^p, I^{n-p}),$$

which is known to be isomorphic to $Z_1 \cap I^{n-p}F/I^{n-p}Z_1$, where $0 \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow I^p \rightarrow 0$ is a presentation of I^p with F free (see, e.g., 2.5 of [8]). Moreover, via the same isomorphism

$$\mathcal{B}_1(f, p)_n = \text{Im} \left(\Lambda_2^A(I^p) \otimes I^{n-2p} \rightarrow I^p \otimes I^{n-p} \right) = I^{n-2p}B_1/I^{n-p}Z_1.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.4, we have

$$H_1(f, p)_n = \frac{\ker \alpha_n}{\mathbf{S}_p(I) \cdot \ker \alpha_{n-p}} = \frac{Z_1 \cap I^{n-p}F}{I^{n-2p}B_1},$$

which reproves an earlier result of Kühl (see 1.2 of [9]).

3 Some applications

The purpose of this section is to give some applications of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.4.

Example 3.1 CYCLIC STANDARD ALGEBRAS Let U be a cyclic standard A -algebra generated by a degree one form $x \in U_1$. Put $f : A[t] \rightarrow U$ with $f(t) = x$ in Theorem 2.4. Then, $E(U)_n = H_1(\mathcal{K}(f, 1)_n) = (0 : x) \cap U_{n-1}$ and $\text{rt}(U) = \min\{r \geq 1 \mid (0 : x^{r+1}) = (0 : x^r)\}$.

Example 3.2 CHANGE OF BASE RING Let U be a standard A -algebra and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings. Take $f : V \rightarrow U$ any surjective graded morphism of standard A -algebras in Theorem 2.4. It induces $f \otimes 1 : V \otimes_A B \rightarrow U \otimes_A B$. Since $\mathcal{K}(f \otimes 1, p)_n = \mathcal{K}(f, p)_n \otimes_A B$, one can deduce $\text{rt}(U \otimes_A B) \leq \text{rt}(U)$. If φ is flat, then $H_1(\mathcal{K}(f \otimes 1, p)_n) = H_1(\mathcal{K}(f, p)_n) \otimes_A B$. In particular, $\text{rt}(U) = \sup\{\text{rt}(U_{\mathfrak{p}}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)\}$. If φ is faithfully flat, then $\text{rt}(U \otimes_A B) = \text{rt}(U)$. In particular, via the Nagata morphism $A \rightarrow A[t]_{\mathfrak{m}[t]} = B$, \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal of A , one can always suppose, when calculating the relation type of U , that A is a local ring of maximal \mathfrak{m} and residual field $A/\mathfrak{m} = k$ infinite.

Let I be an ideal of A and $\mathcal{G}(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$ its associated graded ring. Since $\mathcal{G}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I) \otimes_A A/I$, then (by 3.2) $\text{rt}(\mathcal{G}(I)) \leq \text{rt}(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \text{rt}(I)$. In [14], Valla showed that if $\text{rt}(\mathcal{G}(I)) = 1$, then $\text{rt}(I) = 1$ too. Next proposition is a generalization of that result.

Proposition 3.3 *If I is an ideal, there exists $E(I)_{n+1} \rightarrow E(I)_n \rightarrow E(\mathcal{G}(I))_n \rightarrow 0$, exact sequence of A -modules, for all $n \geq 2$. In particular, if $\text{rt}(I) < \infty$, then $\text{rt}(\mathcal{G}(I)) = \text{rt}(I)$.*

Proof. If $1_{\mathcal{R}}, 1_{\mathcal{G}}$, denote the identity on $\mathcal{R}(I), \mathcal{G}(I)$, respectively, then for each $n \geq 1$, there is an exact sequence of complexes $\mathcal{K}(1_{\mathcal{R}}, 1)_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(1_{\mathcal{R}}, 1)_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(1_{\mathcal{G}}, 1)_n \rightarrow 0$. Since the 0-th component of the first morphism is injective and $H_0(\mathcal{K}(1_{\mathcal{R}}, 1)_{n+1}) = 0$, we have enough to deduce the exact sequence $E(I)_{n+1} \rightarrow E(I)_n \rightarrow E(\mathcal{G}(I))_n \rightarrow 0$. In particular, if $\text{rt}(I) < \infty$, one can proceed by decreasing induction. ■

Remark 3.4 If $\text{rt}(I) = \infty$, then 3.3 might be false as Example 4.4 of [11] shows. Note that, as a consequence of next proposition, we will see that for the irrelevant ideal of a standard algebra hypothesis $\text{rt}(I) < \infty$ can be removed.

Proposition 3.5 *Let U be a standard A -algebra and let $U_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} U_n$ denote its irrelevant ideal. Take $f : W \rightarrow U$ a surjective graded morphism of standard A -algebras with W a symmetric algebra. Given $(n \geq 2, p = 1)$ or $(n \geq 3, p \geq 2)$, the module of effective n -relations of U_+^p is*

$$E(U_+^p)_n = \bigoplus_{q \geq np} \frac{\ker f_q}{W_p \cdot \ker f_{q-p}}.$$

In particular, $E(U_+^p)_n = 0$ if, and only if, $\text{rt}(U_+^p) \leq n - 1$. For $p = 1$, $\text{rt}(U) = \text{rt}(U_+)$. Moreover, U_+ is a syzygetic ideal if, and only if, U is a symmetric algebra.

Proof. Let $g : \mathbf{S}^U(U_p \otimes_A U) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(U_+^p)$ be induced by the natural epimorphism of A -modules $U_p \otimes_A U \rightarrow U_+^p$. It is not hard to see $\mathcal{K}(g, 1)_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{K}(1_U, p)_{np+i}$. Moreover, if $(n \geq 2, p = 1)$, then $np + i \geq 2$ and if $(n \geq 3, p \geq 2)$, then $np + i \geq 2p + 1$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4,

$$E(U_+^p)_n = H_1(\mathcal{K}(g, 1)_n) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_1(\mathcal{K}(1_U, p)_{np+i}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \frac{\ker f_{np+i}}{W_p \cdot \ker f_{(n-1)p+i}} = \bigoplus_{q \geq np} \frac{\ker f_q}{W_p \cdot \ker f_{q-p}}.$$

In particular, $E(U_+^p)_n \supset E(U_+^p)_{n+1}$. Thus, $E(U_+^p)_n = 0$ is equivalent to $\text{rt}(U_+^p) \leq n - 1$. For $p = 1$ and $n \geq 2$, $E(U_+)_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \ker f_{n+i} / W_1 \cdot \ker f_{n-1+i} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} E(U)_{n+i} = \bigoplus_{q \geq n} E(U)_q$. In particular, $\text{rt}(U) = \text{s}(E(U)) = \text{s}(E(U_+)) = \text{rt}(U_+)$. Moreover, $E(U_+)_2 = \bigoplus_{q \geq 2} E(U)_q = E(U)$. Thus, U_+ be syzygetic is equivalent to U be a symmetric algebra. ■

Now, let us focus our attention into the relation type of Veronese subrings. Let U be a standard A -algebra. Recall that the p -th Veronese subring of U is defined to be the standard A -algebra $U^{(p)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} U_{np}$. Clearly, if $f : V \rightarrow U$ is a (surjective) graded morphism of standard A -algebras, then it induces $f^{(p)} : V^{(p)} \rightarrow U^{(p)}$ another (surjective) graded morphism of standard A -algebras.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $f : V \rightarrow U$ be a surjective graded morphism of standard A -algebras. Then, for all $p \geq 1$, $\text{s}(E(f^{(p)})) \leq 1 + [(\text{s}(E(f)) - 1)/p]$ ($[a]$ is the integer part of a).*

Proof. Write $\text{s}(E(f)) - 1 = pa + b$ with $0 \leq b < p$. So $[(\text{s}(E(f)) - 1)/p] = a$. Take $n \geq 2 + a$. Then $(n - 1)p \geq pa + p \geq \text{s}(E(f))$. Thus, $\ker f_{np} = V_1 \cdot \ker f_{np-1} = \dots = V_p \cdot \ker f_{(n-1)p}$ and hence $\text{s}(E(f^{(p)})) \leq 1 + a$. ■

Lemma 3.7 *Let U be a standard A -algebra and let $f : V \rightarrow U$ be a symmetric presentation of U . If $(n \geq 2, p = 1)$ or $(n \geq 3, p \geq 2)$, then the module of effective n -relations of $U^{(p)}$ is*

$$E(U^{(p)})_n = \frac{\ker f_{np}}{V_p \cdot \ker f_{(n-1)p}}.$$

Proof. Take $g : \mathbf{S}(V_p) \rightarrow U^{(p)}$ induced by $f_p : V_p \rightarrow U_p$ in degree one. We have $\mathcal{K}(g, 1)_n = \mathcal{K}(f, p)_{np}$. Moreover, if $(n \geq 2, p = 1)$, then $np \geq 2$, and if $(n \geq 3, p \geq 2)$, then $np \geq 2p + 1$. Thus, by Theorem 2.4, $E(U^{(p)})_n = H_1(\mathcal{K}(g, 1)_n) = H_1(\mathcal{K}(f, p)_{np}) = (\ker f_{np}) / (V_p \cdot \ker f_{(n-1)p})$. ■

Lemma 3.8 *Let M be an A -module and $\mathbf{S}(M)$ its symmetric algebra. Then, for all $p \geq 1$, $\text{rt}(\mathbf{S}(M)^{(p)}) \leq 2$. Moreover, if $p \geq 2$ and M is finitely generated, then $\text{rt}(\mathbf{S}(M)^{(p)}) = 1$ if, and only if, M is locally cyclic.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, $E(\mathbf{S}(M)^{(p)})_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 3$. Thus, $\text{rt}(\mathbf{S}(M)^{(p)}) \leq 2$. Suppose $p \geq 2$ and (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) is local (see 3.2). If M is cyclic, then $\mathbf{S}(M)^{(p)} = \mathbf{S}_p(M)$ and $\text{rt}(\mathbf{S}(M)^{(p)}) = 1$. Conversely, suppose M finitely generated, but not cyclic. Take x, y part of a basis of $M \otimes k$ and $x^p, y^p, x^{p-1}y$ in $\mathbf{S}_p(M) \otimes k$. Then, $z = x^p \otimes y^p - x^{p-1}y \otimes$

$xy^{p-1} \in \mathcal{Z}_1(f \otimes 1_k, 1)_2$. Moreover, looking at the components of an element in a k -basis of $\mathcal{B}_1(f \otimes 1_k, 1)_2$, one sees that $z \notin \mathcal{B}_1(f \otimes 1_k, 1)_2$. Thus, $H_1(\mathcal{K}(f \otimes 1_k, 1)_2) \neq 0$, hence (by 3.2) $H_1(\mathcal{K}(f, 1)_2) \neq 0$ and $\text{rt}(\mathbf{S}(M)^{(p)}) = 2$. ■

Remark 3.9 Let I be an ideal of linear type finitely generated, but not locally principal. Then, by Lemma 3.8, $\text{rt}(I^p) = 2$ for all $p \geq 2$, which reproves 2.6 of [7].

Theorem 3.10 *Let U be a standard A -algebra. Then, $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) \leq \max(1 + [(\text{rt}(U) - 1)/p], 2)$ for all $p \geq 1$. Moreover, if U is finitely generated and $p \geq 2$, then $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) = 1$ if, and only if, U_p is locally generated by a d -sequence of length 1.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbf{S}(U_1) \rightarrow U$ be the canonical morphism. Put $g : \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}_p(U_1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(U_1)^{(p)}$ and $f = \alpha^{(p)}$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) \leq \max(\text{s}(E(f)), \text{s}(E(g)))$ and, by Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, we prove the inequality. Suppose $p \geq 2$ and U finitely generated. By 3.2, one can suppose that (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local ring of infinite residual field k . If U_p is generated by a d -sequence of length 1, then (by 3.1) $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) = 1$. Conversely, suppose $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) = 1$. Take $V = U \otimes k$, so $V^{(p)} = U^{(p)} \otimes k$ and $\text{rt}(V^{(p)}) \leq \text{rt}(U^{(p)}) = 1$. Therefore, $V^{(p)}$ is a polynomial ring of Krull dimension $l = \mu(V_p) = \dim V^{(p)} = \dim V$ (since $V^{(p)} \subset V$ is an integral extension). Take $W \subset V$ a graded Noether normalization (it exists since k is infinite, see 1.5.17 of [3]). Thus, $\dim W = \dim V = l$ and so $\binom{l+p-1}{p} = \mu(W_p) \leq \mu(V_p) = l$, which forces $l = 1$. Hence, $\mu(U_p) = \mu(V_p) = 1$, $U_p = Ax$ is cyclic and, by 3.1 again, x is a d -sequence. ■

Remark 3.11 The inequality of 3.10 was firstly proved by Backelin and Fröberg for finitely generated k -algebras (see [2]). Recently, Johnston and Katz showed a very similar statement to that of 3.10, but for $U = \mathcal{R}(I)$ the Rees algebra of an ideal I (see [7]). Since $\mathcal{G}(I)^{(p)} = \mathcal{R}(I)^{(p)} \otimes A/I = \mathcal{R}(I^p) \otimes A/I$, then (by 3.2) $\text{rt}(\mathcal{G}(I)^{(p)}) \leq \text{rt}(I^p)$. In particular, for $I = U_+$ the irrelevant ideal of a standard algebra U , $\mathcal{G}(I) = U$ and $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) \leq \text{rt}(U_+^p)$. Thus, Johnston-Katz's result implies Backelin-Fröberg's result and the inequality of 3.10, when U is a Noetherian ring. Nevertheless, the whole Theorem 3.10 can not be deduced directly from earlier results since, in general, $\text{rt}(U^{(p)}) \neq \text{rt}(U_+^p)$ as next example shows.

Example 3.12 Put $U = k[x, y, z]/J$ with $J = (x^3y, xy^3, z^4, x^2y^2z^3)$. Then, $\text{rt}(U) = 7$, $\text{rt}(U^{(2)}) = 2$ and $\text{rt}(U_+^2) = 3$ (remark that $\max(1 + [(\text{rt}(U) - 1)/2], 2) = 4$). Indeed, since $E(U)_n = \ker \alpha_n / U_1 \cdot \ker \alpha_{n-1}$, $\alpha : \mathbf{S}(U_1) \rightarrow U$ the canonical morphism, then $E(U)_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, $n \neq 4, 7$ and $E(U)_4 = k^{\oplus 3}$ and $E(U)_7 = k$. Thus, $\text{rt}(U) = \text{s}(E(U)) = 7$. Since $\ker \alpha_8 \subset F_4(\ker \alpha)$, then, by Lemma 3.7, $E(U^{(2)})_n = \ker \alpha_{2n} / \mathbf{S}_2(U_1) \cdot \ker \alpha_{2(n-1)} = 0$ for all $n \geq 3$. Thus, $\text{rt}(U^{(2)}) \leq 2$. Moreover, $\text{rt}(U^{(2)}) = 2$ since U_2 is not locally cyclic (see Theorem 3.10). Besides, using Proposition 3.5, $E(U_+^2)_4 = \bigoplus_{q \geq 8} (\ker \alpha_q / \mathbf{S}_2(U_1) \ker \alpha_{q-2}) = 0$, so $\text{rt}(U_+^2) \leq 3$. But, since $\ker \alpha_7 \neq \mathbf{S}_2(U_1) \cdot \ker \alpha_5$, $E(U_+^2)_3 = \bigoplus_{q \geq 6} (\ker \alpha_q / \mathbf{S}_2(U_1) \ker \alpha_{q-2}) \neq 0$. Hence, $\text{rt}(U_+^2) = 3$.

4 Conditions on the generators

In this section we characterize, in terms of a system of generators, which ideals have module of effective n -relations zero. Our work here is inspired in previous results by Costa, see [5] and [6]. Concretely, in [6], it was defined a *sequence of linear type* as a sequence of elements x_1, \dots, x_d such that the ideals (x_1, \dots, x_i) are of linear type for $i = 1, \dots, d$. As a consequence of the main result of this section (see 4.7), we get a new characterization of sequences of linear type involving annihilator ideals (see 4.9). For an ideal I generated by d elements x_1, \dots, x_d , we will denote by I_{i_1, \dots, i_s} the ideal generated by the x_j , where $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$. For an A -module M , we will denote by $\mathcal{A}_d(M)$ the set of alternating $d \times d$ matrices with coefficients in M .

Lemma 4.1 *Let I be generated by d elements x_1, \dots, x_d and take $n \geq 2$. Then, $E(I)_n = 0$ if, and only if, for all $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in (I^{n-1})^{\oplus d}$ with $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_dx_d = 0$, there exists $(b_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{A}_d(I^{n-2})$ such that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,d} \\ -b_{1,2} & 0 & \dots & b_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{1,d} & -b_{2,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.7, $E(I)_n = H_1(\underline{xt}; \mathcal{R}(I))_n$, where $\mathcal{K}(\underline{xt}; \mathcal{R}(I))_n$ is the n -th component of the Koszul complex associated to the elements x_1t, \dots, x_dt in $\mathcal{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n$. That is, $\dots \rightarrow (I^{n-2})^{\oplus \binom{d}{2}} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} (I^{n-1})^{\oplus d} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} I^n \rightarrow 0$, with $\partial_2(b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,d}, b_{2,3}, \dots, b_{d-1,d}) =$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_d) \text{ defined by } \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,d} \\ -b_{1,2} & 0 & \dots & b_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{1,d} & -b_{2,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \partial_1(a_1, \dots, a_d) =$$

$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_dx_d$. ■

Lemma 4.2 *Let I be generated by d elements x_1, \dots, x_d and take $n \geq 2$. If $E(I)_n = 0$, then $I_1I^{n-1} : x_1^n = I_1I^{n-2} : x_1^{n-1}$.*

Proof. If $a \in I_1I^{n-1} : x_1^n$, then $ax_1^n = a_2x_2 + \dots + a_dx_d$, $a_i \in I^{n-1}$. In particular, (by 4.1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} ax_1^{n-1} \\ -a_2 \\ \vdots \\ -a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,d} \\ -b_{1,2} & 0 & \dots & b_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{1,d} & -b_{2,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}, b_{i,j} \in I^{n-2}. \text{ Thus } ax_1^{n-1} \in I_1I^{n-2}. \blacksquare$$

Remark 4.3 If $d = 1$, then the necessary condition of Lemma 4.2 becomes $0 : x_1^n = 0 : x_1^{n-1}$, which is known to be sufficient to assure $E(I)_n = 0$ (see Example 3.1).

Lemma 4.4 *Let I be generated by d elements x_1, \dots, x_d ($d \geq 2$) and $n \geq 2$. If $E(I)_n = 0$, then*

$$(0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-1} = \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^d a_i x_i \mid a_i \in I^{n-2}, x_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = (b_{i,j}) \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } (b_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{A}_{d-1}(I_1^{n-2}) \right\}.$$

In particular, if $d = 2$ and $E(I)_n = 0$, then $(0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-1} = x_2((0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-2})$ and $(0 : x_1 x_2) \cap I^{n-2} = (0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-2} + (0 : x_2) \cap I^{n-2}$.

Proof. If $a \in (0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-1}$, then (by 4.1) $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,d} \\ -b_{1,2} & 0 & \dots & b_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{1,d} & -b_{2,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$ for

some $(b_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{A}_d(I^{n-2})$. Thus, $a = b_{1,2}x_2 + \dots + b_{1,d}x_d$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,2} \\ b_{1,3} \\ \vdots \\ b_{1,d} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{2,3} & \dots & b_{2,d} \\ -b_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & b_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{2,d} & -b_{3,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{2,3} & \dots & c_{2,d} \\ -c_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & c_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -c_{2,d} & -c_{3,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} + x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_{2,3} & \dots & e_{2,d} \\ -e_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & e_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -e_{2,d} & -e_{3,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with $c_{i,j} \in I_1^{n-2}$, $e_{i,j} \in I^{n-3}$ and $b_{i,j} = c_{i,j} + x_1 e_{i,j}$ (if $n = 2$, $I^{n-3} = 0$). Put

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ a_3 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,2} \\ b_{1,3} \\ \vdots \\ b_{1,d} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_{2,3} & \dots & e_{2,d} \\ -e_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & e_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -e_{2,d} & -e_{3,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $x_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ a_3 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{2,3} & \dots & c_{2,d} \\ -c_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & c_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -c_{2,d} & -c_{3,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$ and $a = a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_d x_d$. Conversely,

if $a = a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_d x_d$, $a_i \in I^{n-2}$, with $x_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ a_3 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{2,3} & \dots & b_{2,d} \\ -b_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & b_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{2,d} & -b_{3,d} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$,

$b_{i,j} \in I_1^{n-2}$, then clearly $a x_1 = 0$. In particular, for $d = 2$, we have that $(0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-1} = x_2((0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-2})$. Moreover, if $a \in (0 : x_1 x_2) \cap I^{n-2}$, then $a x_2 \in (0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-1}$ and hence $a x_2 = x_2 b$ for some $b \in (0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-2}$. Thus, $a = b + (a - b)$ where $b \in (0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-2}$ and $(a - b) \in (0 : x_2) \cap I^{n-2}$. ■

Proposition 4.5 *Let I be generated by x_1, x_2 and $n \geq 2$. Then, $E(I)_n = 0$ if, and only if, the following two conditions hold*

(i) $x_2 I^{n-1} : x_1^n = x_2 I^{n-2} : x_1^{n-1}$,

(ii) $(0 : x_2) \cap I^{n-1} = x_1((0 : x_2) \cap I^{n-2})$.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4, $E(I)_n = 0$ implies conditions (i) and (ii). Conversely, suppose (i) and (ii) are fulfilled and let us prove $E(I)_n = 0$ via Lemma 4.1. Take $(a_1, a_2) \in$

$(I^{n-1})^{\oplus 2}$ with $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 = 0$. Since $I^{n-1} = Ax_1^{n-1} + x_2I^{n-2}$, $a_1 = b_1x_1^{n-1} + b_2x_2$ with $b_1 \in A$ and $b_2 \in I^{n-2}$. Then, $0 = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 = b_1x_1^n + (a_2 + b_2x_1)x_2$ and $b_1 \in x_2I^{n-1} : x_1^n = x_2I^{n-2} : x_1^{n-1}$ (by (i)). So $b_1x_1^{n-1} = c_2x_2$, $c_2 \in I^{n-2}$, and $a_1 = (b_2 + c_2)x_2$. Therefore, $0 = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 = (a_2 + b_2x_1 + c_2x_1)x_2$. So, by (ii), $(a_2 + b_2x_1 + c_2x_1) \in (0 : x_2) \cap I^{n-1} = x_1((0 : x_2) \cap I^{n-2})$. We thus have $a_2 + b_2x_1 + c_2x_1 = c_1x_1$ with $c_1 \in I^{n-2}$ and $c_1x_2 = 0$. That is, $a_2 = (c_1 - b_2 - c_2)x_1$ and $a_1 = (b_2 + c_2)x_2 = (b_2 + c_2 - c_1)x_2$. ■

Remark 4.6 If A is a domain and $I = (x_1, x_2)$, Costa showed (in Theorem 2 of [5]) that $\oplus_{n=2}^m E(I)_n = 0$ if, and only if, $x_2I^{n-1} : x_1^n = x_2I^{n-2} : x_1^{n-1}$ for all n , $2 \leq n \leq m$. Later, he improved his own result (see Theorem 4 of [6]) by avoiding the hypothesis A is a domain, but supposing the weaker condition $I = (x_1)$ is of linear type and adding a condition similar to (ii) of Proposition 4.5. However, for an ideal $I = (x_1, x_2)$, be of linear type does not imply $I_1 = (x_2)$ or $I_2 = (x_1)$ be of linear type (see Example 3.3 of [12] where $I = (x_1, x_2)$ of linear type is constructed with $0 : x^2 \neq 0 : x$ for all $x \in I$). Thus, Proposition 4.5 generalizes Costa's Theorem 2 of [5] and Theorem 4 of [6].

Theorem 4.7 *Let I be generated by d elements x_1, \dots, x_d ($d \geq 3$) and take $n \geq 2$. Then $E(I)_n = 0$ if, and only if, all the following conditions hold*

- (i) $I_i I^{n-1} : x_i^n = I_i I^{n-2} : x_i^{n-1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$,
- (ii) $\left(\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d-1} x_i x_j I_d^{n-2} \right) : x_d \right) \cap I^{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i \left((I_{i,d} I_d^{n-2} : x_d) \cap I^{n-2} \right)$,
- (iii) If $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & b_{1,d-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{d-1,1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i = 0$ and $b_{i,j} \in I_d^{n-2}$, then $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & c_{1,d-1} & c_{1,d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -c_{1,d-1} & \dots & 0 & c_{d-1,d} \\ -c_{1,d} & \dots & -c_{d-1,d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$ for some $(c_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{A}_d(I^{n-2})$.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.1, $E(I)_n = 0$ clearly implies conditions (i) and (iii). Let us prove (ii) provided $E(I)_n = 0$. Take $a \in \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i \left((I_{i,d} I_d^{n-2} : x_d) \cap I^{n-2} \right)$, so $a = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_{d-1}x_{d-1}$ with $a_i \in I^{n-2}$ and

$$x_d \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,d-1} \\ b_{2,1} & 0 & \dots & b_{2,d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{d-1,1} & b_{d-1,2} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$b_{i,j} \in I_d^{n-2}$. Therefore, $a \in I^{n-1}$ and $ax_d = \sum_{i,j \neq d}^{i \neq j} b_{i,j} x_i x_j \in \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d-1} x_i x_j I_d^{n-2} \right)$. Conversely, take $a \in \left(\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d-1} x_i x_j I_d^{n-2} \right) : x_d \right) \cap I^{n-1}$. So there exist $b_{i,j} \in I_d^{n-2}$ such

that $ax_d = x_1c_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}c_{d-1}$, where

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & \cdots & b_{1,d-1} \\ b_{2,1} & 0 & \cdots & b_{2,d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{d-1,1} & b_{d-1,2} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $E(I)_n = 0$, then (by Lemma 4.1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -c_1 \\ -c_2 \\ \vdots \\ -c_{d-1} \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{1,d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{d-1,d} \\ -e_{1,d} & -e_{2,d} & \cdots & -e_{d-1,d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{1,2} & \cdots & f_{1,d-1} & 0 \\ -f_{1,2} & 0 & \cdots & f_{2,d-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -f_{1,d-1} & -f_{2,d-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. + x_d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{1,2} & \cdots & g_{1,d-1} & 0 \\ -g_{1,2} & 0 & \cdots & g_{2,d-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -g_{1,d-1} & -g_{2,d-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$$

where $e_{i,d} \in I^{n-2}$, $f_{i,j} \in I_d^{n-2}$ and $g_{i,j} \in I^{n-3}$. Put

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -e_{1,d} \\ -e_{2,d} \\ \vdots \\ -e_{d-1,d} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{1,2} & \cdots & g_{1,d-1} \\ -g_{1,2} & 0 & \cdots & g_{2,d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -g_{1,d-1} & -g_{2,d-1} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $x_1h_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}h_{d-1} = a$ and $x_d \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = x_d \begin{pmatrix} -e_{1,d} \\ \vdots \\ -e_{d-1,d} \end{pmatrix} - x_d(g_{i,j}) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} + (f_{i,j}) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = (b_{i,j} + f_{i,j}) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Thus, } a \in \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i \left((I_{i,d}I_d^{n-2} : x_d) \cap I^{n-2} \right).$$

Now, suppose that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold and let us prove $E(I)_n = 0$ by using Lemma 4.1. Take $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in (I^{n-1})^{\oplus d}$ such that $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_dx_d = 0$. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Since $a_i \in I^{n-1} = Ax_i^{n-1} + I_iI^{n-2}$, then $a_i = b_ix_i^{n-1} + \sum_{j \neq i} b_jx_j$ with $b_i \in A$ and $b_j \in I^{n-2}$. Since $0 = \sum_{j=1}^d a_jx_j = b_ix_i^n + \sum_{j \neq i} (a_j + b_jx_i)x_j$, then $b_i \in I_iI^{n-1} : x_i^n = I_iI^{n-2} : x_i^{n-1}$ (by (i)). Thus $b_ix_i^{n-1} = \sum_{j \neq i} c_jx_j$, $c_j \in I^{n-2}$ and $a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} (b_j + c_j)x_j \in I_iI^{n-2}$. Hence, we can

write $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & \cdots & b_{1,d} \\ b_{2,1} & 0 & \cdots & b_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{d,1} & b_{d,2} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$ where $b_{i,j} \in I^{n-2}$. For $i, j \neq d$, $i \neq j$, put

$b_{i,j} = e_{i,j} + x_dh_{i,j}$ with $e_{i,j} \in I_d^{n-2}$ and $h_{i,j} \in I^{n-3}$. For $i \neq d$, put $c_{i,d} = b_{i,d} + \sum_{j \neq i,d} h_{i,j}x_j$. Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{1,d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{d-1,d} \\ b_{d,1} & \cdots & b_{d,d-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & e_{1,d-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_{d-1,d} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i x_i = 0$, then $x_d(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (b_{d,i} + c_{i,d})x_i) = -\sum_{i,j \neq d} x_i x_j e_{i,j} \in \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d-1} x_i x_j I_d^{n-2}$ and, by hypothesis (ii), $(b_{d,1} + c_{1,d})x_1 + \cdots + (b_{d,d-1} + c_{d-1,d})x_{d-1} = f_1 x_1 + \cdots + f_{d-1} x_{d-1}$

$$\text{where } f_i \in I^{n-2} \text{ and } x_d \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{1,2} & \cdots & g_{1,d-1} \\ g_{2,1} & 0 & \cdots & g_{2,d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{d-1,1} & g_{d-1,2} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{i,j} \in I_d^{n-2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_d = b_{d,1}x_1 + \cdots + b_{d,d-1}x_{d-1} = (f_1 - c_{1,d})x_1 + \cdots + (f_{d-1} - c_{d-1,d})x_{d-1} \\ a_{d-1} = e_{d-1,1}x_1 + \cdots + e_{d-1,d-2}x_{d-2} + c_{d-1,d}x_d = \\ \quad (e_{d-1,1} + g_{d-1,1})x_1 + \cdots + (e_{d-1,d-2} + g_{d-1,d-2})x_{d-2} + (c_{d-1,d} - f_{d-1})x_{d-1} \\ \vdots \\ a_1 = e_{1,2}x_2 + \cdots + e_{1,d-1}x_{d-1} + c_{1,d}x_d = \\ \quad (e_{1,2} + g_{1,2})x_2 + \cdots + (e_{1,d-1} + g_{1,d-1})x_{d-1} + (c_{1,d} - f_1)x_d. \end{array} \right.$$

We thus can write $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ a_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{c}_{1,2} & \cdots & \tilde{c}_{1,d-1} & \tilde{b}_{1,d} \\ \tilde{c}_{2,1} & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{c}_{2,d-1} & \tilde{b}_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{c}_{d-1,1} & \tilde{c}_{d-1,2} & \cdots & 0 & \tilde{b}_{d-1,d} \\ -\tilde{b}_{1,d} & -\tilde{b}_{2,d} & \cdots & -\tilde{b}_{d-1,d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$, with $\tilde{b}_{i,d} \in I^{n-2}$, but $\tilde{c}_{i,j} \in I_d^{n-2}$. Applying hypothesis (iii) to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{c}_{1,2} & \cdots & \tilde{c}_{1,d-1} \\ \tilde{c}_{2,1} & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{c}_{2,d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{c}_{d-1,1} & \tilde{c}_{d-1,2} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}$,

we finish. ■

Corollary 4.8 INDUCTION THEOREM *Let I be generated by d elements x_1, \dots, x_d ($d \geq 3$) and take $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $E(I_d)_n = 0$. Then $E(I)_n = 0$ if, and only if, the following two conditions hold*

(i) $I_i I^{n-1} : x_i^n = I_i I^{n-2} : x_i^{n-1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$,

(ii) $\left(\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d-1} x_i x_j I_d^{n-2} \right) : x_d \right) \cap I^{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i \left((I_{i,d} I_d^{n-2} : x_d) \cap I^{n-2} \right)$.

Proof. By lemma 4.1, $E(I_d)_n = 0$ assures that condition (iii) of Theorem 4.7 is fulfilled. ■

Corollary 4.9 *Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be d elements of A . Then, \underline{x} is a sequence of linear type if, and only if, the following two conditions hold for all $n \geq 2$*

(i) $(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_k)(x_1, \dots, x_k)^{n-1} : x_i^n = (x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_k)(x_1, \dots, x_k)^{n-2} : x_i^{n-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k \leq d$,

(ii) For all $1 \leq i < j < k \leq d$,

$$\left(\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} x_i x_j (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})^{n-2} \right) : x_k \right) \cap (x_1, \dots, x_k)^{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i \left(((x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k-1})(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})^{n-2} : x_k) \cap (x_1, \dots, x_k)^{n-2} \right),$$

(understanding $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} (\dots) = 0$ for $k \leq 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\dots) = 0$ for $k = 1$).

Remark 4.10 With the hypothesis $E(I_d)_n = 0$ of Corollary 4.8, it is not hard to prove that $E(I)_n = 0$ if, and only if, the following two conditions hold

- (i) $I_d I^{n-1} : x_d^n = I_d I^{n-2} : x_d^{n-1}$,
- (ii) If $(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}) \in (I^{n-1})^{\oplus(d-1)}$ with $a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{d-1} x_{d-1} = 0$, then there exists $(b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}) \in (I^{n-2})^{\oplus(d-1)}$ and $(c_1, \dots, c_{d-1}) \in (I_d^{n-1})^{\oplus(d-1)}$ such that $b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_{d-1} x_{d-1} = 0$ and $a_i = x_d b_i + c_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$.

In fact, this is the expected generalization of Costa's Induction Theorem (see 4 of [6]).

Corollary 4.11 *Let I be generated by x_1, x_2, x_3 and take $n \geq 2$. Then, $E(I)_n = 0$ if, and only if, the following three conditions hold*

- (i) $I_i I^{n-1} : x_i^n = I_i I^{n-2} : x_i^{n-1}$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$,
- (ii) $(x_1 x_2 I_3^{n-2} : x_3) \cap I^{n-1} = x_1 \left((x_2 I_3^{n-2} : x_3) \cap I^{n-2} \right) + x_2 \left((x_1 I_3^{n-2} : x_3) \cap I^{n-2} \right)$,
- (iii) $(0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-1} = \{a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3 \mid a_i \in I^{n-2}, a_2 x_1 = b x_3, a_3 x_1 = -b x_2 \text{ for } b \in I_1^{n-2}\}$.

Moreover, if $(0 : x_1 x_2) \cap I_3^{n-2} = (0 : x_1) \cap I_3^{n-2} + (0 : x_2) \cap I_3^{n-2}$ (for instance, if $E(I_3)_n = 0$) then condition (iii) can be skipped.

Proof. Suppose $E(I)_n = 0$. Then, Lemma 4.2 assures (i), Lemma 4.4 assures (iii) and Theorem 4.7 assures (ii). Conversely, suppose (i), (ii) and (iii) hold and let us prove $E(I)_n = 0$ by proving (iii) of Theorem 4.7. So take $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 = 0$ and $b, c \in I_3^{n-2}$. Since $(b+c)x_1 x_2 = 0$, then $(b+c)x_2 \in (0 : x_1) \cap I^{n-1}$ and, by hypothesis (iii), $(b+c)x_2 = e x_2 + f x_3$ with $e, f \in I^{n-2}$ and $e x_1 = g x_3, f x_1 = -g x_2$ for some $g \in I_1^{n-2}$. Thus, $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e-c & -f \\ c-e & 0 & g \\ f & -g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$. Analogously, one could prove that $(0 : x_1 x_2) \cap I_3^{n-2} = (0 : x_1) \cap I_3^{n-2} + (0 : x_2) \cap I_3^{n-2}$ implies (iii) of 4.7. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: I am grateful to J.M. Giral and S. Zarzuela for the helpful discussions concerning this paper.

References

- [1] M. André. *Homologie des algèbres commutatives*. Grundlehren no. 206 (Springer-Verlag, 1974).
- [2] J. Backelin and R. Fröberg. Koszul algebras, Veronese subrings and rings with linear resolutions. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pure Appl.* **30** (1985), 85-97.
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. no. 39 (Cambridge University Press, 1993).

- [5] D. L. Costa. On the Torsion-Freeness of the Symmetric Powers of an Ideal. *J. Algebra* **80** (1983), 152-158.
- [6] D. L. Costa. Sequences of linear type. *J. Algebra* **94** (1985), 256-263.
- [7] B. Johnston and D. Katz. On the relation type of large powers of an ideal. *Mathematika* **41** (1994), 209-214.
- [8] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos. Koszul homology and blowing-up rings. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math. vol. **84** (Marcel Dekker Inc., 1982), pp. 79-169.
- [9] M. Kühl. On the symmetric algebra of an ideal. *Manuscripta Math.* **37** (1982), 49-60.
- [10] A. Micali and N. Roby. Algèbres symétriques et syzygies. *J. Algebra* **17** (1971), 460-469.
- [11] F. Planas Vilanova. Sur l'annulation du deuxième foncteur de (co)homologie d'André-Quillen. *Manuscripta Math.* **87** (1995), 349-357.
- [12] F. Planas Vilanova. On the vanishing and non-rigidity of the André-Quillen (co)homology. *J. Pure and Appl. Alg.* (to appear).
- [13] P. Schenzel. Castelnuovo's index of regularity and reduction numbers. *Banach Center Publications* **26** (2) (1990), 201-208.
- [14] G. Valla. On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal. *Manuscripta Math.* **30** (1980), 239-255.